

An Asian Journal of Soil Science

Volume 12 | Issue 1 | June, 2017 | 55-59 | 🖒 e ISSN–0976–7231 ■ Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in

Research Article

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.1/55-59

Assessment of ground water quality for supplemental irrigation of semi dry rice cultivating tracts of Ramanathapuram district

R. DHANASEKARA PANDIAN AND M. VIJAYAKUMAR

Received : 27.01.2017; Revised : 11.04.2017; Accepted : 23.04.2017

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM:

Corresponding author : R. DHANASEKARA PANDIAN,

Email: dspsoil10@gmail.com

(T.N.) INDIA

SRS Institute of Agriculture and

Technology Vedasandur, DINDIGUL

Summary

A study was carried out in the semi dry rice cultivating tracts of Ramanathapuram district to characterize the physico-chemical properties of ground water samples which include pH, EC, SAR, RSC and SSP. To assess the suitability of underground water, 70 ground water samples were collected from major semi dry rice cultivating tract of Paramakudi and Ramanathapuram block and their quality was assessed using CSSRI, criteria. Of the 70 ground water samples, 5 were good (3.2%), 36 samples were marginally saline (53.3%), 25 were high SAR saline (37.5%) and 4 were alkali (5.5%) category. The good water samples recorded the mean value of 1.8 dSm⁻¹, 1.1 meq/l and 5.1 of EC, RSC and SAR, respectively. In high SAR saline category of the 25 samples, the mean values were 3 dSm⁻¹, 0.4 meg/l and 13.5 of EC, RSC and SAR, respectively. The alkali category mean values were 2.9 dSm⁻¹, 4.6 meq/l and 8.3 of EC, RSC and SAR, respectively. In Paramakudi block, 7.5 per cent were good, 80 per cent were marginally saline, 5 per cent were high SAR saline and 7.5 were alkali in nature. Hence, both this good and marginally saline quality of ground water can be safely used for supplemental irrigation. In Ramanathapuram block, 26.6 per cent were marginally saline, 70 per cent were high SAR saline and 3.4 per cent were alkali in nature, respectively. Hence, in Ramanathapuram block, 73.4 per cent of ground water samples comprising high SAR saline and alkali which cannot be used as supplemental irrigation.

Key words : Ground water quality, Supplemental irrigation, Semi dry rice cultivating tracts

Co-authors : M. VIJAYAKUMAR, SRS Institute of Agriculture and Technology Vedasandur, DINDIGUL (T.N.) INDIA

How to cite this article : Pandian, R.Dhanasekara and Vijayakumar, M. (2017). Assessment of ground water quality for supplemental irrigation of semi dry rice cultivating tracts of Ramanathapuram district.
Asian J. Soil Sci., 12 (1): 55-59 : DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.1/55-59.

Introduction

In coastal Tamil Nadu, rice is grown under upland condition wherein, rice is grown as pre-monsoon direct sown with dry seeding during September-October. The Ramanathapuram district is a dry district where nonsystem tank is the major source of irrigation; hence, rainfall is the most decisive factor (Selvaraj and Ramasamy, 2006). Apart from drought, other abiotic stress like salinity, low soil fertility, less water holding capacity and imbalanced use of fertilizers, etc, are the major reason for low yields in semi-dry rice soils, which is the livelihood for farmers living in an area of 73,550 ha in Ramanathapuram district of Tamil Nadu. In coastal areas, rice is grown as direct sown dry seeded rice during pre-monsoon season *viz.*, September second week



onwards at Paramakudi block and October first week onwards at Ramanathapuram block. In Paramakudi block, semidry rice is grown with supplemental irrigation either through tanks or underground marginally saline water. But in Ramanathapuram block, the underground water is poor quality viz., high SAR saline or alkali in nature which could not be used for supplemental irrigation (AICRP, 2000-2002). Hence, when the monsoon recedes early during flowering stage (December second week onwards) rice yields are drastically affected. Therefore, managing abiotic stress of drought and imbalanced fertilization with selection of good cultivars and creating awareness among farmers of above technologies will increase rice yields of semi dry rice. There is a scope to increase yield by 0.5 to 1.0 t ha⁻¹ with supplemental irrigation combined with balanced fertilization which will increase net profit of farmers by Rs.5000 to Rs.10000 per ha (FAO,1999).

Resource and Research Methods

The present study was taken upto study the ground water related constraints and to increase the rice yields under semi-dry condition.

Seventy ground water samples were collected in

plastic container from different bore wells of semi-dry rice cultivating areas of Ramanathapuram district. The plastic container safely handled, transferred and stored in appropriate place of analytical lab for further chemical analysis.

Research Findings and Discussion

Of the 70 ground water samples, five were good (3.2%), 36 samples were marginally saline (53.3%), 25 were high SAR saline (37.5%) and 4 were alkali (5.5%) category. In Paramakudi block, of the 40 water samples, 7.5 per cent were good, 80 per cent were marginally saline, 5 per cent were high SAR saline and 7.5 were alkali in nature (Table 1 and Fig. 1). In Ramanathapuram block, of the 30 ground water samples, 26.6 per cent were marginally saline, 70 per cent were high SAR saline and 3.4 per cent were alkali in nature, respectively (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Poor quality aquifers, sea water inundation, were the reasons for poor quality waters. In Paramakudi block, 87.5 per cent ground waters were suitable for supplemental irrigation which could be exploited to increase rice productivity. But in Ramanathapuram block, 73.4 per cent of ground water were either high SAR saline or alkali, thus, unsuitable for supplemental

Table 1 : Assessment of gr	able 1 : Assessment of ground water quality of semi-dry rice soils of Paramakudi block							
Villages	pН	EC	CAD		ndices	Class		
Parthibanur	7.8	2.0	57		46 5	Good		
Perungarai	7.4	2.6	61	_	44.6	Marginally saline		
Kamuthakudi	8.0	3.2	9.9	19	57.4	Marginally saline		
Pothuvakudi	8.5	3.6	73	47	45.3	Alkali		
Kulavi Patti	0.5 7 7	2.0	63	2.2	50.8	Good		
Vilathur	7.7	2.0	7.0	2.2	52.4	Marginally saline		
Perumal Koil	7.2	2.2	6.2	0.8	J2.4 48.1	Marginally saline		
Madanthai	7.7	2.5	5.7	0.0	30.4	Marginally saline		
M Durom	7.4	2.4	5.0	-	15 D	Marginally saline		
Malayakudi	7.0	2.4	5.0	-	43.2	Marginally saline		
Valuerager	7.7	2.4	J.J 0 5	5.0	42.3			
Ravanoor	<i>1.9</i>	2.2	8.J	5.0	50.7			
Paamboor	8.2	2.0	9.2	4.2	54.0			
Ventnoni	7.8	2.3	7.5	0.1	54.0	Marginally saline		
V.Kurichi	8.5	2.7	10.1	4.4	61.5	Highly alkali		
Ariyanendal-1	7.7	2.4	9.0	0.3	59.9	Marginally saline		
Ariyanendal-2	7.1	2.5	5.7	-	43.9	Marginally saline		
Kalaiyur	8.9	2.9	13.6	2.1	70.7	High SAR saline		
Oorapuli	7.7	2.9	7.6	-	50.7	Marginally saline		
Ariya-2	7.1	3.1	6.8	-	45.6	Marginally saline		
Nagatchi-1	8.1	2.8	11.2	-	64.3	High SAR saline		
Nagatchi-2	7.4	3.1	5.9	-	41.6	Marginally saline		
Kiliyur-1	7.3	2.6	6.8	-	49.4	Marginally saline		
Kiliyur-2	7.1	2.9	6.4	1.4	45.4	Marginally saline		
O.Puthur	7.6	2.8	8.6	0.9	55.6	Marginally saline		
Valaiur	7.1	3.2	5.8	-	40.5	Marginally saline		
P.Puram	7.4	2.7	6.7	-	48.0	Marginally saline		
S.Kavanoor	7.1	2.3	6.1	-	47.2	Marginally saline		
Valasai	6.9	2.0	2.1	-	21.5	Marginally saline		
P.Vilundan	7.6	2.5	7.3	-	51.6	Marginally saline		
N.Patti	7.1	2.1	4.3	-	38.8	Marginally saline		
Karaiur	7.5	2.6	6.3	-	46.6	Marginally saline		
K.Paati	7.6	2.7	4.3	-	35.0	Marginally saline		
Mr.Puram	7.6	2.7	7.4	0.4	50.8	Marginally saline		
Kidaripati	7.0	1.9	3.7	-	35.0	Good		
Neduklam	7.4	3.0	6.9	-	47.3	Marginally saline		
Terkupatti	7.6	2.8	6.9	-	47.8	Marginally saline		
Deriveli	6.8	1.9	3.2	-	31.3	Good		
Pedandal	7.2	2.1	4.4	-	38.2	Marginally saline		
Kariakudi	8.0	3.0	10.1	1.3	60.2	High SAR saline		
Kattupati	7.1	1.6	4.1	-	41.2	Good		

R. DHANASEKARA PANDIAN AND M. VIJAYAKUMAR





Table 2 : Assessment of ground quality of semi-dry soils of Ramanathapuram block									
Villages	pН	EC (dSm ⁻¹) -	Indices			Class			
Sakkarkkottai	7 1	2.5	4.5	KSC (me/i)	36.7	Marginally saline			
Dharathinagar	7.1	2.0	4.5	-	22.4	Marginally saline			
Dharaunnagar D.S.Madai	7.5	5.0	4.4	-	55.4 64.1	Marginany same			
T. nottonom	8.0	2.7	0.1	0.28	62.1	Marginally saling			
L.pauanani Mommothy Izodoi	7.0	2.1	9.1	-	02.1 59.1	Marginally saline			
	7.8	2.0	9.1	0.79	58.1	Marginally same			
	8.0	3.0	11.4	-	04.4	High SAR saine			
U.K.Mangai-I	8.5	3.1	14.7	-	72.4	High SAR saline			
U.K.Mangai-2	8.0	3.1	12.2	-	66.4	High SAR saline			
Maruthur	7.9	2.8	9.5	-	59.2	Marginally saline			
Ekkudi	7.5	2.4	13.5	1.13	73.3	High SAR saline			
Nallankudi	7.2	2.1	7.3	0.29	55.4	Marginally saline			
Pullangudi	7.4	2.1	8.7	-	62.6	Marginally saline			
Ammaari	8.1	2.8	14.0	-	71.4	High SAR saline			
Peruvayal	7.7	2.4	8.9	-	60.8	Marginally saline			
Naranamangalam	7.9	2.8	12.0	-	66.2	High SAR saline			
Naiurani	8.1	3.0	14.0	-	70.1	High SAR saline			
Veeravani	8.6	3.2	15.9	-	73.8	High SAR saline			
RMD-B.Kanmai-1	8.1	3.1	14.2	-	71.4	High SAR saline			
RMD-B.Kanmai-2	8.0	3.0	13.1	-	68.5	High SAR saline			
Pasalai	7.6	2.9	11.5	-	65.0	High SAR saline			
Karenthal	7.3	3.0	12.5	-	67.9	High SAR saline			
Ragunathapuram	7.5	2.9	13.4	0.01	70.8	High SAR saline			
Kalukurani	8.2	3.1	14.5	-	70.9	High SAR saline			
Pullandai	7.8	2.8	11.4	-	65.2	High SAR saline			
Pulivalasai	8.0	2.9	13.9	-	71.3	High SAR saline			
Veeravanal	8.2	3.1	15.8	0.13	74.6	High SAR saline			
Puliyur	8.7	3.4	18.8	0.16	78.1	High SAR saline			
M.Karai	8.2	3.3	16.7	-	75.3	High SAR saline			
Karaiur	8.1	3.1	12.8	-	67.5	High SAR saline			
∐ Pithur	77	2.8	14 7	4.36	74.0	High SAR saline			

HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE **38** Asian J. Soil Sci., (Jun., 2017) **12** (1): 55-59

irrigation.

Conclusion :

In Paramakudi block, of the 40 ground water samples collected from Paramakudi block, 7.5, 80, 5 and 7.5 per cent were good, marginally saline, high SAR saline and alkali in nature. This good and marginally saline quality of ground water alone can be safely used for supplemental irrigation. Of the 30 ground water samples from Ramanadhapuram block, 26.6, 70 and 3.4 was marginally saline, high SAR saline and alkali in nature. Hence, in Ramanathapuram block, 73.4 per cent of ground water samples surveyed cannot be used for supplemental irrigation.

Literature Cited

FAO (1999). *Irrigation in Asia in figures*. FAO Water Report No. 18. ROME, ITALY.

Selvaraj, K.N. and Ramasamy, C. (2006). Drought, agricultural risk and rural income in the waterlimiting rice production environment, Tamil Nadu. *Econ. & Politi. Weekly*, **41** (26): 2739-2746.

