www.jchps.com

Optimization and analysis of bend - diffuser geometry using CFD through Taguchi method

C. Bala Suthagar*, S. Ponsankar, A. Sathish Kumar, M.D. Kathir Kaman, Ann Jochim Martin Department of Mechanical Engineering, SRM University, Kattankulathur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 603203. *Corresponding author: E-Mail: bsuthagar@gmail.com, Mobile: 07299937583

ABSTRACT

Diffuser is an essential element of fluid flow machines, the study of flow nature through diffuser is essential in understanding and improving the performance. The primary objective of this analysis is to examine the benddiffuser and to optimize the dimension of the diffuser so that the diffuser efficiency will be maximum. The velocity field at the exit of a bend diffuser system is mostly not uniform; fluctuation of pressure and its loss are high. The total pressure loss in the diffuser depends on the entry condition of the fluid and geometrical parameters. In this work, diffuser is analysed for a given inlet condition and the geometry is optimized to obtain maximum efficiency. The diffuser is designed in GAMBIT and analysed using FLUENT 6.3. Optimization of the geometry is done using Taguchi technique by taking the parameters such as diffuser angle, diffuser length, and spacer length as control factors. Using larger the better quality characteristics, the diffuser efficiency was obtained. Using Taguchi's orthogonal array for quality design concept, the optimum diffuser geometry is 7.5° for diffuser angle, Diffuser length is 490 mm, and Spacer length is 350 mm. The above optimum condition was used to obtain the maximum efficiency for the Bend diffuser configuration.

KEY WORDS: Taguchi, Pressure and Velocity Variation, Diffuser.

1. INTRODUCTION

S- Shaped diffuser was studied by Gavin, Lee (2013) and also the shear stress turbulence model using ANSYS-CFX. The velocity contour was flattening in early divergent section in the outer surface; there will be highest velocity region. Among all, S-Shaped diffuser duct has good performance characteristics than the cross-sectional area expansion over its entire length.

Ernesto Benini (2006) studied the behaviour of a centrifugal compressor of a gas turbine for small scale power production. They established a procedure to improve diffuser performance. Performance investigation was carried out at different rotating speed at different operating points. The dimension of the diffuser used in compressor is optimized by using evolutionary algorithm together with CFD calculation.

Trevor J. Cox (2006) studied the diffuser in flow path between the high & low pressure turbine and their different effects. The dimension of the diffuser were optimized i.e. diffuser length and hence the engine weight reduction was achieved. The reduction of weight can be attained by keeping exit vanes as small as possible. Engine weight can be reduced by applying the concept of turning turbine frames.

Design of Bend-Diffuser

Diffuser specification: Length of the diffuser Diffuser angle Spacer Length Diameter of bend tube Cross section of the diffuser



Figure.1. Schematic diagram of Bend Diffuser

Diffuser Efficiency: The Diffuser efficiency or effectiveness is given by Static pressure rise in actual process

 $\eta = \frac{1}{\text{Static pressure rise in isentropic process}}$ $\eta = \frac{c_{\text{pa}}}{c_{\text{ps}}}$

The Static Pressure rise in isentropic Process is given by

www.jchps.com

 $C_{ps} = 1 - \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^2 = 1 - \left[\frac{1}{A_R^2}\right]$

Where $C_1 =$ Inlet Velocity of the Diffuser in m/s

 C_2 = Outlet Velocity of the Diffuser in m/s

 A_R = Area Ratio of the Diffuser

The Static Pressure rise in Actual Process is given by

 $C_{pa} = C_{ps} - \frac{\Delta p_0}{.5 \rho c_1^2}$

For the incompressible flow through the diffuser the energy equation is given by

 $\frac{p_1}{\rho} + \frac{c_1^2}{2} = \frac{p_2}{\rho} + \frac{c_2^2}{2} + \frac{\Delta p_0}{\rho}$

Where $\rho = Density$ of the air in kg/m³

 P_1 = Pressure at the inlet of the Diffuser in Pascal

 P_2 = Pressure at the outlet of the Diffuser in Pascal

Taguchi Approach: The main principle of robust design was to increase quality of the product by reducing effects of causes of variation. It is attained by enhancing the product and process variables to create the performance slightly sensitive to several causes of variation, a process called parameter design.



Figure.2. Steps in Taguchi Parameter Design

Orthogonal arrays: Orthogonal array is factorial matrix, which assures a stable comparison of levels of a factor (or interaction of factors). This matrix of numbers in rows and columns, here each row symbolizes the factor level in each run. Every column signifies specific factor that can be changed from each run. The array is called orthogonal because all columns can be evaluated independent of one another an attempt was done in this wok with L₉ orthogonal array.

Table.1. Control Assignments										
	CASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Α		1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
В		1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
С		1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2
D		1	2	3	3	1	2	2	3	1

Control factors and levels: A detailed study on the bend diffuser system reveals that most significant factor for reducing pressure loss were identified as Diffuser angle, Length of diffuser & Spacer length.

Table.2. Control Factors and Levels							
	Factor Levels						
Factors	Level-1	Level-2	Level-3				
Diffuser Angle(Degree)	7.5°	9 ⁰	120				
Length of the Diffuser(mm)	470	490	510				
Spacer Length(mm)	450	400	350				

Pareto anova: The purpose of ANOVA is to examine the geometrical parameters that significantly affect the performance characteristic. PARETO ANOVA has been implemented here, which is simple when compared to ANOVA technique. PARETO ANOVA shows contribution level of parameters on the measured response.

Computation of pareto anova: This method enables the significance of factors and allows the optimum level of factors to be obtained. PARETO ANOVA for three factors with three levels is taken for this problem.

Table.3. Pareto Anova								
Factors	Α	В	С	Total				
Sum of	1 A1	B1	C1	Sum of				
factors	2 A2	B2	C2	1,2,3,				
	3 A3	B3	C3	levels				
Sum of course	S _A	S _B	S _C	ST				
of difference	$(A1-A2)^2 + (A1-A3)^2 +$	$(B1-B2)^2 + (B1-B3)^2$	$(C1-C2)^2+(C1-C3)^2$	$(S_A+S_B+S_C)$				
of unference	$(A2-A3)^2$	$+(B2-B3)^{2}$	$+(C2-C3)^{2}$					
Contribution	$(S_{A}/S_{T})x100$	$(S_{\rm B}/S_{\rm T})x100$	$(S_{\rm C}/S_{\rm T})x100$	100				
ratio (%)								

www.jchps.com

Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Computional Fluid Dynamics: Domain options and boundary conditions has been given to the grid using Fluent pre-processor.

Domain options:

- Reference pressure : 0 Pa
- Solver : energy equation, continuity equation
- Initial pressure :101325 Pa
- Simulation type : Steady state

Boundary Conditions: The flow is assumed to be steady state in a static frame of reference. Incompressible and Newtonian fluid was selected. The flow direction was specified as axial direction frame of reference. The wall next to the fluid is hydro dynamically smooth.

Inlet Condition: The inlet flow region specified subsonic and the velocity of 40m/s is specified. The wall has been identified as a stationary frame.

Outlet Condition: The pressure outflow is identified at inlet condition.

Post-Processing: On completion of boundary conditions the flow analysis was executed using Fluent 5/6 solver.



Figure.3. Flow chart for problem solving



Figure.4. Grid model of the diffuser



Figure.7. (a) case-3 Pressure Distribution



(b) Case-3 Velocity Distribution

www.jchps.com Jun 02, 2009 FLUENT 6.3 (2d. pbns. ske) Jun 02, 2039 FLUENT 6.3 (2d, pbns, ske) (b) Case-4 Velocity Distribution Figure.8. (a) Case-4 Pressure Distribution May 31, 2(UENT 6 3 (2d, pbns, s May 31, 2009 Figure.9. (a) Case-5 Pressure Distribution (b) Case-5 Velocity Distribution Jun 01, 2009 FLUENT 6 3 (2d, pbrs, ske) May 31, 2009 FLUENT 6.3 (2d, pbrs, ske) antours of Velocity M Figure.10. (a) Case-6 Pressure Distribution (b) Case-6 Velocity Distribution Jun 02, 2009 Jun 02, 2009 (b) Case-7 Velocity distribution Figure.11. (a) Case-7 Pressure distribution Jun 02, 2009 FLUENT 6-3 (2d, pbris, ske) Contours of Velo Jun 02; FLUENT 6 3 (2d, pbns. (b) Case-8 Velocity Distribution Figure.12. (a) Case-8 Pressure Distribution Jun 02, 2009 FLUENT 6.3 (30, pbm, ske) Jun 02, 2009 FLUENT 6 3 (2d. pbns. ske)



(b) Case-9 Velocity Distribution

January - March 2017

JCPS Volume 10 Issue 1

ISSN: 0974-2115

Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences

www.jchps.com 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The optimization of bend-diffuser geometry was carried out by taguchi technique. The results from the computational dynamics are used in arriving the optimum geometry of the bend diffuser to give the maximum efficiency. The results are listed below

Table.4. Experimental Results.							
Diffuser angle	Diffuser length	Pressure	Velocity	Efficiency			
(Degree)	(mm)	(Pascal)	(m/s)	(η)			
7.5	470	2.39×10^5	36.93	81.718			
7.5	490	1.62×10^5	37.10	82.24			
7.5	510	1.85×10^{5}	36.90	81.73			
9	470	2.58×10^5	35.85	77.00			
9	490	2.70×10^5	36.05	77.83			
9	510	2.63×10^5	35.73	76.47			
12	470	1.15×10^5	36.51	81.37			
12	490	2.82×10^5	36.31	80.43			
12	510	2.22×10^{5}	35.87	78.43			
			Mean	79.69			

Experimental Conditions and Calculation of S/N ratio: The experiments showed are based on L_93^4 orthogonal array. The simulated values are listed in table 5.2. Quality Characteristic – Larger the better.

Exp.No.	Α	B	С	Efficiency	S/N
1	7.5°	470	450	81.71	38.25
2	7.5°	490	400	82.24	38.30
3	7.5°	510	350	81.73	38.25
4	9°	470	400	77.00	37.72
5	9°	490	350	77.83	37.822
6	9°	510	450	76.47	37.66
7	12°	470	350	81.37	38.20
8	12°	490	450	80.43	38.10
9	12°	510	400	78.43	37.88
				Mean	38.015

			-		-
Table.5.	S/N	ratio for	Efficiency	of the	diffuser

Table.6. PARETO ANOVA Results								
Factors		Α	В	С	Ε	Total		
	1	114.801	113.85	114.01	113.95	342.17		
Sum of factors	2	113.20	114.23	113.90	114.16			
	3	114.18	113.76	114.26	114.06			
Sum of Squares of differe	3.909	0.3734	0.2042	0.066	4.5526			
Contribution ratio percentage		85.86	8.20	4.48	1.44	100		
Optimum level		A1- Diffuser angle -7.5 degree						
		B2- Difuser length-490 mm						
	C3- Sapacer length-350 mm							



Figure.14. PARETO Diagram for Diffuser Efficiency

Pareto diagram shows the impact ratio for all control factors from the figure it is evident that factor A contributes 85.86 %, B contributes 8.20% and C contributes 4.48%.

Response Process Parameters: The average effects for each level of process parameters are listed in table 4.5

Factors Levels	Α	B	С	Ε				
1	81.89	80.02	79.53	79.32				
2	77.1	80.16	79.22	80.02				
3	80.07	78.87	80.31	79.72				
Max - Min	4.79	1.29	0.78	0.70				
Rank	1	2	3	4				
Table.8. Average effect of response for S/N ratio								
Factors Levels	Α	B	С	Ε				
Factors Levels1	A 38.26	B 38.05	C 38.00	E 37.98				
Factors Levels12	A 38.26 37.73	B 38.05 38.076	C 38.00 37.96	E 37.98 38.05				
Factors Levels123	A 38.26 37.73 38.06	B 38.05 38.076 37.92	C 38.00 37.96 38.08	E 37.98 38.05 38.02				
Factors Levels123Max - Min	A 38.26 37.73 38.06 0.53	B 38.05 38.076 37.92 0.15	C 38.00 37.96 38.08 0.12	E 37.98 38.05 38.02 0.04				

	Journal of Chemical and	Pharmaceutical S	ciences
Table.7. Average effect of re	sponse for Efficiency		

4. CONCLUSION

The diffuser with a bend in the preceding section was analyzed and the geometry was optimized to maximize the diffuser efficiency using taguchi technique. The analysis was carried out in FLUENT and velocity & pressure variation were studied. The optimized parameter level affecting the diffuser geometry is found by taguchi method. The Diffuser angle is the factor which considerably affects the increase in efficiency. Spacer length has no impact on the objective much when compared to diffuser angle and length of diffuser.

Using Taguchi's orthogonal array for quality design concept, the optimum diffuser geometry is 7.5° for diffuser angle, Diffuser length is 490 mm, and Spacer length is 350 mm. The above optimum condition was used to obtain the maximum efficiency for the Bend diffuser configuration.

REFERENCES

David L.F, Gaden, Eric L, Bibeau, A numerical investigation into the effect of diffusers on the performance of hydro kinetic turbines using a validated momentum source turbine model, Renewable Energy, 35 2010, 1152–1158.

El-Askary W.A, Nasar M, Performance of a bend-diffuser system, Experimental and Numerical studies, 38, 2009.

Emil G ottlich, Research on the aerodynamics of intermediate turbine diffusers, Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 47, 2011, 249–279.

Ernesto Benini, Andrea Toffolo, Andrea Lazzaretto, Experimental and numerical analyses to enhance the performance of a microturbine diffuser, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 30, 2006, 427–440.

Gavin, Lee G, William, Allan D.E, Kiari Goni Boulama, Flow and performance characteristics of an Allison 250 gas turbine S-shaped diffuser, Effects of geometry variations, International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, 42, 2013, 151–163.

Michihiro NISHI, Kouichi, A Preliminary Study on the Swirling flow in a conical diffuser with jet issued at the center of the inlet, 2007.

Monje B, Sánchez D, Chacartegui R, Aerodynamic analysis of conical diffusers operating with air and supercritical carbon dioxide, International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, 44, 2013, 542–553.

Peter GASPAROVIC, Aerodynamic Optimization of Centrifugal fan Casing Using CFD, Journal of applied science in the thermodynamics and fluid mechanics, 2, 2008.

Sophia Lenfantzi and Doyle Knight D, Automated Design Optimization of a Three-Dimensional S- Shaped Subsonic Diffuser, 18, 2002.

Trevor J, Cox, Mark R, Avis, Lejun Xiao, Maximum length sequence and Bessel diffusers using active technologies, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 289, 2006, 807–829.