Visit us: www.researchjournal.co.in

■ e ISSN-0976-5670

RESEARCH PAPER

Bio-efficacy of newer molecules against pod borer complex of Indian bean, *Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet

G. C. JAT*, V. K. AGRAWAL1 AND H. L. DESHWAL2

Department of Entomology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture (M.P.U.A.T), UDAIPUR (RAJASTHAN) INDIA (Email: mavaliyagulab@gmail.com)

Abstract : The bioefficacy of six newer insecticides molecules was evaluated against pod borer complex in Indian bean crop revealed that the treatment of spinosad (0.01%) proved most effective followed by indoxacarb (0.01%). The treatments of endosulfan (0.05%), cartap (0.10%) and malathion (0.05%) were existed in moderately effective group, however, the treatment of *Neem* oil (0.5%) was proved least effective. The order of effectiveness of insecticides against prod borer complex was: spinosad > indoxacarb > endosulfan > cartap > malathion > *Neem* oil.

Key Words: Lablab purpureus, Pod borer, Insecticides

View Point Article: Jat, G.C., Agrawal, V.K. and Deshwal, H.L. (2017). Bio-efficacy of newer molecules against pod borer complex of Indian bean, *Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, 13 (2): 300-304, DOI:10.15740/HAS/IJAS/13.2/300-304.

Article History: Received: 07.03.2017; Revised: 21.04.2017; Accepted: 05.05.2017

Introduction

The Indian bean, *Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet (Family: Fabaceae) originated from India and its wild form are found all over the sub-continent. It is a perennial twining or creeping rainy season herb that is generally cultivated as annual. The mature and dark coloured seeds of Indian bean have trypsin inhibitor (Butani and Jotwani, 1984). Insect-pests are one of the major limiting factors for production of Indian bean. The crop is attacked by as many as 55 species of insects and mites, among them, the pod borers are considered to be the most important group causing crop loss to the tune of 80 to 100 per cent (Katagihallimath and Siddappaji, 1962). The pod borer complex include avare pod borer, *Adisura atkinsoni*

(Moore); gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner; spotted pod borer, *Maruca testulalis* Geyer; bean pod borer moth / pea pod borer, *Etiella zinckenella* Treitschke; soybean pod borer, *Lampiodes boeticus* L. and black cowpea seed moth, *Cydia ptychora* Meyrick. These borer causes substantial damage to flowers by webbing and boring into the pods.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out in a simple Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments (insecticides) including untreated control, each replicated four times. The plot size was 1.8 x 1.5 m² keeping of row to row and plant to plant distance of 60 and 30 cm, respectively.

^{*} Author for correspondence:

| Table A : Details of insecticides used | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Insecticides | Formulation | Concentration (%) / dosage | | | | | | |
| 1. | Indoxacarb | 14.5 EC | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| 2. | Neem oil* | - | 0.50 | | | | | | |
| 3. | Spinosad | 45 SC | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| 4. | Cartap | 50 SP | 0.10 | | | | | | |
| 5. | Endosulfan | 35 EC | 0.05 | | | | | | |
| 6. | Malathion | 50 EC | 0.05 | | | | | | |
| 7. | Untreated check | - | - | | | | | | |

^{* =} Neem oil spray solution was made with 50 ml of Neem excel ® + 10 g of soap nut powered sachet/loose or any suitable emsulsifer + 10 litres of water (Mix well in the knapsack sprayer and spray on the target site)

A distance of 1.0 m, was kept between the plots to avoid the drift of insecticides. The Indian bean cv LOCAL-1-1 was used for the experiment, sown on 20, July 2009. The recommended package of practices was followed to raise the crop.

All the treatments were applied as foliar spray by using pre-calibrated knapsack sprayer. Total three spray were applied at 15 days interval starting from sufficient infestation observed.

Observations:

Increase in yield (%) =

The pod infestation of borers were recorded one day before treatment and subsequent observations were made at weekly pickings. The damage due to pod borer complex was recorded by observing bored pods (hole made by borers) as well as healthy pods (no holes). These observations were recorded on five ear marked plants per plot. The avoidable losses and increase in yield of fruits over control was calculated for each treatment by using the following formula:

Avoidable losses (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Highest yield in the treated plot}}{\text{Highest yield in the treated plot}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Yield in the treatment}$$

$$\text{Yield in the treatment}$$

$$-\text{Yield in control}$$

$$\text{Yield in control}$$

Yield in control

This formula did not give the exact losses / increase in yield because even in the best treatment, some damage occurred. However, this is considered to be the most feasible method for working out the percentage loss due to the insect pests in any treatment (Pradhan, 1964).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

First spray:

The data presented in Table 2 and 3 revealed that after one week of first spray all the treatments were found significantly superior over control against pod borer complex on Indian bean based on pod damage both number and weight basis. The treatment of spinosad proved most effective with minimum pod infestation (3.49 on number and 3.39 on weight basis) followed by indoxacarb (3.70 and 3.62%) and both were statistically at par in their efficacy. The next effective treatments were endosulfan (4.80 and 4.66%), cartap (4.99 and 4.90%) and malathion (5.18 and 5.09%). However, all these were comparable to each other. The treatment of Neem oil (6.69 and 6.60%) proved least effective. Similarly, after two weeks of first spray the treatment of spinosad (6.45 and 6.36%) were statistically at par with indoxacarp (6.80 and 6.69%). The treatment of endosulfan (8.58 and 8.50%), cartap (9.10 and 9.01%) and malathion (9.18 and 9.10%) were observed in middle order with respect to bioefficacy against pod borer complex of Indian bean. However, these were comparable to each other and moderate in their efficacy. Neem oil (11.56 and 11.48%) stood significantly inferior over rest of the treatment.

Second spray:

The data presented in Table 2 and 3 revealed all the treatments were found significantly superior over control. The treatment of spinosad was found most effective resulted in minimum pod infestation (4.01 and 3.90%, respectively) followed by indoxacarb (4.12 and 4.03%, respectively), however, both were comparable to each other. The treatment of endosulfan (5.42 and 5.30%, respectively), cartap (5.59 and 5.51%, respectively) and malathion (6.02 and 5.91%, respectively) ranked next best group of insecticides. The treatment of *Neem* oil (7.75 and 7.66%, respectively) proved significantly inferior over rest of the treatments. All the treatments were also found significantly superior over control after two weeks of second spray. The treatment of spinosad (7.50 and 7.41%, respectively) was found most effective followed by indoxacarb and resulted in 7.87 and 7.77 per cent pod damage and both were statistically at par. The next effective treatment was endosulfan (10.11 and 9.98%, respectively) and stood statistically at par with cartap (10.56 and 10.45%, respectively) and malathion (10.66 and 10.56%, respectively). The treatment of Neem oil (13.45 and 13.36%, respectively) was found to be least effective.

Third spray:

After one week of third spray all the treatments were found significantly superior over the control. The treatment of spinosad (2.60 and 2.51%) proved most effective which was statistically at par with indoxacarb (2.99 and 2.89%). The treatments of endosulfan (3.87 and 3.79%), cartap (4.32 and 4.27%) and malathion (4.98 and 4.59%) ranked second best group of insecticides and were moderate in their efficacy. The treatment of *Neem* oil (6.96 and 6.87%) was least effective treatment. similar trend of pod damage was also observed after

| Sr. No. | Insecticides | Formulations | Conc. (%) / dosages | Mean pod yield (q ha ⁻¹)* |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | Indoxacarb | 14.5 SC | 0.01 | 75.25 |
| !. | Neem oil | - | 0.5 | 55.37 |
| | Spinosad | 45 SC | 0.01 | 78.67 |
| • | Cartap | 50 SP | 0.10 | 66.50 |
| | Endosulfan | 35 EC | 0.05 | 71.57 |
| | Malathion | 50 EC | 0.05 | 62.82 |
| | Control | - | - | 45.58 |
| | S.E.± | - | - | 1.64 |
| | C.D. (P=0.05) | - | - | 4.88 |

^{*} Mean of four replications

| Table : | Table 2: Bio-efficacy of newer molecules against pod borer complex on Indian bean cv. LOCAL-1-1 in 2009 (Number basis) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|--|----------------|-------|--------------|----------------|-------|-------------|----------------|-------|
| | Treatments | Conc. | Per cent pod infestation* days after spray | | | | | | | | |
| Sr. No. | | (%)/ | First spray | | | Second spray | | | Third spray | | |
| | | dosages | One week | Second week | Mean | One week | Second week | Mean | One week | Second week | Mean |
| 1. | Indoxacarb 14.5 SC | 0.01 | 3.70 | 6.80 | 5.25 | 4.12 | 7.87 | 5.97 | 2.99 | 3.98 | 3.48 |
| | | | (11.09)** | (15.12) | | (11.71) | (16.29) | | (9.96) | (11.51) | |
| 2. | Neem oil | 0.5 | 6.69 | 11.56 | 8.26 | 7.75 | 13.45 | 10.60 | 6.96 | 7.85 | 7.40 |
| | | | (14.99) | (19.88) | | (16.16) | (21.51) | | (15.30) | (16.27) | |
| 3. | Spinosad 45 SC | 0.01 | 3.49 | 6.45 | 4.97 | 4.01 | 7.50 | 5.75 | 2.60 | 3.62 | 3.11 |
| | | | (10.77) | (14.71) | | (11.55) | (15.89) | | (9.28) | (10.97) | |
| 4. | Cartap 50 SP | 0.10 | 4.99 | 9.10 | 7.04 | 5.59 | 10.56 | 8.07 | 4.32 | 5.60 | 4.96 |
| | | | (12.91) | (17.56) | | (13.68) | (18.96) | | (11.96) | (13.68) | |
| 5. | Endosulfan 35 EC | 0.05 | 4.80 | 8.58 | 6.75 | 5.42 | 10.11 | 7.76 | 3.87 | 4.98 | 4.42 |
| | | | (12.66) | (17.03) | | (13.46) | (18.54) | | (11.43) | (12.89) | |
| 6. | Malathion 50 EC | 0.05 | 5.18 | 9.18 | 7.23 | 6.02 | 10.66 | 8.34 | 4.98 | 5.95 | 5.46 |
| | | | (13.15) | (17.73) | | (14.20) | (19.05) | | (12.89) | (14.12) | |
| 7. | Control | - | 11.29 | 14.89 | 13.09 | 17.06 | 23.93 | 20.49 | 24.14 | 18.23 | 21.85 |
| | | | (19.63) | (22.70) | | (24.39) | (29.29) | | (29.43) | (25.27) | |
| | S.E. <u>+</u> | | 0.53 | 0.64 | | 0.63 | 0.78 | | 0.68 | 0.63 | |
| | C.D. (P=0.05) | | 1.57 | 1.92 | | 1.87 | 2.33 | | 2.04 | 1.90 | |

Per cent pod infestation of four replications

^{**} Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

two weeks of third spray. The treatment of spinosad (3.62 and 3.51%) followed by indoxacarb (3.98 and 3.89%) and both were comparable to each other. The next group of effective treatments was endosuflan (4.98 and 4.89%) followed by cartap (5.60 and 5.52%) and malathion (5.95 and 5.87%). The treatment of *Neem* oil (7.85 and 7.73%) proved significantly inferior over rest of the treatments.

The mean data of three spray for the control of pod borers on Indian bean crop on the basis of per cent pod damage revealed that the treatment of spinosad was found most effective followed by indoxcarb. The present results are in agreement with that of Rekha and Mallapur (2007); Mallikarjuna *et al.* (2009) and Kulheri *et al.* (2009) who found spinosad as highly effective against pod borers.

Effect of tested insecticides on pod yield of Indian bean:

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that all the plots treated with different insecticides gave significantly higher pod yield over control. The maximum pod yield of 78.67 q ha⁻¹ was obtained from the plots treated with spinosad followed by indoxacarb 75.25 q ha⁻¹. The next best treatment was endosulfan 71.57 q ha⁻¹ followed by cartap and malathion with 66.50 and 62.82 q ha⁻¹ pod yield, respectively. The minimum pod yield of 55.37 q ha⁻¹ was obtained from the plots treated with *Neem* oil.

| | Treatments | Conc. (%) / dosages | Per cent pod infestation* days after spray | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|----------------|-------|--------------|----------------|-------|-------------|----------------|-------|
| Sr. No. | | | First spray | | | Second spray | | | Third spray | | |
| | | | One week | Second week | Mean | One week | Second week | Mean | One week | Second week | Mean |
| 1. | Indoxacarb 14.5 SC | 0.01 | 3.62 | 6.69 | 5.15 | 4.03 | 7.77 | 5.90 | 2.89 | 3.89 | 3.39 |
| | | | (10.97)** | (14.99) | | (11.58) | (16.18) | | (9.79) | (11.37) | |
| 2. | Neem oil | 0.5 | 6.60 | 11.48 | 9.04 | 7.66 | 13.36 | 10.51 | 6.87 | 7.77 | 7.30 |
| | | | (14.89) | (19.80) | | (16.07) | (21.44) | | (15.19) | (16.14) | |
| 3. | Spinosad 45 SC | 0.01 | 3,39 | 6.36 | 4.87 | 3.90 | 7.41 | 5.65 | 2.51 | 3.51 | 3.02 |
| | | | (10.61) | (14.61) | | (11.39) | (15.80) | | (9.11) | (10.80) | |
| 4. | Cartap 50 SP | 0.10 | 4.90 | 9.01 | 6.95 | 5.51 | 10.45 | 7.98 | 4.27 | 5.52 | 4.89 |
| | | | (12.79) | (17.47) | | (13.57) | (18.86) | | (11.92) | (13.59) | |
| 5. | Endosulfan 35 EC | 0.05 | 4.66 | 8.50 | 6.58 | 5.30 | 9.98 | 7.64 | 3.79 | 4.89 | 4.34 |
| | | | (12.46) | (16.95) | | (13.30) | (18.41) | | (11.22) | (12.77) | |
| 6. | Malathion 50 EC | 0.05 | 5.09 | 9.10 | 7.09 | 5.91 | 10.56 | 8.23 | 4.89 | 5.87 | 5.38 |
| | | | (13.04) | (17.56) | | (14.07) | (18.96) | | (12.77) | (14.02) | |
| 7. | Control | - | 11.20 | 14.78 | 12.99 | 16.95 | 23.84 | 20.39 | 24.06 | 18.14 | 21.10 |
| | | | (19.55) | (22.61) | | (24.31) | (29.23) | | (29.37) | (25.21) | |
| | S.E. <u>+</u> | | 0.50 | 0.62 | | 0.61 | 0.75 | | 0.65 | 0.60 | |
| | C.D. (P=0.05) | | 1.49 | 1.84 | | 1.80 | 2.23 | | 1.95 | 1.81 | |

^{*} Per cent pod infestation of four replications

^{**} Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

| Table | Table 4: Assessment of avoidable losses caused by pod borer complex on Indian bean cv. LOCAL-1-1 in 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|-------|--|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Treatments | Conc. (%) Yield of health / dosages pods (q ha ⁻¹) | | Total avoidable loss (q ha ⁻¹) | Per cent avoidable loss | Total increase in yield over control (q ha ⁻¹) | Per cent increase in yield over control | | | | |
| 1. | Indoxacarb 14.5 SC | 0.01 | 75.25 | 3.42 | 4.35 | 29.67 | 65.09 | | | | |
| 2. | Neem oil | 0.5 | 55.37 | 23.30 | 29.62 | 9.79 | 21.48 | | | | |
| 3. | Spinosad 45 SC | 0.01 | 78.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.09 | 72.60 | | | | |
| 4. | Cartap 50 SP | 0.10 | 66.50 | 12.17 | 15.47 | 20.92 | 45.90 | | | | |
| 5. | Endosulfan 35 EC | 0.05 | 71.57 | 7.10 | 9.03 | 25.99 | 57.02 | | | | |
| 6. | Malathion 50 EC | 0.05 | 62.82 | 15.85 | 20.15 | 17.24 | 37.82 | | | | |
| 7. | Control | - | 45.58 | 33.09 | 42.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |

The present finding get support from the observations of Rekha (2006) and Rekha and Mallapur (2007) who found higher yield from the plots treated with spinosad and indoxacarb.

Assessment of losses and increase in pod yield:

In crop season the treatment of spinosad was found most effective in protecting the Indian bean crop as such avoidable losses in the treatment was taken zero (Table 4). Taking this plot as completely protected and untreated control as unprotected, the per cent avoidable losses was computed as 42.06. In the treatment of indoxacarb and endosulfan the total avoidable losses were 3.42 and 7.10 g ha⁻¹ and per cent avoidable losses were 4.35 and 9.03, respectively. The treatments of cartap and malathion had total avoidable losses of 12.17 and 15.85 q ha-1 and per cent avoidable losses of 15.47 and 20.15, respectively. The maximum total avoidable losses 33.09 q ha⁻¹ and per cent avoidable losses (42.06) were recorded from control plot followed by Neem oil in which total avoidable losses were 23.30 q ha⁻¹ and per cent avoidable losses were 29.62.

The maximum increase in yield over control was recorded from the plots treated with spinosad in which total increase in yield over control was 33.09 q ha⁻¹ and per cent increase in yield over control was 72.60 and was followed by indoxacarb and endosulfan where total increase in yield over control was 29.67 and 25.99 q ha⁻¹ and per cent increases in yield over control was 65.09 and 57.02, respectively. The total increase in yield over control in the treatment of cartap and malathion were 20.92 and 17.24 q ha⁻¹ and 45.90 and 37.82 per cent, respectively. The minimum total increase in yield over

control (9.79 q ha⁻¹) and per cent increase in yield over control (21.48) was recorded from the plots treated with *Neem* oil.

REFERENCES

Butani, D.K. and Jotwani, M.G. (1984). *Insects in vegtatbles*. Periodical Expert Book Agency, D-42 Vivek Vihar, Delhi, India, pp. 69-79, 91-93.

Katagihallimath, S.S. and Siddappaji, C. (1962). Observations on the incidence of lepidopteran pod borers of *Dolichos lablab* and the results of preliminary insecticidal trails to control them. Paper presented in *the Second All India Congress of Zoology*, held at Banaras Hindu University, Banaras from December 8–12, 59 pp.

Kulhari, G.L., Singh, Veer and Deshwal, H.L. (2009). Efficacy of insecticides and bio-pesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea. *Indian J. Appl. Entomol.*, 23:53-56.

Mallikarjuna, J., Ashok Kumar, C.T. and Rashmi, M.A. (2009). Field evaluation of indigenous materials and newer insecticides molecules against pod borers of Dolichos bean. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 22 : 617.

Pradhan, S. (1964). Assessment of losses caused by insectpests of crop and estimation of insect population. *Entomology in India*, Entomological Society of India, New Delhi, pp. 17-58.

Rekha, S. (2006). Status and the management of pod borer complex in dolichos bean, *Lablab purpureus* (L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Rekha, S. and Mallapur, C.P. (2007). Efficacy of indigenous materials and new molecules against pod borer complex of dolichos bean. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **20**: 414-416.

