

An Asian Journal of Soil Science

Volume 12 | Issue 2 | December, 2017 | 307-313 | ⇒ e ISSN-0976-7231 ■ Visit us: www.researchjournal.co.in



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.2/307-313

Research Article

Physico-chemical properties of soils under different land uses in Longleng district soils of Nagaland

MANOJ DUTTA, BONGKAM PHOM AND SEWAK RAM

Received: 20.06.2017; **Revised:** 14.11.2017; **Accepted:** 23.11.2017

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM:

Corresponding author:

MANOJ DUTTA, Department of Soil and Water Conservation, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, MEDZIPHEMA, (NAGALAND) INDIA

Email: manojdutta1997@yahoo.com

Co-authors:

BONGKAM PHOM AND SEWAK RAM, Department of Soil and Water

Conservation, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, MEDZIPHEMA, (NAGALAND) INDIA

Email: bongkamphom@gmail.com; sewaksasrd@gmail.com

Summary

Land use effect on soil physico-chemical properties were studied in four villages, *viz.*, Tamlu village, Tamlu town, Kangching and Namsang in Longleng district, Nagaland, under four land uses, *viz.*, *Jhum*, lowland rice, forest and orange in each village. The soils were characterised by strong to moderate acidic in soil reaction (4.3 to 6.7), high in organic carbon content. The mean cation exchange capacity (CEC) ranged between 13.78 to 31.68 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹. The mean bulk density and particle density ranged from 1.18 to 1.51g cm⁻³ and 2.2 to 2.34 g cm⁻³, respectively which generally increased with profile depth and were influenced by organic carbon content and mechanical composition of soils. Water holding capacity (WHC) varied from 40.20 to 54.20 per cent and was higher in the soils under cultivated lowland rice land use as compared to other land uses. The maximum per cent aggregates (WSA> 0.25 mm) and mean weight diameter in surface soils was found under *Jhum* land use. The bulk density, particle density, WHC and mean weight diameter had significant correlation with organic C.

Key words: Land uses, Soil properties, Surface soil, Sub surface soil

How to cite this article: Dutta, Manoj, Phom, Bongkam and Ram, Sewak (2017). Physico-chemical properties of soils under different land uses in Longleng district soils of Nagaland. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, **12** (2): 307-313: **DOI:** 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.2/307-313.

Introduction

Land use changes and agricultural practices, especially cultivation of deforested land may rapidly diminish soil quality. Severe deterioration in soil quality may lead to a permanent degradation of land productivity. Transformation of one land use system into another system and different management practices can affect soil structure, soil organic carbon and other nutrients reserve. The increasing intensity of land use may cause erosion and soil compaction through changes in soil physical and chemical properties. Land degradation due to soil erosion reduces the agricultural production and productivity through deterioration of physical land

qualities and land degradation. Soil physical properties are changed gradually under crop cultivation. Changes in physical land qualities may vary depending upon the nature of crop and intensity of its cultivation. The increasing intensity of land use may cause erosion and soil compaction through changes in soil physical and chemical properties. The soil physical properties play an important role in determining its suitability for crop production. Productivity of the soil is the function of management practices which are determined by its dynamic physical and chemical characteristics. The data pertaining to the variability of physico-chemical properties of soils of Longleng district of Nagaland under different land use pattern is found scanty. Therefore, the present

investigation was undertaken to evaluate the physicochemical characteristics of soils under Jhum, lowland rice, forest and orange land uses in Longleng district soils of Nagaland.

Resource and Research Methods

Soil samples from 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth were collected from four different land uses, viz., Jhum, lowland rice, forest and orange cultivation from four different locations around Tamlu sub division, the sub headquarters of Longleng district viz., Tamlu village, Tamlu town, Kangching and Namsang. Longleng is located between longitude 94°E-95°E and latitude 26°'N - 27°N of the Equator. It has an average elevation of 1066 metres above mean sea level. The climate of the study area is temperate to sub-tropical with mean annual temperature of 16°C. The average annual rainfall ranges from 1800 mm to 2500 mm. These soil samples were processed and analysed for different physico-chemical properties. Undisturbed core soil samples were also collected for determination of bulk density. Particle-size distribution was determined following International Pipette method (Piper, 1996) using 0.5 N NaOH as a dispersing agent. Soil pH was determined in 1:2.5 soil water suspension using glass electrode pH meter (Jackson, 1973). Organic carbon and cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soil was determined by the wet digestion method of Walkley and Black and NH3 distillation method, respectively as described by Jackson (1973).

Bulk density and particle density were determined by adopting procedures as suggested by Baruah and Barthakur (1997). Water holding capacity (WHC) was determined as per the procedure outlined by Piper (1996). Aggregate stability in terms of mean weight diameter (MWD) and per cent macro-aggregates were calculated by using the equation given by Van Bavel (1949). The statistical analysis of the data was done as per procedure outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Research Findings and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

pH:

The pH of surface soils under *Jhum*, low land rice,

forest and orange land uses ranged from 5.00 to 6.00, 5.30 to 6.40, 4.60 to 5.60 and 4.90 to 6.70 with an average of 5.60, 5.80, 5.20 and 5.80, respectively (Table 1). The highest mean pH in surface soil was recorded in Namsang soils followed by Kangching, Tamlu village and Tamlu town soils. The pH of the sub surface soils under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses varied from 4.70 to 5.20, 4.70 to 5.50, 4.00 to 4.60 and 4.50 to 4.80 with an average of 4.90, 5.00, 4.30 and 4.70, respectively. The highest mean pH in sub-surface layer was also found in Namsang soils followed by followed by Tamlu village, Tamlu town and Kangching soils. The soils of the study area were moderate to strong acidic in reaction. Similar results have also been reported by Bhaskar et al. (2004); Das (2007) and Laxminarayana (2010) for the soils of Meghalaya. In general, pH decreased with increase in soil depth. Such findings are also reported by Nayak and Srivastava (1995). The moderate to strong acid condition may be attributed to leaching of bases due to heavy rainfall and accumulation of acid forming cations like Al, Fe and Mn leading to increased acidity.

Organic C:

The organic C content of surface soils under *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange land uses varied from 2.87 to 3.00, 2.48 to 3.65, 3.00 to 4.58 and 1.04 to 3.85 per cent with an average of 2.98, 3.13, 3.74 and 2.78 per cent, respectively (Table 1). The organic C in 15-30 cm soils ranged from 1.17 to 1.31, 1.36 to 1.83, 2.10 to 3.39 and 0.56 to 1.75 per cent with an average of 1.24, 1.56, 2.71 and 1.30 per cent under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses, respectively. The Highest mean organic C in surface soils was found in Tamlu village followed by Namsang, Tamlu town and Kangching soils, whereas, in sub-surface soils the highest organic C was recorded in Tamlu town followed by Namsang, Tamlu villag, and Kangching soils. Variation in organic carbon in soils under various land uses may be due to varying degree of leaf litter and their rate of decomposition. In all the land use systems, the surface layer had higher organic C as compared to sub surface layer which could be due to deposition of leaf litter and residues. Similar findings were also reported by Kumar and Singh (2007) and Dutta et al. (2015).

The soils under forest have high organic carbon content compared to other land uses. The luxuriant vegetation and relatively low temperature conditions favouring slow rate of decomposition has been the major factor contributing to the high soil organic carbon level under forest land use system. Higher organic carbon in forest soils in comparison to other land uses have also been reported by Paul et al. (2011); Dadhwal et al. (2012) and Singh and Munth (2013).

Cation exchange capacity (CEC):

The CEC of surface soils under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses ranged from 17.50 to 26.50, 20.70 to 27.10, 24.50 to 37.40 and 17.40 to 32.70 c mol (p+) kg-1 with an average of 20.98, 23.07, 31.68 and 25.30 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively (Table 1). The CEC of 15-30 cm soils under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses varied from 13.00 to 17.40, 12.10 to 16.00, 15.20 to 18.60 and 11.20 to 16.50 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹ with an average of 14.65, 13.93, 16.38 and 13.78 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively. The highest mean CEC in surface soil was recorded in *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange land uses,. The highest mean CEC in sub surface soil was observed in Tamlu town followed by Kangching, Namsang and Tamlu village soils. These results are in agreement with those reported by Sharma et al. (2012) for the soils of NE regions.

In general, forest land uses showed higher levels of CEC. The increase in humus content on decomposition of added organic sources may be responsible for increasing the negative charge on the organic colloid of the soil, which in turn would contribute to increase in CEC of the soil. Dutta et al. (2015) and Namie et al. (2016) also reported higher CEC on forest soils. Similar observations were also reported by Rudramurthy et al. (2007) and Paul et al. (2011).

Bulk density:

The bulk density of surface soils varied from 1.00

| Land use and location | pН | | Organic C (%) | | CEC [c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹] | |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------|----------|---|----------|
| | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm |
| Jhum | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 6.00 | 5.20 | 2.94 | 1.17 | 18.60 | 13.00 |
| Tamlu town | 5.60 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 1.31 | 26.50 | 14.70 |
| Kangching | 5.00 | 4.80 | 3.08 | 1.17 | 21.30 | 17.40 |
| Namsang | 5.60 | 4.70 | 2.87 | 1.31 | 17.50 | 13.50 |
| Mean | 5.60 | 4.90 | 2.98 | 1.24 | 20.98 | 14.65 |
| Lowland | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 5.30 | 5.00 | 3.13 | 1.48 | 21.70 | 14.80 |
| Tamlu town | 5.50 | 5.00 | 2.48 | 1.83 | 22.80 | 12.80 |
| Kangching | 6.40 | 4.70 | 3.65 | 1.36 | 20.70 | 12.10 |
| Namsang | 5.90 | 5.50 | 3.25 | 1.57 | 27.10 | 16.00 |
| Mean | 5.80 | 5.00 | 3.13 | 1.56 | 23.07 | 13.93 |
| Forest | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 5.60 | 4.30 | 4.58 | 2.10 | 28.30 | 15.30 |
| Tamlu town | 4.60 | 4.40 | 3.60 | 3.00 | 36.50 | 16.40 |
| Kangching | 5.20 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.35 | 24.50 | 15.20 |
| Namsang | 5.40 | 4.60 | 3.77 | 3.39 | 37.40 | 18.60 |
| Mean | 5.20 | 4.30 | 3.74 | 2.71 | 31.68 | 16.38 |
| Orange | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 5.40 | 4.80 | 3.85 | 1.75 | 17.40 | 12.30 |
| Tamlu town | 4.90 | 4.70 | 2.91 | 1.36 | 32.20 | 15.10 |
| Kangching | 6.50 | 4.50 | 1.04 | 0.56 | 32.70 | 16.50 |
| Namsang | 6.70 | 4.80 | 3.34 | 1.49 | 18.90 | 11.20 |
| Mean | 5.80 | 4.70 | 2.78 | 1.30 | 25.30 | 13.78 |
| Average | 5.60 | 4.75 | 3.16 | 1.70 | 25.26 | 14.68 |

to 1.30, 1.11 to 1.20, 1.32 to 1.70 and 1.04 to 1.40 g cm⁻³ with an average of 1.20, 1.21, 1.51 and 1.18 g cm⁻³ under *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange land uses, respectively (Table 2). The bulk density in sub surface soils under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses ranged from 1.20 to 1.41, 1.30 to 1.40, 1.30 to 1.80 and 1.22 to 1.50 g cm⁻³ with an average of 1.36, 1.35, 1.42 and 1.33 g cm⁻³, respectively. Bulk density of sub- surface soils were higher than surface soils except in forest soils of Tamlu village, Kangching and Namsang. This might be due to presence of organic matter and clay content in surface soils. Higher compaction in the sub surface soils may be due to absence of cultivation. The influence of organic matter on bulk density was also reported by Khera and Kahlon (2005); Ray et al. (2006); Mandal et al. (2011); Gupta (2010); Dadhwal et al. (2012) and Ranjan et al. (2014). Bulk density showed significant negative correlation with organic C (r= -0.

54) and positive correlation with particle density (r=0.91).

Particle density:

The particle density of surface soils ranged from 2.00 to 2.42, 2.10 to 2.31, 2.27 to 2.70 and 2.00 to 2.40 g cm⁻³ with an average of 2.28, 2.20, 2.56 and 2.13 g cm⁻³ under *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange land uses, respectively (Table 2). The lowest mean particle density in surface soils was recorded in Kangching and the highest was found in Namsang soils. The particle density of sub surface soils under *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange land uses varied from 2.19 to 2.72, 2.31 to 2.68, 2.30 to 2.82 and 2.17 to 2.34 g cm⁻³ with an average of 2.52, 2.41, 2.66 and 2.24 g cm⁻³, respectively. The lowest and highest mean particle density in sub surface soils were found in Kangching and Tamlu town soils, respectively. The lower particle density in orange land use might be due to less biotic interference which

| Land use and | Bulk dens | Bulk density (g cm ⁻³) | | Particle density (g cm ⁻³) | | WHC (%) | | Aggregates > 0.25 mm (%) | |
|---------------|-----------|------------------------------------|---------|--|---------|----------|---------|--------------------------|--|
| location | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | |
| Jhum | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 1.20 | 1.40 | 2.31 | 2.55 | 47.60 | 46.70 | 86.20 | 78.10 | |
| Tamlu town | 1.30 | 1.40 | 2.32 | 2.60 | 46.34 | 45.69 | 87.20 | 86.10 | |
| Kangching | 1.00 | 1.20 | 2.00 | 2.19 | 46.70 | 46.30 | 89.03 | 84.97 | |
| Namsang | 1.20 | 1.41 | 2.42 | 2.72 | 41.70 | 40.20 | 71.60 | 70.16 | |
| Mean | 1.20 | 1.36 | 2.28 | 2.52 | 45.59 | 44.72 | 83.51 | 79.83 | |
| Lowland | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 1.11 | 1.30 | 2.31 | 2.68 | 50.20 | 50.00 | 85.60 | 81.40 | |
| Tamlu town | 1.20 | 1.40 | 2.20 | 2.31 | 51.81 | 50.80 | 73.30 | 71.20 | |
| Kangching | 1.20 | 1.30 | 2.10 | 2.37 | 50.10 | 50.00 | 64.23 | 61.71 | |
| Namsang | 1.20 | 1.40 | 2.20 | 2.31 | 49.80 | 49.70 | 89.67 | 67.12 | |
| Mean | 1.21 | 1.35 | 2.20 | 2.41 | 50.48 | 49.80 | 72.95 | 70.36 | |
| Forest | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 1.60 | 1.30 | 2.70 | 2.80 | 51.20 | 50.70 | 77.40 | 72.10 | |
| Tamlu town | 1.32 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 2.71 | 53.68 | 51.69 | 69.90 | 61.30 | |
| Kangching | 1.70 | 1.30 | 2.27 | 2.30 | 54.20 | 53.80 | 81.40 | 80.20 | |
| Namsang | 1.42 | 1.30 | 2.65 | 2.82 | 40.10 | 51.80 | 84.03 | 81.07 | |
| Mean | 1.51 | 1.42 | 2.56 | 2.66 | 49.80 | 51.99 | 78.18 | 73.67 | |
| Orange | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 1.07 | 1.22 | 2.01 | 2.17 | 48.70 | 47.10 | 79.67 | 72.90 | |
| Tamlu town | 1.20 | 1.30 | 2.10 | 2.24 | 50.90 | 50.66 | 75.70 | 74.00 | |
| Kangching | 1.40 | 1.50 | 2.40 | 2.34 | 47.12 | 43.00 | 74.26 | 70.82 | |
| Namsang | 1.04 | 1.30 | 2.00 | 2.21 | 51.20 | 38.05 | 68.06 | 67.29 | |
| Mean | 1.18 | 1.33 | 2.13 | 2.24 | 49.48 | 44.70 | 74.42 | 71.25 | |
| Average | 1.26 | 1.36 | 2.29 | 2.46 | 48.83 | 47.89 | 78.58 | 73.78 | |

consequently led to less compaction and more organic matter in the soil. Similar results were also reported by Dadhwal *et al.* (2011) and Mandal *et al.* (2011). Particle density had a significant negatively correlated with organic carbon (r= -0.56) (Table 4).

Water holding capacity (WHC):

The WHC of surface soils ranged from 41.70 to 47.60, 49.80 to 51.81, 40.10 to 54.20 and 47.12 to 51.20

per cent with an average of 45.59, 50.48, 49.80 and 49.48 per cent, under *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange land uses, respectively (Table 2). The maximum mean WHC in surface soil was found in Tamlu town followed by Kangching, Tamlu village and Namsang soils. The WHC in sub surface soils ranged from 40.20 to 46.70, 49.70 to 50.80, 50.70 to 53.80 and 38.05 to 50.66 per cent with an average of 44.72, 49.80, 51.99 and 44.70 per cent under *Jhum*, low land rice, forest and orange

| Land use and | | Sand (%) | | Silt (%) | | Clay (%) | | MWD (mm) | |
|---------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--|
| location | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | |
| Jhum | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 46.82 | 38.10 | 19.47 | 20.18 | 32.71 | 40.72 | 0.82 | 0.62 | |
| Tamlu town | 39.70 | 40.30 | 18.47 | 21.09 | 40.83 | 37.61 | 2.30 | 1.20 | |
| Kangching | 37.17 | 39.80 | 22.32 | 16.97 | 39.01 | 42.03 | 2.21 | 1.18 | |
| Namsang | 36.83 | 40.43 | 18.97 | 25.45 | 43.20 | 32.82 | 1.78 | 0.91 | |
| Mean | 40.13 | 39.66 | 19.81 | 20.92 | 38.94 | 38.29 | 1.78 | 0.98 | |
| Lowland | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 38.06 | 29.23 | 10.21 | 21.64 | 50.13 | 48.13 | 0.91 | 0.78 | |
| Tamlu town | 31.80 | 36.15 | 17.82 | 17.83 | 49.18 | 44.82 | 2.10 | 1.60 | |
| Kangching | 28.18 | 30.70 | 29.08 | 21.06 | 40.64 | 47.14 | 2.14 | 1.23 | |
| Namsang | 35.60 | 29.88 | 26.86 | 20.21 | 36.54 | 48.91 | 0.75 | 0.62 | |
| Mean | 33.41 | 31.49 | 20.99 | 20.18 | 44.12 | 47.25 | 1.48 | 1.06 | |
| Forest | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 45.20 | 31.66 | 16.07 | 16.72 | 37.60 | 50.12 | 1.82 | 0.92 | |
| Tamlu town | 42.08 | 28.37 | 17.81 | 21.43 | 39.81 | 38.90 | 1.87 | 1.32 | |
| Kangching | 35.89 | 32.47 | 22.43 | 17.42 | 40.68 | 48.71 | 0.95 | 0.63 | |
| Namsang | 42.56 | 34.89 | 20.75 | 22.17 | 35.69 | 41.74 | 1.82 | 0.96 | |
| Mean | 40.93 | 34.85 | 19.77 | 19.44 | 39.23 | 44.87 | 1.62 | 0.95 | |
| Orange | | | | | | | | | |
| Tamlu village | 39.24 | 44.47 | 17.84 | 14.80 | 41.72 | 38.63 | 1.13 | 0.81 | |
| Tamlu town | 41.61 | 43.51 | 19.41 | 18.91 | 37.98 | 36.49 | 1.38 | 0.84 | |
| Kangching | 36.06 | 39.54 | 22.63 | 20.74 | 40.31 | 37.82 | 0.89 | 0.62 | |
| Namsang | 31.21 | 26.68 | 24.18 | 27.23 | 44.20 | 42.09 | 0.68 | 0.61 | |
| Mean | 37.02 | 38.55 | 21.02 | 20.42 | 41.05 | 38.76 | 1.07 | 0.72 | |
| Average | 37.87 | 36.14 | 20.40 | 20.24 | 40.84 | 42.29 | 1.47 | 0.93 | |

| Table 4: Correlation co-efficient among different soil properties | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Soil properties | Water holding capacity | Mean weight diameter | Bulk density | Particle density | Per cent aggregates | | | |
| Mean weight diameter | -0.53* | | | | | | | |
| Bulk density | -0.20 | -0.13 | | | | | | |
| Particle density | -0.25 | -0.05 | 0.91** | | | | | |
| Per cent aggregates | 0.12 | 0.01 | -0.13 | -0.13 | | | | |
| Organic carbon | 0.72** | 0.53* | -0.54* | -0.56* | 0.08 | | | |

^{*}and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and P=0.01, respectively

land uses, respectively. The highest mean WHC in sub surface soil was also in Tamlu town and lowest was in Namsang soils. The WHC was greatly influenced by mechanical composition and organic matter content in soils which can be depicted from significant positive correlation of WHC with organic carbon (r= 0.72) and clay (r=0.38) (Table 4). Similar observations were also reported by Dutta and Dhiman (2001); Mehta et al. (2005); Ray et al. (2006) and Pati et al. (2016).

Per cent aggregation:

The aggregates >0.25 mm of surface soils under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses ranged from 71.60 to 89.03, 64.23 to 89.67, 69.90 to 84.03 and 68.06 to 79.67 per cent with an average of 83.51, 72.95, 78.18 and 74.42 per cent, respectively (Table 2). The aggregates >0.25 mm of 15-30 cm soils ranged from 70.16 to 86.10, 61.71 to 81.40, 61.30 to 81.07 and 67.29 to 74.00 per cent with an average of 79.83, 70.36, 73.67 and 71.25 per cent under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses, respectively. The highest per cent aggregation in both surface and sub-surface soils was found in Tamlu village soils followed by Kangching, Tamlu town and Namsang soils. The higher per cent aggregation in all these land uses might be because of higher organic matter content in these soils that together with clay and other soil constituents favour particle aggregation. The per cent aggregates and organic C content showed similar trend. Similar results were also reported by Sharma and Kumar (2010); Kumar and Singh (2007); Singh and Khera (2008); Mainuri and Owino (2013) and Ranjan et al. (2014).

Mean weight diameter (MWD):

The MWD of surface soils under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses ranged from 0.82 to 2.30, 0.75 to 2.14, 0.95 to 1.87 and 0.68 to 1.38 mm with an average of 1.78, 1.48, 1.62 and 1.07 mm, respectively (Table 3). The MWD of sub-surface soils varied from 0.62 to 1.20, 0.62 to 1.60, 0.63 to 1.32 and 0.61 to 0.84 mm with an average of 0.98, 1.06, 0.95 and 0.72 mm under Jhum, low land rice, forest and orange land uses, respectively. The maximum MWD both in surface and sub-surface soils was observed in Tamlu town soils followed by Kangching soils. The MWD showed a significant positive correlation with organic C (r=0.53). Similar observations were also made by Kumar and Singh (2007); Ayoubi et al. (2011) and Pati et al. (2016).

Literature Cited

Ayoubi, S., Khormali, F., Sahrawat, K. L. and Rodrigues, A. C. (2011). Assessing impact of land use change on soil quality indicators in a Loessial in Golestan Province, Iran. J. Agric. Sci. & Technol., 13: 727-742.

Baruah, T.C. and Barthakur, H.P. (1997). A Text book of soil analysis, Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Bhaskar, B.P., Mishra, J.P., Baruah, U., Vadivelu, S., Sen, T.K., Butte, P.S. and Dutta, D.P. (2004). Soils on Jhum cultivated hill slopes of Norang-Kongripara watershed in Meghalaya. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 52: 125-133.

Dadhwal, K.S., Mandal, Debashis., Srimali, S.S., Dhyani, S.K., Mohan, S.C. and Raizada, A. (2011). Landscape-scale soil quality assessment under different land use systems in northwestern hilly region. Indian J. Soil Cons., 39 (2): 128-135.

Dadhwal, K.S., Mandal, Debashis., Srimali, S.S., Mohan, S.C., Raizada, A. and Sankar, M. (2012). Impact of different land use system on soil properties in a watershed of lower Himalayan region. *Indian J. Soil Cons.*, **40** (2): 129-134.

Das, P.T. (2007). Mapping of soil properties of East Khasi Hills district of Meghalaya using GIS. Indian J Hill Farm., 20 : 45-52.

Dutta, M. and Dhiman, K.R. (2001). Effect of some multipurpose trees on soil properties and crop productivity in Tripura area. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 94 (3): 511-515.

Dutta, M., Diengdoh, J. and Ram, S. (2015). Physico-chemical properties of West Khaisi Hills soils of Meghalaya in relation to land uses. Asian J. Soil Sci., 10 (2): 288-294.

Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2nd Ed.). John Wiley & Sons, INC., UK.

Gupta, R. D. (2010). Soil physical variability in relation to soil erodibility under different land uses in foothills of Siwaliks in N-W India. Tropical Ecol., 51 (2): 183-197.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Khera, K.L. and Kahlon, M.S. (2005). Impact of land use pattern on soil erosion in sub-montane Punjab. *Indian J. Soil* Cons., 33 (3): 204-206.

Kumar, S. and Singh, R. (2007). Erodibility study under different land uses in North-West Himalayas. J. Agric. Phy., **7**: 31-37.

Laxminarayana, K. (2010). Nature of soil acidity and lime requirement in acid soils of Meghalaya. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 58: 233-236.

Mainuri, Z. G. and Owino, J. O. (2013). Effect of land use and management on aggregate stability and hydraulic conductivity of soils within Njoro watershed in Kenya. Internat. Soil & Water Conserv. Res., 1 (2): 80-87.

Mandal, Debashis., Dadhwal, K.S. and Shrimali, S.S. (2011). Soil quality index for different land use systems in Northwestern Hilly Region of India. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., **59** (2): 169-176.

Mehta, Ashwani. K, Khera, K.L. and Bhushan, Bharat (2005). Effect of soil physical properties and land use on soil erodibility. *Indian J. Soil Cons.*, **33** (2): 180-182.

Namei, A., Singh, P.K. and Choudhury, P. (2016). Establishment of relationship between different important properties of soil under various land use system and the impact of shifting cultivation on Longleng soil of Nagaland. Internat. J. IT & Engg., 4 (7): 425-675.

Nayak, D.C. and Srivastava, R. (1995). Soils of shifting cultivated area in Arunachal Pradesh and their suitability for land use planning. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 43: 246-251.

Pati, S., Padhan, D., Saha, A.K., Pal, B., Chaitnaya, A.K., Gupta, S.D., Dey, D. and Hazra, G.C. (2016). Land use pattern influence the aggregate stability of soil. Internat. J. Ecol. & Environ. Sci., **42** (1): 214-245.

Paul, S.C., Ghosh, A.K., Choudhury, A., Singh, S. and Ray, S.K. (2011). Soil properties and fertility status of terai soils of West Bengal as influenced by different land use. Environ. & Ecol., 29: 536-541.

Piper, C.S. (1996). Soil and plant analysis, Hans Publishers, Mumbai (M.S.) INDIA.

Rajan, K., Natrajan, A., Anil Kumar, K.S., Gowda, R.C. and Abdul Haris, A. (2014). Assessment of some soil physical indicators in severely eroded lands of southern Karnataka. Indian J. Soil Cons., 42 (2): 154-163.

Ray, R., Mukhopadhyay, K. and Biswas, P. (2006). Soil aggregation and its relationship with physico-chemical properties under various land use systems. Indian J. Soil Cons., 34 (1): 28-32.

Rudramurthy, H.V., Puttaiah, E.T. and Vageesh, T.S. (2007). Chemical properties of soils under different land use systems in Shimoga district of Karnataka. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 53: 259-264.

Sharma, J.C. and Kumar, Vipin (2010). Erodibilty status of soils under different land uses in Shiwalik hills of Himachal Pradesh. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 58 (4): 467-469.

Sharma, Y.K., Sharma, A. and Sharma, S.K. (2012). Distribution of DTPA- Extractable micronutrient cations in soils of Zunheboto district of Nagaland in relation to soil characteristics. J. Interacademicia, 16: 101-108.

Singh, M. J. and Khera, K. L. (2008). Soil erodibility indices under different land use in lower Shiwaliks. Tropi. Ecol., 49 (2):113-119.

Singh, P.K. and Munth, H. (2013). Fertility status of soil under forest and cultivated land use system of Nagaland. Asian J. Soil Sci., 8 (2): 470-475.

Van Bavel, C.H.M. (1949). Mean weight diameter of soil aggregates as a structural index of aggregation. Soil Sci. Soc. America Proc., 14: 20-23.

