

Research Article

DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.1/94-97

Impact of integrated nutrient management on the yield performance of winter tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. ARKA VIKAS

■ J.K. BEURA, S.P. MONALISA AND R.K. TARAI

Received : 14.01.2017; Revised : 20.04.2017; Accepted : 02.05.2017

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM:

Corresponding author :
J.K. BEURA, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, BHUBANESWAR (ODISHA) INDIA

Co-authors :
S.P. MONALISA, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, BHUBANESWAR (ODISHA) INDIA

R.K. TARAI, College of Horticulture (O.U.A.T.), Chiplima, SAMBALPUR (ODISHA) INDIA

Summary

The experiment was conducted at Seed Science and Technology Department, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar during winter season, 2015. The current approach of integrated nutrient management focused on disseminating the technical knowledge how to major stakeholders to optimize use of organic and inorganic for sustainable agriculture. The experiment consisted of eight treatments during the study. The highest yield of tomato was recorded with the application of FYM and recommended dose of NPK fertilizer. The yield parameters and seed quality parameters of winter tomato like, no. of fruit/plant, fruit weight (g), fruit diameters (cm), fruit yield (q/ha), seed yield (kg/ha), germination (%), seedling length (cm), seedling dry weight (g), SVI-I and SVI-II was found significantly highest at the treatment combination T₆ (60kg N+30kgP₂O₅+40kgK₂O+30 tonnes FYM/ha) followed by T₄ other treatments.

Key words : Tomato, FYM, Vermicompost, N, P, K

How to cite this article : Beura, J.K., Monalisa, S.P. and Tarai, R.K. (2017). Impact of integrated nutrient management on the yield performance of winter tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. ARKA VIKAS. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, 12 (1) : 94-97 : DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.1/94-97.

Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is an important mineral and vitamin rich crop playing a vital role in Indian economy by virtue of its various uses as vegetable and processed forms as well as industrial product. It comes under the family Solanaceae. It is universally treated as a protective food and is also a very good source of income to small and marginal farmers. An adequate application of fertilizers and optimum plant population assume great importance in yield maximization of a particular crop. Major component of organic farming are organic manures, biofertilizers and biopesticides

(Asokan *et al.*, 2000). Organic manures not only balance the nutrient supply but also improve the physical and chemical properties of soil (Nair and Peter, 1990). During the decades, the concept of integrated nutrient management aims at efficient and judicious use of all major sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner so as to get maximum economic yield without any deleterious effect on physiological and biological properties of the soil. The organic manuring has positive influence on soil texture and water holding capacity and also benefit to crop plant. In this connection to give more emphasis on organic vegetable, production, which minimizes cost of production, increase quality of product

and maintain the soil fertility.

Resource and Research Methods

The present investigation was carried out on winter tomato cultivar Arka vikas during the year 2015 at Seed Science and Technology Department, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design. All the treatments were randomly distributed among the plots and replicated 3 times. All the organic manures were applied and spread thoroughly all over the experimental plot and rest of the inorganic fertilizers were also applied as per principles. The half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal dressing before transplanting and remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied into two split doses at 30 days and 45 days after transplanting. The desired amounts of fertilizers as per treatment were mixed thoroughly and the mixture was placed in the line marked for transplanting of seedlings. After placement of fertilizer mixture, the seedlings were transplanted on the marked places. After preparation of field and demarcation of beds, the seedlings of uniform size were transplanted on 17th november, 2015 during evening hours. Just after transplanting a light irrigation was done.

After the field experiment, the lab experiment was conducted in seed testing laboratory, Department of Seed Science and Technology. The experiment was conducted in Complete Randomized Design. Two hundred seeds were used for each treatment in four replications (50×4). The seeds were subjected to germination test, vigour index test based on seedling length and seedling dry weight along with the control.

Treatments-nutrient applied :

- T₁ - 120kg N+60kg P₂O₅+80kg K₂O/ha
- T₂ - 150kg N+75kg P₂O₅+100kg K₂O/ha
- T₃ - 90kg N+45kg P₂O₅+60kg K₂O+2 tonnes V.C./ha
- T₄ - 60kg N+30kg P₂O₅+40kg K₂O+5 tonnes V.C./ha
- T₅ - 90kg N+45kg P₂O₅+60kg K₂O+15 tonnes FYM/ha
- T₆ - 60kg N+30kg P₂O₅+40kg K₂O+30 tonnes FYM/ha
- T₇ - 10 tonnes FYM/ha
- T₈ - (Control) .

Effect of seed germination was studied following between paper method (ISTA, 1985). Fifty seeds were placed equidistantly between two sheets of germination paper soaked in water, rolled and tagged and incubated inside germinator at 30°C. Final count was taken on 10th

day. Observation was recorded on normal seedling and seedling showing root and shoot abnormality. For calculating seed vigour index, ten normal seedling showing normal root and shoot growth were randomly selected. The root and shoot portion were separated their length was measured and mean seedling length was calculated.

The SVI (seed vigour index) was calculated following formula:

$$\text{SVI-I} = \text{Germination per cent} \times \text{Length of seedling in cm}$$

The root and shoot portion of each seedling collected was taken for determining the dry weight, following oven dry method and SVI-II was calculated as :

$$\text{SVI-II} = \text{Germination per cent} \times \text{Seedling dry weight in g}$$

Research Findings and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under the following heads:

No. of fruit/plant:

The data in Table 1 revealed that the integrated nutrient management showed significant effects with the respect of number of fruit/plant during the investigation. The maximum number of fruit/plant (23.25) was recorded under T₆ (60 kg N+30 kg P₂O₅+40 kg K₂O+30 tonnes FYM/ha) treatment followed by T₄ (90kg N+45 kg P₂O₅+60 kg K₂O+15 tonnes FYM/ha) treatment 21.64. While the minimum number of fruit/plant (15.00) were recorded under the control. The increase in number of fruit owing to this treatment might be due to the greater availability of mineral nutrient from nitrification of vermicompost and farm yard manures to the plants. These results are in conformity with the finding of Patil *et al.* (2004); Kumar and Srivastava (2006) and Dass *et al.* (2008).

Fruit weight (g) :

The fruit weight depicted in Table 1 show that the maximum fruit weight (54.4g) was recorded under the treatment combination T₆ (60kg N+30kg P₂O₅+40kg K₂O+30 tonnes FYM/ha) followed by T₄. While the lowest value of fruit weight (43.3g) was recorded under control. The increasing fruit weight with the application of integrated nutrient management due to the fact of balanced supply of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium through chemical fertilizers and macro and micro nutrients from farm yard manures and vermicompost increased the availability of nutrient to the plant. The

results are in close conformity of the study carried out by Patil *et al.* (1998).

Fruit diameters (cm) :

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that application integrated nutrient management significantly increased the fruit diameters at a constant level. The highest value of fruit diameter (4.80cm) was recorded at the treatment combination T₆ (60 kg N+30kg P₂O₅+40kg K₂O+30 tonnes FYM/ha) followed by T₄. While, the lowest value of fruit diameters (2.95cm) was recorded under control. The fruit diameters increased might be due to the better photosynthetic activity also. Since, nitrogen is one of the basic minerals associated with synthesis of amino acid. Similar result was also obtained by Dademol and Dongale (2004).

Fruit yield (q/ha) :

The fruit yield increased significantly with the

application of integrated nutrient management (Table 1). The maximum yield (322.50q/ha) was recorded with T₆ treatment followed by T₄ treatment. While, the minimum yield (175.6q/ha) was recorded under control. The increased yield with integrated nutrient management application may be due to the fact that plant supplied with abundant NPK which assimilate higher photosynthetic and better translocation of foods (assimilates) from source to sink resulting increased yield of tomato. These results are in close conformity with the study carried out by Patil *et al.* (1998) and Dademol and Dongale (2004).

Seed yield (kg/ha) :

The seed yield increased significantly with the application of integrated nutrient management (Table 1). The maximum seed yield (182.6kg/ha) was found in T₆ treatment followed by T₄ treatment. The minimum (108.3kg/ha) was recorded in control. Integrated nutrient

Table 1 : Impact of integrated nutrient management on the yield parameters

Treatments	Notation	No. of fruit/plant	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit diameters (cm)	Fruit yield (q/ha)	Seed yield (kg/ha)
120kg N+60kgP ₂ O ₅ +80kg K ₂ O/ha	T ₁	17.00	48.2	3.36	206.12	134.3
150kg N+75kg P ₂ O ₅ +100kg K ₂ O/ha	T ₂	18.64	50.8	3.10	233.97	154.6
90kg N+45kg P ₂ O ₅ +60kg K ₂ O+2 tonnes V.C./ha	T ₃	19.70	51.2	3.31	247.0	165.6
60kg N+30kg P ₂ O ₅ +40kg K ₂ O+5 tonnes V.C./ha	T ₄	21.64	53.3	3.80	280.0	175.0
90kg N+45kg P ₂ O ₅ +60kg K ₂ O+15tonnes FYM/ha	T ₅	21.22	52.0	3.72	265.72	172.0
60kg N+30kg P ₂ O ₅ +40kg K ₂ O+30tonnes FYM/ha	T ₆	23.25	54.4	4.80	322.50	182.6
10 tonnes FYM/ha	T ₇	17.34	48.8	3.60	212.0	145.3
Control	T ₈	15.00	43.3	2.95	175.6	108.3
C.D.(P=0.05)	.	2.01	1.51	0.36	2.95	4.08
S.E.±	.	0.66	0.49	0.11	0.97	1.34

Table 2 : Impact of nutrient management on seed quality parameters

Treatments	Notation	Germination (%)	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling dry weight (g)	SVI-I	SVI-II
120kg N+60kgP ₂ O ₅ +80kg K ₂ O/ha	T ₁	73	15.3	0.001	1123.5	0.110
150kg N+75kg P ₂ O ₅ +100kg K ₂ O/ha	T ₂	71.5	16.3	0.001	1171.5	0.108
90kg N+45kg P ₂ O ₅ +60kg K ₂ O+2 tonnes V.C./ha	T ₃	74	16.2	0.001	1201.8	0.110
60kg N+30kg P ₂ O ₅ +40kg K ₂ O+5 tonnes V.C./ha	T ₄	71.5	19.5	0.002	1397.7	0.213
90kg N+45kg P ₂ O ₅ +60kg K ₂ O+15 tonnes FYM/ha	T ₅	72	17.5	0.001	1256.7	0.109
60kg N+30kg P ₂ O ₅ +40kg K ₂ O+30 tonnes FYM/ha	T ₆	75	19	0.001	1408.0	0.113
10 tonnes FYM/ha	T ₇	72	15.4	0.001	1111.2	0.109
Control	T ₈	70.75	15.1	0.001	1073.7	0.106
C.D.(P=0.05)	.	1.74	0.66	NS	64.8	0.12
S.E.±	.	0.59	0.22	NS	22.2	0.04

** SVI-I : Seed vigour index -I,

SVI-II: Seed vigour index -II

NS= Non-significant

management increased the seed yield by increasing number of seeds per fruit, seed weight per fruit, in different crops mainly in capsicum, reported by Jeevansab (2000).

Seed quality parameters :

Integrated nutrient management also helps for increasing seed quality parameters by increasing germination percentage. The highest germination per cent (Table 2) was found in T₆ (75%) treated seeds, followed by T₃. The lowest was found in control (70.75%). But seedling length was found better in T₄ treated seeds (19.5cm) followed by T₆ (19cm). Integrated nutrient management also increased seed vigour index-I (SVI-I). Maximum (1408) recorded in T₆, then T₄ and T₅. Minimum was found in control (1073.7). But there was no difference found in seedling dryweight. SVI-II was found better in T₄ (0.213) followed by T₆ (0.113) and lowest was recorded in control (0.106). So integrated nutrient management increased seed quality parameters and T₆ and T₄ gave better result as compared to others.

Literature Cited

Asokan, R., Mohandas, S. and Anand, L. (2000). Biofertilizers and biopesticides for horticulture crops. *Indian Hort.*, **45** (1) :44-47.

Dademol, A.A. and Dongale, J.H. (2004). Effect of manure and fertilizer on growth and yield of okra and nutrient availability in lateritic soil of Konkon. *J. Soil & Crops*, **14**(2):278-283

Dass, A., Lenka, N.K., Sudhishri, S. and Patnaik, U.S. (2008). Influence of integrated nutrient management on production, economics and soil properties in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) under on farm conditions in eastern ghats of Orissa. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **78** (1) : 40-43.

ISTA (1985). International Rules for Seed testing, *Seed Sci. & Technol.*, **13** : 229-255.

Jeevansab (2000). Effect of nutrient sources on growth, yield and quality of capsicum (cv.CALIFORNIA WONDER) grown under different environments. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA) .

Kumar, Rabindra and Srivastava, B.K. (2006). Residual effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and yield attributes of tomato. *Indian J. Hort.*, **63**(1) : 98-100.

Nair, M. and Peter, K.V. (1990). Organic, inorganic fertilizers and their combinations on yield and storage life of hot chilli. *Veg. Sci.*, **17** (1) : 7-10.

Patil, M.B., Mohammed, R.G. and Ghadge, P.M. (2004). Effect on organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and quality of tomato. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **29** (2):124-127.

Patil, M.P., Hulamani, N.C., Athani, S.L. and Patil, M.G. (1998). Response of new tomato genotype Megha to integrated nutrient management advances. *Agril. Res.*, **9** : 39-42.

12th
Year
★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★