International Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume 13 | Issue 2 | June, 2017 | 236-241

■ e ISSN-0976-5670

## **RESEARCH PAPER**

# Effect of organic manures, biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of onion (Allium cepa L.)

ANIL KUMAR, R. B. RAM, SUTANU MAJI\*, SACHIN KISHOR, RAHUL YADAV, GOVIND AND KAMAL RAM MEENA Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, LUCKNOW (U.P.) INDIA Email: majisutanu@gmail.com; anilkumar38060@gmail.com

**Abstract :** A field experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season to find out the effect of organic manures, biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of onion cv. NHRDF Red - 2. There were 13 treatments *viz.*,  $T_0$  (Recommended dose of fertilizers),  $T_1$  Poultry manure,  $T_2$  vermicompost,  $T_3Azotobacter$ ,  $T_4$  VAM,  $T_5Azotobacter + RDF(50\%) + zinc$ ,  $T_6Azotobacter + RDF(50\%) + zinc$ ,  $T_7$  VAM + RDF(50\%) + boron,  $T_8$  VAM + RDF(75\%) + boron,  $T_9$  RDF(25\%) + VAM + poultry manure (50%) + *Azotobacter* + boron,  $T_{10}$  (RDF (25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%) + *Azotobacter* + boron,  $T_{11}$  RDF(25\%) + VAM + poultry manure (50%) *Azotobacter* + zinc,  $T_{12}$ RDF(25\%) + VAM + vermicompost 50% *Azotobacter* + zinc and the experiment was laid out under RBD with three replications. The study clearly revealed that there were significant effects of various treatments on the growth, yield and quality attributes of onion. The number of leaves per plant (12.15), plant height (73.02cm), neck thickness (22.00mm), bulb length (6.46 cm), bulb diameter (7.20cm), yield (398.36 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded maximum in treatment  $T_{12}$  whereas T.S.S (14°B), vitamin C (12.11mg/100g), total sugars (10.52%), reducing sugar (6.23%) and non-reducing sugar (4.28%) were found maximum in  $T_{10}$  treatment as compared to other treatment. However,  $T_{12}$  was good for higher yield improvement and  $T_{10}$  was the best for quality improvement among the all treatments under study, the application of  $T_{12}$  (RDF (25%) + VAM + Vermicompost 50% *Azotobacter* + Zinc) may be suggested for successful cultivation of onion in Lucknow.

Key Words : Organics, Biofertilizers, Micronutrients, Onion, Yield, Quality

View Point Article : Kumar, Anil, Ram, R. B., Maji, Sutanu, Kishor, Sachin, Yadav, Rahul, Govind and Meena, Kamal Ram (2017). Effect of organic manures, biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **13** (2) : 236-241, DOI:10.15740/HAS/IJAS/13.2/236-241.

Article History : Received : 08.02.2017; Revised : 10.04.2017; Accepted : 24.04.2017

INTRODUCTION	northern as well as in southern India. Maharashtra,
	Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar,
Onion ( <i>Allum cepa</i> L.) is one of the most important	Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Odisha, Gujarat, Haryana, Uttar
commercial buildous vegetables. It is grown in western,	Pradesh and West Bengal are major onion growing states

\* Author for correspondence:

in India. India produces onion about 162897 million tonnes from 9396 mha area (Anonymous, 2014). India ranks second in the world sharing 12.3 per cent of after China. Onion is an integral and essential part of kitchen for taking as fresh as salad or for cooking. It has bisexual flower and is highly cross pollinated crop. The edible portion is a modified stem, botanically known as "tunicated bulb" which develops underground.

A pound of onion contain protein 6 g, fat 0.9 g, carbohydrates 44 g, calcium 137 mg, phosphorus 188 mg, iron 2.1 mg, thiamine 0.15 mg, riboflavin 0.1 mg, niacin 0.6 mg and ascorbic acid 38 mg (Thomson and Kelly, 1998). Its pungency is due to the presence of a volatile oil allyal propyl disulphide (Malik, 1994).

In the present content of rapid civilization, global warming, climate change, indiscriminate use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, sustainable production of agricultural crops is the prime objectives of agricultural researchers and policy makers. Organic production and integrated use of benefits are the key issues of today crop production. As onion is used as fresh or as cooking the production of onion with judicial application of chemical fertilizers along with bio fertilizers, organic manures, compost and micro nutrients in an integrated way is useful to reduce health hazards as well as to protect environment. Organic farming provides several benefits to the growers. It reduces production cost and it is an environmentally friendly method of cultivation. Addition of organic manures, bio fertilizers and micro nutrients improved soil structure and enhances activities of use full soil organism, to maintain flora and fauna. Impact of vermicompost and composted farmyard manure on growth and yield of onion and garlic were studied by (Rao et al., 2010 and Suthar, 2009). The process of conversion of organic waste into bio fertilizer with the help of traditional composting which can be used to minimize the environmental pollution and is a good alternative to restrict the use of chemical fertilizers for sustainable agriculture (Kitturmath et al., 2007). Giraddi et al. (2008) studied the nutrient changes during earthworm Eudrilus eugeneiae (Kinberg) mediated vermicomposting of the agro industrial wastes such as press mud, bagasse, coir waste, rice husk and groundnut shells and also studied the vermitechnology for successful management of municipal waste. Onion responds well to azotobacterization and yield increase upto 20 per cent (Meshram and Shende, 1990). However, there exists wide variation in nitrogen fixing capacity of various strains of Azotobacter (Vinay, 1998) and strain specificity to crop plants has also been reported by Rajakumar and Lakshman (1990). It was also seen that micronutrients play an important role for production of onion. Application of boron can increase bulb size, number of clove/bulb and yield of onion (Smriti et al., 2002). Response of zinc application has also been reported by Lal and Maurya (1981). Boron and zinc are the most important micro nutrient and are essential for cell division, nitrogen and carbohydrate metabolism and water relation in plant growth (Brady, 1990 and Manna, 2013). Effect of micro nutrients on growth and yield of onion under calcareous soil environment (Alam et al., 2010). Vermicompost has been reported to contain several plant growth promoters, enzymes, beneficial bacteria and mycorrhizae (Gupta, 2007). Response of onion to combined application of biological and chemical nitrogen fertilizers on growth and yield of onion was reported by Bagali et al. (2012) and Balemi et al. (2007). Effect of bio fertilizers on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of onion was studied by Mengistc and Singh (1999). Yadav et al. (2005) studied the effect of different bio fertilizers in association with phosphorus on growth and yield to onion. Therefore, present investigation was designed to produce onion crop with minimum synthetic input to reduce health hazards as it is consumed directly and for sustainable development of agriculture.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted using onion cultivar NHRDF Red -2 during the Rabi season of 2013-2014 at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (Utter Pradesh) India to find out the influence of different sources of nutrients both synthetic and organics on growth, yield and quality of onion. A total of 13 treatments *i.e.* T<sub>0</sub> (Recommended dose of fertilizers),  $T_1$  (Poultry manure),  $T_2$ (Vermicompost),  $T_3$  (Azotobacter),  $T_4$  (VAM),  $T_5$ (Azotobacter + RDF 50% + zinc),  $T_6$  (Azotobacter + RDF 75% + zinc),  $T_{\gamma}$  (VAM + RDF 50% + boron),  $T_{s}$  $(VAM + RDF 75\% + boron), T_{o} (RDF 25\% + VAM +$ Poultry manure 50% + Azotobacter + boron),  $T_{10}$  (RDF 25% + VAM + Vermicompost 50% + Azotobacter + Boron),  $T_{11}$  (RDF 25% + VAM + poultry manure 50% + Azotobacter + zinc),  $T_{12}$  (RDF 25% + VAM + vermicompost 50% + Azotobacter + zinc) including control were laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Organic manures viz., poultry manure and vermicompost were applied on equivalent weight of recommended dose of NPK fertilizers (100:50:70kg NPK/ha) according to the treatments along with biofertilizers (Azotobacter @ 2 kg/ha and VAM @  $20 \text{ g/m}^2$ ) and micronutrients (Zinc and Boron @ 0.5%). The data were recorded for its growth vegetative yield and quality attributes control such as plant height, number of leaves per plant, neck thickness, bulb length, bulb diameter, yield, T.S.S, vitamin C, total sugars, reducing sugar and non-reducing sugar. The laboratory analysis was done according to the standard procedure as mentioned by A.O.A.C. (2000). The recorded data were statistically analyzed and treatment effects were compared at 5 per cent level of significance (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

There were significant differences in plants height at 30, 60, and 90 days after transplanting due to application of organic manures, biofertilzers and micro nutrients and their treatments combination (Table 1). The maximum plant height (73.02 cm) was recorded under  $T_{12}$  with RDF 25% + VAM + vermicompost 50% + Azotobacter + zinc 0.5% at 90 DAT, where as the minimum plant height (68.46 cm) was recorded in  $T_0$  (control). Similar result was also reported by Mishra *et al.* (1990) who showed that foliar application of  $ZnSO_4$  (0.5%) and  $FeSO_4(1.0\%)$  recorded significantly higher plant height and other growth parameters as compared to other treatments in onion.

Table 1 showed the effect of different treatments on the number of leaves of onion and it was found significant at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting. The maximum number of leaves per plant (12.15) with RDF (25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%) + *Azotobacter* + zinc was recorded under  $T_{12}$  at 90 DAT, Whereas, the minimum number of leaves (10.09) was recorded in  $T_8$ . The increased number of leaves might be due to the presence of vital macro and micronutrient availability with vermicompost (Giraddi, 1993 and Tanunathan *et al.*, 1997 and Shobha and Pappiah, 2000) reported that application of vermicompost appears to be very effective amendment in onion.

It was seen that the maximum neck thickness of (22.00 mm) was measured with RDF (25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%) + *Azotobacter* + zinc  $T_{12}$  at 90 DAT, whereas the minimum neck thickness (17.41mm) was measured in  $T_4$ . These results corroborate the

Table 1: Growth parameters of onion as influenced by various source of nutrients													
-	Plants height (cm)		Number of leaves/plant		Neck thickness (mm)			- Bulb	Bulb	Bulb	Bulb		
Treatments	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	length (cm)	diameter (cm)	yield (kg/ plot)	yield (t/ha)
T <sub>0</sub>	28.06	58.88	68.46	5.16	7.60	11.19	6.41	14.09	20.07	5.87	5.86	5.04	33.64
$T_1$	29.01	59.24	68.62	5.28	7.90	10.41	6.62	13.67	19.13	5.37	6.20	5.66	37.78
$T_2$	29.21	61.22	71.11	5.21	8.00	10.45	6.82	14.17	18.93	5.06	6.16	5.41	36.11
T <sub>3</sub>	25.73	62.25	68.42	4.64	7.72	10.64	6.96	10.98	18.70	4.87	5.95	5.48	36.56
$T_4$	24.39	58.22	71.51	4.98	7.41	10.86	6.59	13.30	17.41	5.38	6.33	4.88	32.56
T <sub>5</sub>	26.64	61.16	70.81	5.38	7.82	11.39	6.61	12.27	18.65	5.83	5.88	5.50	36.71
T <sub>6</sub>	29.42	63.28	71.32	5.65	8.31	11.49	7.07	15.12	20.49	5.95	6.38	5.78	38.58
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	29.04	61.94	70.49	5.17	7.13	10.13	6.17	13.22	18.78	4.92	6.05	4.95	33.00
$T_8$	24.58	63.21	70.62	4.88	8.14	10.09	6.74	13.94	19.17	5.73	5.64	5.18	34.56
T <sub>9</sub>	26.00	61.96	70.95	5.24	7.55	11.07	6.65	13.39	18.41	5.72	6.27	5.75	38.11
T <sub>10</sub>	28.95	62.88	70.42	5.59	7.60	10.67	6.29	13.44	19.40	5.88	6.32	5.20	34.69
T <sub>11</sub>	29.93	63.46	71.42	5.69	8.53	11.81	7.70	15.29	20.57	6.02	6.45	6.17	41.16
T <sub>12</sub>	31.96	65.14	73.02	6.16	9.22	12.15	8.08	17.20	22.00	6.46	7.20	6.29	41.98
S.E.±	0.727	01.236	00.821	0.238	0.340	0.390	0.269	123.72	177.35	0.311	0.204	0.267	0.514
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.1300	3.63	2.41	0.69	0.99	1.45	0.79	NS	NS	0.912	0.598	0.78	1.48

 $\begin{array}{l} T_0 - (\text{Recommended dose of fertilizers}), T_1 - \text{Poultry manure, } T_2 - \text{Vermicompost, } T_3 - Azotobacter, } T_4 - \text{VAM, } T_5 - Azotobacter + \text{RDF}(50\%) + \text{zinc, } T_6 - Azotobacter + \text{RDF}(75\%) + \text{zinc, } T_7 - \text{VAM} + \text{RDF}(50\%) + \text{boron, } T_8 - \text{VAM} + \text{RDF}(75\%) + \text{boron, } T_9 - \text{RDF}(25\%) + \text{VAM} + \text{poultry} \\ \text{manure}(50\%) + Azotobacter + \text{boron, } T_{10} - (\text{RDF}(25\%) + \text{VAM} + \text{vermicompost}(50\%) + Azotobacter + \text{boron, } T_{11} - \text{RDF}(25\%) + \text{VAM} + \text{poultry} \\ \text{manure}(50\%) Azotobacter + \text{zinc, } T_{12} - \text{RDF}(25\%) + \text{VAM} + \text{vermicompost} 50\% + Azotobacter + \text{zinc} \\ \end{array}$ 

findings of Mishra *et al.* (1990) who mentioned that foliar application of  $ZnSO_4$  (0.5) and  $FeSO_4$  (1.0%) recorded significantly higher plant height and other growth parameters as compared to other treatments in onion.

Application of nutrients *i.e.*  $T_{12}$  RDF (25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%) + Azotobacter + zinc  $(T_{12})$ recorded the maximum bulb length (6.46 cm) after harvesting. The minimum bulb length (5.06 cm) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (Vermicompost 100%). Similar results was also obtained by Reddy and Reddy (2005) when studied on the effect of different levels of vermicompost (0, 10, 20 and 30 t/ha) and nitrogen fertilizer (0, 50, 100, 100)150 and 200 kg/ha) on the growth and yield of onion cv. N-53. Bulb diameters also followed the similar pattern and found maximum under  $T_{12}$  while minimum bulb diameter (5.64 cm) was recorded in  $T_{s}$  (VAM + RDF-75% + Boron). It was also found that the maximum yield of 6.29 kg/plot and 41.98 t/ha was observed under treatment  $T_{12}$  *i.e.* RDF (25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%) + Azotobacter + zinc and minimum yield of (4.88)kg/plot, 32.56 t/ha) was recorded in  $T_{4}$ . Similar results were also obtained by Patil et al. (2012).

Table 2 showed the influence of organic manures, biofertilizers and micro nutrients on quality parameters of onion. The total soluble solids was recorded to be the highest (14.00 °B) under treatment  $T_{10}$  with RDF (25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%) + *Azotobacter* + boron and the minimum (11.21°B) TSS in  $T_0$  with RDF (100%). Similar findings were also obtained by Manna (2013) who reported that application of 0.5 per cent boron as foliar spray recorded significantly higher TSS and other quality parameters as compared to other treatments in onion.

Similarly, the ascorbic acid was recorded to be highest under the treatment  $T_{10}$  (12.11mg/100g) and minimum under  $T_2$  (10.19mg/100g). The analogous results were also obtained by Gupta (2007) who mentioned quality of onion and its' keeping quality were improved by the application of vemicompost.

Interestingly, similar pattern of influence *i.e.* maximum total sugars (10.52%), reducing sugar (6.23%) and non-reducing sugar (4.28%) were noted under treatment  $T_{10}$  followed by RDF(25%) + VAM + vermicompost (50%)+ *Azotobacter* + boron but in general all the treatments improved the quality parameters in respect of TSS, total sugar, reducing sugar and non-reducing sugar content. The improvement of yield and quality of broccoli, radish, onion were also noted by Singh *et al.* (2014); Kumar *et al.* (2014); Meena *et al.* (2014); Sahu *et al.* (2014) and Maji *et al.* (2015).

#### **Conclusion :**

The experiment demonstrated the effects of different treatment combination of organic manures, biofertilizers and micronutrients on the growth yield and quality attributing parameter of onion. Among the treatments  $T_{12}$  (RDF 25% + VAM + vermicompost 50% + *Azotobacter* + zinc) significantly improved growth

Table 2 : Yield and quality improvement of onion as influenced by various sources of nutrients							
Treatments	T.S.S (°B)	Vitamin C (mg/100g)	Total sugars (%)	Reducing sugar (%)	Non-reducing sugar (%)		
$T_0$	11.21	10.42	8.35	5.10	3.24		
$T_1$	11.45	10.35	8.05	4.43	3.77		
$T_2$	11.24	10.19	8.42	5.11	3.01		
T <sub>3</sub>	12.01	10.55	8.47	4.71	3.76		
$T_4$	11.42	10.89	8.53	4.78	3.75		
T <sub>5</sub>	11.60	11.08	8.96	5.33	3.63		
T <sub>6</sub>	11.41	11.28	8.63	5.26	3.36		
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	11.36	11.19	8.11	5.27	3.08		
T <sub>8</sub>	12.31	11.74	9.89	5.95	3.94		
T <sub>9</sub>	13.09	12.00	10.21	6.09	4.15		
$T_{10}$	14.00	12.11	10.52	6.23	4.28		
T <sub>11</sub>	11.65	10.57	8.37	5.95	2.78		
T <sub>12</sub>	11.68	11.10	8.16	4.81	3.35		
S.E.±	0.23	0.26	0.10	0.195	0.23		
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.67	0.67	0.77	0.19	0.23		

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | June, 2017 | Vol. 13 | Issue 2 | 236-241 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

and yield of onion and treatment  $T_{10}$  (RDF 25% + VAM + vermicompost 50% + *Azotobacter* + boron) positively influenced the quality of onion in terms of T.S.S, vitamin C, reducing sugar, total sugars, and non-reducing sugar value.

### REFERENCES

**Abbey, L. (2000).** Effect of poultry manure and post production application of fungicide on the shelf-life of onion cv. BAWKU RED. *Crop Res. Hisar*, **20**: 87-92.

Alam, M.N., Abedin, M.J. and Azad, M.A.K. (2010). Effect of micronurients on growth and yield of onion under calcareous soil environment. *Internat. Res. J. Plant. Sci.*, **10**: 56-61.

Anonymous (2014). Indian horticulture database, National Horticulture Board (NHB), Gurgaon. pp. 162-169.

A.O.A.C. (2000). *Official methods of analysis*, Association of Official Analytical. Chemists, Benjamin Franklin, Station, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., Washington, D.C. (U.S.A.).

**Bagali, A.N., Patil, H.B., Chimmad, V.P., Patil, P.L. and Patil, R.V. (2012).** Effect of inorganics and organics on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **25** : 112-115.

Balemi, T., Pal., N. and Saxena, A.K. (2007). Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) to combined application of biological and chemical nitrogenous fertilizers. *Acta. Agric. Slov.*, **89**: 107-114.

**Brady, N.C. (1990).** *The nature and properties and soil.* 10<sup>th</sup> edition. A.K. Ghosh. Printing- Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp. 383.

**Giraddi, R.A. (1993).** Vermiculture and role in agriculture. In: Proc., course on the officers of the state department of agriculture, Karnataka, 18-20 October 1993 by the Department of Agriculture microbiology University Agricultural Sciences Dharwad (M.S.) India pp. 50-54.

Giraddi, R.S., Patil, S.G., Lingaraju, B.S., Umapathy, P.N., Swamy, A.C. and Megalamani, B.R. (2008). Vermicomposting for successful management of municipal wastes- a joint effect in South India. *Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci.*, 21: 284-286.

**Gupta**, **P.K.** (2007). Vermicompositing for sustainable agriculture, AGROBIOS (India). Jodhpur, pp. 210.

Kitturmath, M.S., Giradd, R.S. and Basavarj, B. (2007). Nutrient changes during earth warm, *Eudriluse ugeneiae* (Kingberg) mediated vermicomposting of agro-industrial waste. *Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci.*, 20: 653-654.

Kumar, Sandeep, Maji, Sutanu, Kumar, Sanjay and Singh, Harsh Deep (2014). Efficiency of organic manures on growth and yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus*) cv. JAPANESE White. Internat. J. Plant. Sci., 9(1): 57-60.

Lal, S. and Maurya, A.N. (1981). Effect of zinc on onion. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 10: 231-235.

Maji, Govind, S. Kumawat, R., Pal, A. K.S. and Saha, S. (2015). Improvement of growth, yield and quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cv. G-282 through a novel approach. *The Bioscan*, **10**: 23-27.

Malik, M.N. (1994). Bulb crops, Onion. In: *Horticulture*. National Book Foundation Islamabad Pakistan. pp. 500-501.

Manna, D. (2013). Growth, yield and bulb quality of onion. (*Allium cepa* L.) In response to foliar application of boron and zinc. *SAARC J. Agric.*, **11**: 149-153.

Meena, Rakesh Kumar, Kumar, Sanjay, Maji, Sutanu, Kumar, Davendra and Kumar, Manoj (2014). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato cv. PUSA SHEETAL. *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, **10** (1) : 329-332.

**Mengistc, H.N. and Singh, N. (1999).** Effect of bio fertilizers on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Veg. Sci.*, **26** (2): 193-196.

Meshram, S.U. and Shende, S.T. (1990). Response of onion *Azotobacter chroococcum* inoculation. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, 15: 365-336.

Mishra, H.P., Singh, K.P. and Yadav, J.P. (1990). Infuence of zinc, iron, boron and manganese and their uptake on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) growth in calcareous soil. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **19**: 153-159.

**Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985).** *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed., Indian Council of Agricultural Research, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

**Patil, R.H., Laegdsmand, M., Olesen, J.E. and Porter, J.R.** (2012). Sensitivity of crop yield and N losses in winter wheat to changes in mean and variability of temperature and precipitation in Denmark using the FASSET model. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B – Soil & Plant Sci.*, 62 :335–351.

**Rajakumar, K. and Lakshman, M. (1990).** Strain specificity of *Azotobacter chroococcum* to crop plants. *Indian J. Microbio.*, **30**: 221-224.

Rao, K.R., Mushan, L.C., Mulani, A.C., Khatavkar, R.S., Parlekar, G.Y. and Shah, N.V. (2010). Effect of vermicompost on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 23: 361-363.

**Reddy, K.C. and Reddy, K.M. (2005).** Differential level of vermicompost and nitrogen on growth and yield in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) – radish (*Raphnus sativas* L.) cropping system. J. Res. ANGRAU., **33**(1): 11-17.

Sahu, Ashish Kumar, Kumar, Sanjay and Maji, Sutanu (2014).

Effect of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on vegetative growth and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, **10** (2): 558-561.

Shobha, N. and Pappiah, C.M. (2000). Nutritional studies in seed propagated aggregatum (small) onion. *South Indian J. Hort.*, **48**(1/6): 105-107.

Singh, A., Maji, S. and Kumar, S. (2014). Effect of bio fertilizers on yield and biomolecules of anti-cancerous vegetable broccoli. *Internat. J. Bio-resource Stress Manage.*, 5: 262-268.

Smriti. S., Kumar, R. and Singh, S.K. (2002). Effect of sulphur and boron nutrition on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *J. Appl. Bio.*, **12**: 40-46.

Suthar, S. (2009). Impact of vermicompost and composted farmyard manure on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium stivum* 

L.) field crop. Internat. J. Plant Prod. 3(1): 27-38.

Thanunathan, K., Natarajan, S., Senthil Kumar, R. and Arulmurugan, K. (1997). Effect of different sources of organic amendments on growth and yield of onion in minespoil. *Madra Agric. J.*, 84: 382-384.

Thomson, H.C. and Kelly, W.C. (1998). *Bulb crops vegetable crops*. Tata McGrew- Hill Publishing Company Limited, New York. Pakistan Printing Work, Lahore. p.611.

Vinay, G., Gupta, R.D. and Bharadwaj, K.K.R. (1998). Abundance of *Azotobacter* in great soil groups of North West Himalayas. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., **45**: 379-383.

Yadav, D., Prasad, V.M. and Gujar, K.D. (2005). Effect of different bio fertilizers in association with phosphorus on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.), a white onion var. Jndwo. *New Agriculture*, **16**: 87-89.

**13**<sup>th</sup> Year \*\*\*\* of Excellence \*\*\*\*