

## Research Article

DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.2/290-295

# Stabilizing crop productivity returns and soil improvement by agroforestry practices under marginal lands in semi-arid tropics of Telangana state, India

A. KRISHNA, M.A. AARIFF KHAN AND B. JOSEPH

Received : 30.09.2017; Revised : 10.11.2017; Accepted : 20.11.2017

## MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM:

## Corresponding author :

A. KRISHNA, Department of Agronomy, AICRP on Agroforestry, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, HYDERABAD, (TELANGANA) INDIA

## Co-authors :

M.A. AARIFF KHAN, Department of Soil Science, AICRP on Agroforestry, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, HYDERABAD, (TELANGANA) INDIA

B. JOSEPH, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Palem, NAGARKURNOOL (TELANGANA) INDIA

**Summary**

Different agroforestry trials conducted in Agroforestry Research blocks, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad has showed higher economic gain in marginal lands. Sunflower grown when inter cropped in *Hardwickia binata* after stylo recorded higher seed yield (342 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than grown after fallow in *Hardwickia binata* (248 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The net returns from tree and crop were considerably higher when sunflower grown as inter crop in *Hardwickia binata* Rs. 6593 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The *Faidherbia albida* (13 years age old) trial revealed that seed yield of maize + soybean when grown as inter crop was higher (2.94 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) when compared to sole cropped maize without trees (1.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Due to shade effect of tamarind, after 8 years the same system was converted to horti-horti system for better land utilization by planting economic demand plants such as henna. The higher fresh biomass production of henna (640 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded in double row planting when compared to single row. The soil productivity and fertility was improved in degraded marginal lands by different agroforestry practices. Pertaining to soil improvement over initial in different agroforestry practices the influence of different land use systems on soil properties and nutrient status revealed that bulk density reduced in surface and sub-surface soil in all tree based systems as compared to fallow (1.65 and 1.68 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and agricultural lands. The water holding capacity and infiltration rate was maximum in agri-horti system 30.0 and 30.0 per cent at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth, respectively. Nutrient status and organic carbon was more in soils with tree plantation. Soil enrichment found in marginal lands in different agroforestry practices such as *Melia azedarach* based agri-silvi system, the conjoint use of 75% RD N + 25% N poultry manure showed significant effect on OC (0.59%) and available NPK (150.0, 24.95, 210.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by 100% RDF (0.55% and 147.0, 24.00, 216.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In case of *Melia dubia* based silvi-pasture system, the OC and available N and P significantly affected by type of fodders and nutrient management over farmers practice i.e. FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. But there is no significant effect by interactions. The highest OC content recorded in fodder maize (0.52%) than sorghum (0.46%). In case of available N and P the significant effect found with fodder sorghum (152.0 and 51.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than maize (109 and 22.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Key words :** Agroforestry practices, Soil improvement, Tree crop relation

**How to cite this article :** Krishna, A., Khan, M.A.Aariff and Joseph, B. (2017). Stabilizing crop productivity returns and soil improvement by agroforestry practices under marginal lands in semi-arid tropics of Telangana state, India. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, **12** (2) : 290-295 : DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/12.2/290-295.

## Introduction

In semi-arid regions of India, stability of crop yields and returns is very difficult due to abnormal weather condition. Growing tree alone or seasonal crops will not give optimum yields from the system. Under such situation, integration of trees with arable crops, legumes, grasses under land capability and help farmers of dry regions for establishing the cropping system. It aims at utilizing the land, resources in optimum condition by intensifying crops in temporal and spatial terms through efficient utilization. The main aim of agroforestry practices in dry lands is to improve the existing land to optimize productivity of component crops in tree intercrop system. These practices will improve the primary output like food, fodder, fuel and small timber etc., and also yield additional benefits in terms of intercrop yield, fertility improvement, water conservation in most efficient way. Over 120 m ha have been declared degraded and problem soils mainly under arid and semi-arid tropics (NAAS, 2010). In general the productivity and fertility status of degraded marginal lands is very low to low. There are many ways to increase the productivity and fertility status of degraded marginal soils. Among them adapting the agroforestry practices are the best choice to improve and enrich the soil (Aariff Khan and Krishna, 2017).

## Resource and Research Methods

Different agroforestry systems like agri-silvi, agri-horti culture, silvi-pasture systems and block plantations were tested in red sandy loams and marginal lands of student farm and agroforestry research blocks of PJTS Agricultural University campus, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, T.S were utilized for present evaluation. In agri-silvi culture system, *Hardwickia binata* (8 years age old) with sunflower, cowpea, field bean, soybean, groundnut as *Kharif* crops and *Faidherbia albida* (13 years age old) with maize as *Rabi* crop was grown. The experiment was conducted from 2006-2008 with field crops as intercrops in between trees. In another experiment (Agri-horti system) guava + curry leaf with soybean as intercrop in *Kharif* and another with tamarind + curry leaf + custard apple with sorghum, cowpea,

redgram were grown as intercrops in *Kharif* (8 years age old) followed by tamarind + henna (1 or 2 rows) were tested in marginal lands of Hyderabad during 2008-2015. The *Melia azedarach* based agri-silvi experiment was laid in Randomized Block Design with three replications and seven treatments. Whereas, *Melia dubia* based silvi-pasture experiment was laid in Split plot design with four replications and six treatments during 2013-14. The initial and final soil samples were analyzed for soil properties and nutrient status as per standard procedures (AOAC, 1980 and Wilde *et al.*, 1972). The crop yields (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and net returns (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) evaluated as per local market and deducting cost of cultivation from the system for calculating net returns and economics.

## Research Findings and Discussion

The results obtained from different cropping systems have been presented in the following heads.

### Agroforestry practice for crop improvement with legumes and non legumes in various cropping systems:

#### *Hardwickia binata* based system:

Sunflower grown in *Hardwickia binata* (10 years age old) after stylo for a period of three years recorded higher seed yield than sunflower inter cropped after fallow in *Hardwickia binata*. The net returns from tree and crop found higher in intercropped sunflower grown in *Hardwickia* when compared to sole crop sunflower. This was due to inclusion of leguminous fodder like stylo and considering the expected returns from the tree at that particular age from the value added products like fuel, fodder etc from trees (Table 1) and sunflower returns.

#### *Faidherbia albida* based system:

Maize grown in *Faidherbia albida* (13 years age old) trees produced higher seed yield with intercropped system when compared to sole crop. However, maize equivalent yield with soybean, field bean grown in *Faidherbia albida* were higher than sole crop maize (Table 2). The net returns from the system (tree + crop) were increased considerably when maize was grown in combination with legume crops in *Faidherbia albida* over

maize grown in sole crop. The increased net returns in maize + legume system was mainly due to complementary effect of trees for crop growth coupled with beneficial effect of legume intercrop. This shows that nitrogen fixing trees like *Hardwickia binata* and *Faidherbia albida* etc., would be beneficial in poor soils under dry land conditions for crop productivity improvement and realizing higher net returns from the system.

#### Agroforestry practice for economic gain in marginal land:

A field trial on agri-silvi-horti system was conducted from 2003 to 2008 (Table 3) reported that higher fruit yield of tamarind recorded (2.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in tamarind + curry leaf (filler plant) + sorghum cropping system which was followed by tamarind + curry leaf (filler plant) + cowpea (2.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and tamarind + custard apple (filler plant) + sorghum 2.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup> cropping system. Maximum and minimum returns were recorded with tamarind + custard apple + redgram (Rs. 29972 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and tamarind + curry leaf + cluster bean (Rs. 23764 ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. Similar results were reported by Kundu *et al.* (2010) with maize growth in tamarind.

In the same system, when tamarind trees were become 8 years age old, they were shading the filler plants, hence, they were removed and in its place shade

loving hardy species like henna was planted in one or two rows in between tamarind trees. The performance was tested between 2008 to 2015 (8 to 14 years age old trees). The growth of henna with double rows produced significantly higher biomass 640 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and height (2.8 m) than single row (520 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.4 m). This shows that increasing planting intensity has beneficial effect in biomass production. Among the organic manure treatment, application of *Neem* cake 2 kg plant<sup>-1</sup> (2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher biomass 580 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and height 3.0 m (Table 4). The spread of henna plants from NS and EW directions was also recorded. Higher spread recorded at NS direction (120 cm) and EW (110 cm) in single row of henna compared to 2 rows of henna (100 and 90 cm). Similarly the spread of henna was higher in *Neem* cake applied treatment towards NS direction (124 cm) when compared with EW (102 cm). This shows that on per plant basis, the utility of height and nutrients was better in single row of henna. But total biomass was higher in 2 rows of henna because of more number of plants.

#### Agroforestry practices for soil improvement in degraded marginal lands:

##### *Different land use systems:*

The effect of land use systems with different

| Cropping system  | Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Net returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Sole cropping of sunflower   | 636                               | 4134                                |
| Inter cropping of sunflower after stylo in <i>Hardwickia binata</i>  | 342                               | 6593                                |
| Inter cropping of sunflower after fallow in <i>Hardwickia binata</i> | 248                               | 5287                                |

| Cropping system               | Maize equivalent yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total net returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Maize alone with trees        | 2.66   | 10301                                     |
| Maize + Cowpea with trees     | 2.56   | 8891                                      |
| Maize + Field bean with trees | 2.92   | 10126                                     |
| Maize + Soybean with trees    | 2.94   | 11276                                     |
| Maize + Groundnut with trees  | 2.10   | 6715                                      |
| Sole maize without trees      | 1.7  | 3764                                      |

| Cropping system                         | Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total net returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Sole cropping of soybean                | 800                               | 3269                                      |
| Inter cropping of soybean in guava      | 739                               | 8021                                      |
| Inter cropping of soybean in curry leaf | 740                               | 4845                                      |

agroforestry systems on soil physical properties and nutrient status at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth (Table 5) revealed that bulk density of soil reduced in surface and subsurface soils in tree based cropping system compared to fallow (1.65 and 1.68 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and agricultural land (1.62 and 1.67 mg m<sup>-3</sup>), lowest being in eucalyptus system (1.38 and 1.42 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) (Swarnam *et al.*, 2004 and Thyagaraj *et al.*, 2010). This might be due to better spread and penetration of root system as well as porous nature of soil in rhizosphere. Whereas, maximum and minimum water holding capacity recorded in tamarind based agri-horti system (30.0 and 30.0% at 0-15 and 15-30 cm) and

in fallow land (15.0 and 10.0%), respectively. In case of infiltration rate the maximum and minimum found in agricultural land and in eucalyptus and *Faidherbia albida* plantation (1.6 cm hr<sup>-1</sup> at 30 cm depth), respectively (Sathyavathi and Reddy, 2004 and Nagender Rao *et al.*, 2009). This is attributed to better soil aggregates and improvement in soil structure which coincides with low bulk density values in corresponding system. The total N percentage and carbon was increased considerably in soil covered with tree plantation when compared to fallow and agricultural lands. Maximum total N (0.076 and 0.071%) and total carbon 0.89 and 0.76 per cent at

**Table 4: Growth and biomass of henna in tamarind based horti-horti system**

| Treatments   | Height (m) | Biomass (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Spread (cm) |     | Main branches |
|--|------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----|---------------|
|  |            |                                | NS          | EW  |               |
| Planting density   |            |                                |             |     |               |
| One row of Henna   | 2.4        | 520                            | 120         | 110 | 2.5           |
| Two rows of Henna  | 2.8        | 640                            | 100         | 90  | 2.0           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | NS         | 68                             | 16          | 12  | NS            |
| Organic manures  |            |                                |             |     |               |
| M1 FYM 2 kg plant <sup>-1</sup>  | 2.2        | 490                            | 108         | 100 | 2.0           |
| M2 Vermi 2 kg plant <sup>-1</sup>  | 2.8        | 510                            | 110         | 102 | 2.0           |
| M3 <i>Neem</i> cake 2 kg plant <sup>-1</sup>                             | 3.0        | 580                            | 124         | 102 | 2.2           |
| M4 FYM + <i>Neem</i> cake 1 kg each (2 kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )          | 2.2        | 540                            | 110         | 100 | 1.8           |
| M5 Vermicompost + <i>Neem</i> cake 1 kg each (2 kg plant <sup>-1</sup> ) | 2.4        | 500                            | 104         | 90  | 2.0           |
| M6 FYM + Vermicompost 1 kg each (2 kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )              | 2.8        | 480                            | 108         | 92  | 1.9           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 0.6        | 62                             | 14          | 10  | NS            |
| Interaction  |            |                                |             |     |               |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | NS         | NS                             | 18          | 14  | NS            |

NS= Non-significant

**Table 5: Influence of different land use systems on soil properties and nutrient status**

| Land use system                                   | (Age/<br>year) | Bulk density (mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) |       | Water holding capacity (%) |       | Infiltration rate (cm hr <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total nitrogen (%) |       | Total carbon (%) |       | C:N ratio |       |
|---|----------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|--|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
|   |                | 0-15                               | 15-30 | 0-15                       | 15-30 |  | 0-15               | 15-30 | 0-15             | 15-30 | 0-15      | 15-30 |
|   |                | cm                                 | cm    | cm                         | cm    |  | cm                 | cm    | cm               | cm    | cm        | cm    |
| Fallow land                                       | --             | 1.65                               | 1.68  | 15.0                       | 10.0  | 2.8                                      | 0.021              | 0.017 | 0.21             | 0.20  | 10.1      | 12.1  |
| Agricultural land                                 | --             | 1.62                               | 1.67  | 18.0                       | 20.0  | 2.9                                      | 0.039              | 0.036 | 0.42             | 0.38  | 11.1      | 11.1  |
| <i>Albizia lebbek</i> based Agri-silvi system     | 9              | 1.51                               | 1.70  | 25.0                       | 20.0  | 2.3                                      | 0.042              | 0.034 | 0.51             | 0.40  | 12.2      | 11.8  |
| <i>Acacia nilotica</i> based Silvi-pasture system | 9              | 1.42                               | 1.60  | 30.0                       | 28.0  | 1.8                                      | 0.067              | 0.064 | 0.76             | 0.71  | 11.1      | 11.1  |
| <i>Tamarindus indica</i> based Agri-horti system  | 13             | 1.48                               | 1.59  | 30.0                       | 30.0  | 2.1                                      | 0.076              | 0.071 | 0.89             | 0.76  | 12.1      | 10.1  |
| <i>Eucalyptus camalundensis</i>                   | 13             | 1.38                               | 1.42  | 30.0                       | 21.0  | 1.6                                      | 0.051              | 0.042 | 0.59             | 0.49  | 11.1      | 11.1  |
| <i>Faidherbia albida</i>                          | 13             | 1.55                               | 1.62  | 27.0                       | 19.0  | 1.6                                      | 0.054              | 0.049 | 0.68             | 0.58  | 12.1      | 12.1  |
| <i>Leucenia leucocephala</i>                      | 13             | 1.50                               | 1.54  | 28.0                       | 20.0  | 1.8                                      | 0.062              | 0.056 | 0.68             | 0.66  | 10.9      | 11.8  |
| Mean  | -              | 1.51                               | 1.60  | 25.4                       | 21.1  | 2.1                                      | 0.050              | 0.040 | 0.59             | 0.52  | 11.4      | 11.2  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                                     | -              | --                                 | ---   | --                         | ---   | ---                                      | 0.008              | 0.01  | 0.1              | 0.09  | --        | --    |

both soil depths, respectively resulted in agri-horti system followed by silvi-pasture system 0.067, 0.064 per cent and 0.76 and 0.71 per cent. This might be due to better decomposition of leaf litter as well as availability of nutrients from the system (Sanjeeva Reddy *et al.*, 2009).

#### Agri-silvi system *i.e.* *Melia azedarach* + foxtail millet:

There was no significant effect by different treatments on pH and EC (Table 6). But there was significant effect on OC and available NPK content. The conjoint use of 75% RD N + 25% N poultry manure showed significant effect on OC (0.59%) and available NPK (150.0, 24.95, 219.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by 100% RDF (0.55% and 147.0, 24.00, 216.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The higher

availability of nutrients may be attributed due to addition of mineral fertilizer NPK along with organic sources reduced the C:N ratio and thus, increased the rate of decomposition resulting the faster availability of nutrients from organic manures (Nandal and Ravikumar, 2010).

#### Silvi-pasture system - *Melia dubia* + fodder maize and sorghum:

The soil OC and available N and P contents was significantly affected by type of fodders and nutrient management over farmers practice *i.e.* FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 7). But there was no significant effect by interactions. The highest OC content recorded in fodder maize (0.52%) than sorghum (0.46%). This is due to addition of tree litter and decomposition of roots of weeds

**Table 6 : Soil properties and available nutrients of foxtail millet as influenced by nutrient management in *Melia azedarach* in agri-silvi system**

| Treatments   | pH   | EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> ) | OC (%) | Available nutrient (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |       |     |
|--|------|-------------------------|--------|---|-------|-----|
|  |      |                         |        | N   | P     | K   |
| T <sub>1</sub> FYM 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                                       | 6.85 | 0.019                   | 0.42   | 134                                       | 19.75 | 209 |
| T <sub>2</sub> 100% RDF  | 6.92 | 0.022                   | 0.55   | 147                                       | 24.00 | 216 |
| T <sub>3</sub> 75%N + 25% N FYM  | 6.78 | 0.029                   | 0.50   | 140                                       | 22.95 | 212 |
| T <sub>4</sub> 75% RD N + 25% N VC   | 6.90 | 0.018                   | 0.46   | 139                                       | 21.78 | 207 |
| T <sub>5</sub> 75% RD N + 25% N PM   | 7.03 | 0.028                   | 0.59   | 150                                       | 24.95 | 219 |
| T <sub>6</sub> 75% RD N + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB 5 each kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 6.88 | 0.032                   | 0.49   | 139                                       | 23.00 | 212 |
| T <sub>9</sub> Sole crop without trees   | 6.79 | 0.025                   | 0.51   | 143                                       | 24.15 | 217 |
| S.E. ±   | 0.07 | 0.004                   | 0.03   | 1.7                                       | 0.86  | 1.6 |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | NS   | NS                      | 0.09   | 5.2                                       | 2.65  | 5.0 |
| Initial soil   | 6.97 | 0.024                   | 0.38   | 139                                       | 18.80 | 211 |

NS= Non-significant

**Table 7: Soil properties and available nutrients of cereal fodders as influenced by nutrient management in *Melia dubia* based silvi-pasture system**

| Treatments (Main)                        | Type of fodder |               |      |   |               |          |            |               |       |
|--|----------------|---------------|------|---|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|-------|
|  | OC (%)         |               |      | Available nutrient (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |               |          |            |               |       |
|  | M1 Maize       |               | Mean | N   |               |          | P          |               |       |
| M2 Sorghum                               | Mean           | M1 Maize      |      | M2 Sorghum                                | Mean          | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean          |       |
| S <sub>1</sub> FYM 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 0.42           | 0.38          | 0.40 | 104                                       | 151           | 127      | 16.85      | 47.50         | 32.17 |
| S <sub>2</sub> 100% RDF                  | 0.54           | 0.46          | 0.50 | 108                                       | 149           | 128      | 19.90      | 51.85         | 35.87 |
| S <sub>3</sub> 75% RD N + 25% N FYM      | 0.51           | 0.43          | 0.47 | 106                                       | 153           | 129      | 23.70      | 49.30         | 36.50 |
| S <sub>4</sub> 50% RD N + 50% N FYM      | 0.59           | 0.52          | 0.55 | 110                                       | 156           | 133      | 26.00      | 52.75         | 39.37 |
| S <sub>5</sub> 75% RD N + 25% N PM       | 0.58           | 0.50          | 0.48 | 114                                       | 151           | 132      | 27.30      | 53.90         | 40.60 |
| S <sub>6</sub> Sole fodder crop          | 0.48           | 0.47          | 0.47 | 111                                       | 151           | 131      | 20.75      | 50.70         | 35.72 |
| Mean                                     | 0.52           | 0.46          | -    | 109                                       | 152           | -        | 22.42      | 51.00         | -     |
| -  | S.E. ±         | C.D. (P=0.05) | -    | S.E. ±                                    | C.D. (P=0.05) | -        | S.E. ±     | C.D. (P=0.05) | -     |
| Main                                     | 0.003          | 0.013         | -    | 0.24                                      | 1.1           | -        | 0.26       | 1.18          | -     |
| Sub                                      | 0.024          | 0.070         | -    | 2.52                                      | 7.27          | -        | 1.10       | 3.17          | -     |
| Interaction                              | -              | NS            | -    | -   | NS            | -        | -          | NS            | -     |
| Initial soil                             |                | 0.42          |      |   | 105           |          |            | 15.50         |       |

NS= Non-significant

in the rhizosphere (Devarana Vadgi *et al.*, 2003). In case of available N and P the significant effect found with fodder sorghum (152.0 and 51.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than maize (109 and 22.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The reason may be due to short duration of sorghum and the applied mineral fertilizer was less utilized than maize comparatively utilized more effectively (Singh *et al.* 2008).

## Literature Cited

- Aariff Khan, M.A. and Krishna, A. (2017).** Marginal lands productivity and fertility increased by different agroforestry systems in semi-arid tropics of Telangana state, India. *Inter. J. Trop. Agric.*, **35** (1): 89-99.
- A.O.A.C. (1980). *Official methods of analysis*. Association of official agricultural chemists. Washington, D.C., U.S.A
- Deswal, A.K. and Nandal, D.P.S. (2008).** Growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under varying levels of irrigation and fertilizer in Eucalyptus based agri silvi culture system. *Indian J. Agroforestry*, **10** (1): 10-14.
- Devarana Vadgi, S.B., Hunshal, C.S., Wajo, S.Y., Poddar, R.S. and Patil, M.B. (2003).** Economic evaluation of sorghum based agri-silvi cultural system. *J. Farm. Syst. Res. & Develop.*, **92** (2): 173-175.
- Kundu, Sumanta, Gajbhije, P.N., Srinivasa Rao, Ch. and Bheemaiah, G. (2010).** Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributes, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of growing maize in tamarind-based cropping system. *Indian J. Dry land Agric. Res. & Develop.*, **24** (1): 81-86.
- Nagendar Rao, C., Prabhu Prasadini and Sreemanarayana, B. (2009).** Infiltration characteristics of red sandy loams under different land use systems. *Indian J. Dry land Agric. Res. & Develop.*, **24** (2): 59-65.
- Nandal, D.P.S. and Ravikumar (2010).** Influence of *Melia azedarach* based land use system on economics. *Indian J. Agroforestry*, **15**: 23-26.
- Raturi, G.B. and Hiwale, S.S. (1993).** Horti-silvi-pastoral system for increased productivity of marginal and degraded lands under rainfed conditions. *Adv. Hort. & Forest.*, **3**: 179-186.
- Sanjeeva Reddy, N., Ramesh, G. and Sreemanarayana, B. (2009).** Evaluation of various tree species under different land use systems for higher carbon sequestration. *Indian J. Dry land Agric. Res. & Develop.*, **24** (2): 74-78.
- Sathyavathi, P.L.A. and Reddy, M.S. (2004).** Soil site suitability for six major crops in Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **52** (3): 220-225.
- Sharma, K.K., Khanna, P. and Gulati, A. (1996).** The growth and yield of wheat and paddy as influenced by *Dalbergia sissoo*. Roxb. boundary plantation. *Indian Forester*, **122**: 1114-1126.
- Singh, R.A., Shamim, Singh, M.K. and Pandey, R.K. (2008).** Agri and vege-horti systems with mango in *Gangetic alluvial* tract of UP. *Asian J. Hort.*, **3**(2): 226-228.
- Swarnam, T.P., Velmurugan, A. and Rao, Y.S. (2004).** Characterization and classification of some soils from Shahibi basin parts of Haryana and Delhi. *Agropedology*, **14**: 114-1122.
- Thyagaraj, C.R. (2004).** Interaction influence of tillage time, method and rainfall on soil moisture retention with relevant expert system. Ph.D. Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, A.P. (INDIA).
- Thyagaraj, C.R., Srinivas, I., Sanjeeva Reddy, B., Rao, K.V., Vital, K.P. R. and Rao, B.V. (2010).** Influence of tillage time, implement and rainfall on soil moisture retention and bulk density in Alfisols. *Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. & Develop.*, **24** (2): 59-65.
- Wilde, S.A., Gorney, R.B., Iyer, I.G. and Voiget, G.K. (1972).** *Soil and plant analysis for tree culture*. Oxford & IBH Publishers., NEW DELHI, INDIA.
- Young, A. (1997).** *Agroforestry for soil management*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Cab International Wallingford, U.K

12<sup>th</sup> Year  
★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★