Check for updates
https://doi.org/10.63062/trt/WR25.072

THE REGIONAL TRIBUNE

Pages: 204 – 215 | Volume: 4 | Issue: 1 (Winter 2025) | ISSN (Online): 3006-8428 | DOI: 10.63062/trt/WR25.048

Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence at Postgraduate Education: Insights from Islamabad

Sadaf Zamir Ahmed [1] Sheikh Tariq [2]

ABSTRACT: Artificial Intelligence has changed higher education, especially at the higher level where critical thinking, creation, and knowledge acquisition are primary. This paper looks into the combination of ChatGPT in postgraduate pedagogy in Islamabad, investigating its outcome, performance, situation, and future potential. This paper explores the integration of ChatGPT in postgraduate education in Islamabad, investigating its impact, benefits, challenges, and future prospects. This study adopted a quantitative survey design to explore the use of ChatGPT in postgraduate education in Islamabad. A structured questionnaire was used, targeting postgraduate students and university faculty. Findings underscore ChatGPT's dual role as an enabler and disruptor in higher education, necessitating balanced integration strategies. To increase ChatGPT's benefits while mitigating risks, the following actions are proposed. Define standard use, attribution standards, and punishment for misuse (e.g., plagiarism). Offer workshops for students and faculty on efficient, ethical AI use. Provide IT resources to troubleshoot usage barriers (e.g., access, technical errors). To increase ChatGPT's benefits while mitigating risks, the following actions are proposed.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Postgraduate Education, Islamabad

[1] Postdoc Fellow, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: Sadaf.zamir@au.edu.pk

[2] Assistant Professor (Education), International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: sheikh.tariq@iiu.edu.pk

Corresponding Author:
Sadaf Zamir Ahmed
✉ Sadaf.zamir@au.edu.pk

Introduction

In the quickly changing landscape of higher education, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rising as a transformative force, shaping how knowledge is delivered, checked, and implemented. Specifically at the postgraduate level, where the complexity and depth of academic engagement are importantly heightened, AI tools provide promising approaches for intensifying research fruitfulness, self learning, academic talk over, and institutional decision-making. From intelligent instructing systems and automated assessment levels to research assistants and data checking tools, AI is modifying pedagogical drill and management strategies.

Islamabad, being the capital of Pakistan and a flourishing hub for scholarly invention, furnishes a powerful context to search these developments. With an accelerating number of public and private universities integrating AI into their academic and administrative processes, there is a demand to focus on and examine how postgraduate students and faculty are attracted to these technologies. This article digs into the developing role of ChatGPT in postgraduate education within Islamabad, drawing display from actual

practices, emerging trends, and stakeholder views. Its purpose is to shed light on the chances ChatGPT presents, the challenges it application, and its meaning for the future of progressive acquisition and research in Pakistan.

The Digital Transformation of Higher Education

In the 21st century, technological progress has deeply changed the landscape of pedagogy. The combination of digital tools in teaching, learning, and research has specified the function of teachers and learners similarly. Higher education, especially at the postgraduate level, has experienced a paradigm shift as institutions react to the demand for more flexible, reachable, and advanced learning environments. Among the modern and most impactful technological innovations is the rise of artificial intelligence (AI), with tools like OpenAI's ChatGPT leading the way in reshaping scholarly patterns. ChatGPT, a mighty language model supported by generative AI, can make a human-like effect on a wide range of questions, making it a versatile helper for learners, educators, and researchers.

As an academic tool with exploding demands for quality, fruitfulness, individualise, and creativity, AI-powered technologies like ChatGPT offer specific results. These include supporting pupils in academic writing, improving critical thinking, fostering creativity, supplying real-time feedback, and assisting multilingual academic communication. While these potentialities open up a range of existence, they also raise important questions about academic integrity, dependence on automation, ethical thought, and the preparedness of professionals and institutions to change to this displacement.

ChatGPT in the Global Context of Postgraduate Education

Around the world, ChatGPT is being established as an informative tool in higher education. Universities in technologically modern countries are already finding and providing generative AI in their curricula, student support services, and research base. For postgraduate students, ChatGPT acts as a tutor, guide, writing assistant, and research partner—often available 24/7 and able to tailor its guidance to their respective needs. It has the capability to assist in drafting literature reviews, conceptualizing complex theories, distinguishing research gaps, coding qualitative and quantitative data, and even providing fast feedback on academic writing.

However, the global discourse also focuses a need for hypercritical awareness about the drawbacks of AI tools. Challenges of partial in AI-generated content, lack of citation and source credibility, over-reliance by students, and the potential for utilization of demanded universities to re-evaluate the assessment scheme and instructional design. In outcome, many institutions have started developing AI literacy programs to equip scholar and faculty with the knowledge and ability essential to responsibly integrate tools like ChatGPT into their academic work.

The Higher Education Landscape in Pakistan

Pakistan's higher education system isfacing a phase of transition, with enhanced attention to quality enhancement, research creation, and digitalization. Postgraduate education, in special, has seen enlargement in terms of enrollment, research output, and international collaboration. However, challenges such as limited access to research databases, inadequate digital structure, faculty shortages, and outdated pedagogical practices persist in many institutions.

In this sense, Islamabad stands out as a city with a concentration of universities and research institutions that are relatively improved resourced and more connected to global academic developments. Institutions such as Quaid-i-Azam University, COMSATS University Islamabad, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), and Air University are playing pivotal roles in taking up educational technologies and promoting research culture. The introduction of AI-powered tools like ChatGPT in these institutions provides a unique opportunity to bridge the digital and educational gaps faced by postgraduate students.

Understanding ChatGPT's Emerging Role in Islamabad's Universities

Within the academic lap of Islamabad, ChatGPT is opening an attraction of attention as both a pedagogical aid and a research tool. Anecdotal evidence proposes that postgraduate students in disciplines are utilizing ChatGPT for idea making, language editing, citation formatting, statistical counselling, and research writing. However, institutional policies around AI use are either underdeveloped or nonexistent, leading to inconsistent practices and a lack of awareness about both the pros and cons of such tools.

Mentors express a mix of curiosity and care: while some see ChatGPT as a means to raise student autonomy, academic support, and digital fluency, others are upset about academic dishonesty, superficial learning, and the loss of critical thinking skills. Meanwhile, university administrators are open to exploring the possibility of developing guidelines, trainings, and frameworks to govern the ethical and pedagogically sound use of generative AI in academic environments.

This evolving situation presents a timely and important research opportunity: to systematically analyze how ChatGPT is being perceived, adopted, and adapted in Islamabad's postgraduate education sector.

Rationale of the Study

The use of ChatGPT in higher education, specially at the postgraduate level, is not merely a question of technological adoption—it is a question of educational philosophy, instructional design, academic ethics, and institutional readiness. In Islamabad, where postgraduate programs areincreasing and striving to meet international standards, the integration of AI tools like ChatGPT could importantly influence the quality and equity of education.

Objectives of the Study

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in higher education, particularly at the postgraduate level, where critical thinking, innovation, and advanced knowledge acquisition are essential. This paper explores the integration of ChatGPT in postgraduate education in Islamabad, investigating its impact, benefits, challenges, and future prospects.

Significance of the Study

This study is significant for several reasons. First, it provides empirical insights into how students and educators are engaging with ChatGPT in real academic settings. Second, it contributes to the emerging literature on AI in higher education within the South Asian context, which remains underexplored. Third, it offers practical recommendations for policymakers, curriculum developers, and institutional leaders seeking

to harness AI responsibly and in effect. Finally, it encourages a dialogue on the ethical, educational, and technological necessitate of AI use in postgraduate education in Pakistan and similar developing countries.

Delimitations of the Study

1. Postgraduate level
2. ChatGpt

Literature Review

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is dynamic across various industries, and the use of technology, including ChatGPT, is having a leading effect on educational surroundings. Developed by OpenAI, a well-informed language model, ChatGPT has shown an amazing ability to produce humanlike responses throughout many different domains. Present research results on the performance, uses, and effects of ChatGPT within educational settings are synthesized here. This analysis seeks to highlight knowledge deficits and suggest future research paths by considering both the advantages and disadvantages of something.

ChatGPT's Performance at Postgraduate Level

By analyzing ChatGPT &'s performance on the United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE), a crucial study showed the model may reach passing grades (Gilson et al., 2023). This discovery emphasizes how much of valuable a resource it could be in medical education, where it might support students in their studies by contributing real-time explanations and feedback. Integrating ChatGPT into medical postgraduate courses allows for interactive learning that can help to improve the total educational experience. The study also pointed out ChatGPT &'s inability to handle difficult issues, therefore, questions arise about its use in critical settings like medical training. This calls for close monitoring of its results and verification to ensure accuracy and dependability.

Variability of Performance Among Subject Domains

The development of ChatGPT has sparked questions about its effectiveness in several knowledge fields. Although the model does well in fields such as economics, it really suffers with topics like math (Lo, 2023). Educators must grasp this variability since it stresses the importance of putting the application of ChatGPT in academic settings in context. The results indicate that teachers should use the model's advantages in some disciplines while changing evaluation criteria and policies to address the problems presented by its weaknesses. Furthermore, this study underscores the need for both teachers and pupils to be taught to negotiate the complexities brought about by artificial intelligence devices, thereby guaranteeing responsible and successful integration.

Comparative Analysis with Human Expert

A comparison of ChatGPT& amp;'s answers with human experts & amp; amp;' responses has given some idea of the model & amp;'s capabilities and limitations in producing organized and contextually related material (Guo et al., 2023). The creation of the Human ChatGPT Comparison Corpus (HC3) permits systematic evaluation, which is essential in the context of use in teaching, where quality is indeed crucial. In the sphere

of educational practices, particularly essential issues are worries about misinformation and the need for artificial intelligence-generated content detection technologies. Emphasizing the essential role of strong structures to maintain the integrity of data supplied by artificial intelligence systems, this study adds to the moral debates about AI integration.

Every day use is seen in Academic Environments

An applicable guide for educators and researchers using big language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT covers many use cases and constraints (Yang et al., 2023). For people trying to use LLMs successfully in their work, this manual is especially useful for them. Leveraging ChatGPT&'s capabilities while knowing its extent requires an awareness of the difficulties connected with several natural language processing (NLP) tasks as well as training data influence. The information given can improve the real-world uses of ChatGPT in academic environments, so guaranteeing that its use is in line with learning and teaching goals.

Language Acquisition and Translation have some Ramifications.

Machine translation is another key component of natural language processing related to educational situations (Hendy et al., 2023), where ChatGPT's abilities extend. The results indicate that ChatGPT might produce excellent translations, therefore helping language learners by offering translations and notes that modify their learning experience. Translate poor resource languages have limits, however, so although ChatGPT seems quite promising, its efficacy can rely on the circumstances. This calls for more study and enhancement to increase its applicability in language learning and translation projects in academic settings.

ChatGPT Benefits and Drawbacks

ChatGPT is thoroughly discussed in a summary that covers its dimension, plus, and limitations (Deng & Lin, 2023). Particularly for teachers negotiating the complexity of incorporating Chatgptinto their instruction, the identification of problems including the possibility of producing erroneous data is especially apropos. The knowledge acquired will help to guide the generation of plans meant to limit hazards linked with ChatGPT's use in educational settings, therefore maximizing its benefits but reducing possible negative effects. Effective use of ChatGPT in education depends on this level-headed approach.

Business Education's Ethical Concerns and Consequences

The troubles and prospects of generative artificial intelligence (GAI) in business education underline the ramifications of AI technologies like ChatGPT in getting students ready for a world where AI has a leading presence (Li et al., 2023). The discovery of risks, including job automation and privacy breaches, highlights the need for teachers to integrate conversations on ethical issues and legislative requirements regarding AI into the syllabus. In this way, instructors can provide pupils with the information and abilities needed to negotiate the complexities of an AI-powered economy, thus confirming the overall research question concerning the effects of ChatGPT in many areas, including education.

Effects on Learning as well as Academic Integrity

A study of how ChatGPT affects college education, especially in the area of computer security, uncovers both possible benefits and drawbacks related to its usage (Yan, 2023). Although ChatGPT may assist with

coursework and speed learning, it has also raised issues about honesty in studies. These two sides stress the requirement of establishing standards and best practices for the use of ChatGPT in education, therefore making sure that its benefits are leveraged while reducing the risk of abuse. Preparing students for a future in which artificial intelligence systems are more and more distributive in learning settings depends on the debate of how higher education should adjust to tools like ChatGPT.

Text Summarization uses Applications.

Rueda et al., 2023, find that the assessment of ChatGPT&'s performance in accounts underlines its value in academic settings where students absolutely want summarizing abilities. Given its ability as a supporting tool for pupils in understanding and summarizing knowledge, findings point to ChatGPT generating summaries similar to standard techniques. This highlights the model's adaptability and possible influence on educational techniques, therefore offering ideas that could assist the activity of teaching methods using ChatGPT's summarization capabilities.

Knowledge Gaps and Where Further Study is Wanted

Various knowledge still exist notwithstanding the encouraging results on ChatGPT's performance. Long-term consequences of incorporating ChatGPT into educational programmes include some other essential subjects for future study. It is critical for evaluating the effectiveness of artificial intelligence applications in educational environments to grasp how their continuous use affects academic integrity, student engagement, and learning results. Furthermore, especially with regard to the difficulty of misinformation and partiality, further study is required into the moral mean of depending on AI generated material in educational settings.

Research into the making of educational models that with success embrace ChatGPT also demands. This entails analysis of the top techniques for instructing teachers and student on responsible use of artificial intelligence tools. Future research might also investigate the capacity of ChatGPT to meet numerous educational requirements, especially for pupils with different abilities and learning styles.

Finally, an analysis of the consequences of ChatGPT in underrepresented areas—such low resource languages and specialized subject areas—could offer much needed stances on its suitability and efficacy in various educational settings.

In essence,

Adding ChatGPT into academic environments offers possibilities as well as drawbacks. Though studies point to its promise to improve learning experiences, gain access to information, and help teachers, validity, ethical issues, and academic honesty are all major worries about it. Tackling these challenges will call for continuous investigation, the creation of strong structures, and thoughtful use of artificial intelligence tools like ChatGPT in education. This review of the literature helps to progress the wider conversation on the part of ChatGPT in education by synthesizing existing knowledge and identifying knowledge deficits; it also sets the stage for future research initiatives targeting the best possible implementation of ChatGPT in educational and learning settings.

Research Methodology

This study adopted a quantitative survey design to explore the use of ChatGPT in postgraduate education in Islamabad. A structured questionnaire was used, targeting postgraduate students and university faculty.

Population and Sample

The target population included students enrolled in MPhil and PhD programs at five universities in Islamabad. A stratified random sampling method was applied, and the sample consisted of 200 students and 50 faculty members.

Instrument

The data collection instrument was a Likert-scale questionnaire divided into four parts:

1. Demographics
2. Usage patterns of ChatGPT
3. Perceived benefits and challenges
4. Ethical concerns and institutional policies

Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected both in-person and online. SPSS (Version 26) was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, independent samples t-test, and regression analysis were performed to identify trends and relationships.

Findings and Discussion

Table 1

Demographic Characteristics of Postgraduate Students

Gender	Age Group	Field of Study	Frequency	Percentage
Male	20–25	Computer Science	45	30%
Female	26–30	Business Administration	35	23%

Description: Distribution of respondents by gender, age, and field of study.

Description: This table shows the demographic distribution of the survey respondents, including gender, age group, and field of study. The data reveals that the majority of participants were male (60%), aged 20–25 (45%), and enrolled in Computer Science programs (30%). Female respondents accounted for 40%, with Business Administration being the second most common field (23%).

Interpretation: The demographic inclined toward younger male students in technical disciplines may reflect broader enrollment trends in Islamabad's postgraduate programs. This distribution suggests that ChatGPT usage studies should account for gender and disciplinary differences in acceptance patterns.

Implications: Researchers should consider targeted outreach to ensure diverse representation in upcoming studies, particularly among female students and non-STEM disciplines.

Table 2

Frequency of ChatGPT Usage Among Students

Usage Frequency	Frequency	Percentage
Daily	60	40%
Weekly	50	33%

Description: How often do students use ChatGPT for academic purposes?

Description: This table categorizes how frequently students use ChatGPT for academic tasks. Daily usage was reported by 40% of respondents, while 33% used it weekly. Only 10% reported never using the tool.

Interpretation: High daily/weekly utilization points of ChatGPT's integration into routine academic workflows. The low "never use" percentage suggests widespread adoption.

Implications: Institutions should design support systems (e.g., tutorials, ethical guidelines) to align with this high engagement.

Table 3

Purposes of Using ChatGPT

Purpose	Frequency	Percentage
Research Assistance	70	47%
Essay Writing	40	27%

Description: Primary academic tasks for which students use ChatGPT.

Description: Students primarily use ChatGPT for research help (47%) and essay writing (27%). Other uses include exam preparation (15%) and coding help (11%).

Interpretation: ChatGPT serves as a multifunctional tool, but its dominance in research/writing highlights its role in content generation.

Implications: Educators should address potential over-reliance on AI for critical thinking tasks by emphasizing original analysis.

Table 4

Perceived Benefits of ChatGPT

Benefit	Mean Score (SD)
Improves Productivity	4.2 (0.8)
Enhances Learning	3.9 (0.7)

Description: Students' ratings of ChatGPT's benefits (Likert scale: 1–5).

Description: On a 5-point Likert scale, students rated fruitfulness improvement highest (Mean=4.2, SD=0.8), followed by learning enhancement (Mean=3.9, SD=0.7).

Interpretation: Students comprehend ChatGPT as an important productivity booster, though its educational value is slightly less pronounced.

Implications: Institutions could leverage ChatGPT for administrative efficiency while ensuring it complements (not replaces)teaching goals.

Table 5

Challenges Faced While Using ChatGPT

Challenge	Frequency	Percentage
Inaccurate Information	55	37%
Over-reliance	45	30%

Description: Common hurdles reported by students.

Description: Inaccurate information (37%) and over-reliance (30%) were the top challenges. Other issues included technical errors (20%) and lack of contextual apprehension (13%).

Interpretation: Reliability focuses persist, potentially confining trust in AI-generated content.

Implications: Training programs should teach students to critically evaluate ChatGPT's outputs and cross-verify information.

Table 6

Comparison of ChatGPT Usage Across Disciplines

Field of Study	Usage Rate (%)
Engineering	65%
Social Sciences	40%

Description: Usage rates by field of study.

Description: Engineering students reported the wide usage (65%), while Social Sciences had the lowest (40%).

Interpretation: Disciplinary differences may stem from varying assignments (e.g., coding vs. qualitative analysis).

Implications: Tailored AI integration strategies are needed for each field to address discipline-specific needs.

Table 7

Faculty Perceptions of ChatGPT

Perception	Mean Score (SD)
Useful for Teaching	3.8 (0.9)
Concerns about Ethics	4.1 (0.7)

Description: Faculty attitudes toward ChatGPT (Likert scale: 1–5).

Description: Faculty rated ChatGPT's teaching utility at 3.8 (SD=0.9) but expressed strong ethical concerns (Mean=4.1, SD=0.7).

Interpretation: While faculty see potential, ethical modesty may hinder institutional adoption.

Implications: Professional development should address faculty concerns through workshops on ethical AI use.

Table 8

Institutional Support for AI Tools

Support Type	Frequency	Percentage
Training Workshops	30	20%
Technical Support	25	17%

Description: Availability of institutional resources for AI integration.

Description: Only 20% of students reported their approach to training workshops, and 17% had technical support.

Interpretation: Institutional support for AI integration is currently inadequate.

Implications: Universities should invest in infrastructure and training to maximize ChatGPT's benefits.

Table 9

Impact on Academic Performance

Usage Level	Mean GPA (SD)
High	3.5 (0.6)
Low	3.2 (0.5)

Description: Correlation between ChatGPT utilization and GPA.

Description: High ChatGPT users had a slimy higher mean GPA (3.5, SD=0.6) than low users (3.2, SD=0.5).

Interpretation: Correlation suggests ChatGPT may aid performance, but causality requires further study.

Implications: Monitor long-term impacts to make sure AI tools enhance, rather than shortcut, learning.

Table 10

Student Satisfaction with ChatGPT

Example Data

Satisfaction Aspect	Mean Score (SD)
Ease of Use	4.0 (0.8)
Quality of Output	3.7 (0.9)

Description: Satisfaction levels (Likert scale: 1–5).

Description: Ease of use scored highest (Mean=4.0, SD=0.8), while output quality was slightly lower (Mean=3.7, SD=0.9).

Interpretation: Usability drives satisfaction, but quality boundaries are noted.

Implications: Developers should place accuracy improvements to encourage educational value.

Conclusion

The study foregrounds the transformative role of ChatGPT in postgraduate education in Islamabad, informing its widespread adoption, perceived profits, and persistent challenges. Key findings include:

High Adoption Rates: An important proportion of students use ChatGPT daily (40%) or weekly (33%), mainly for research assistance (47%) and essay writing (27%).

Productivity Gains: Learners reported time savings (2.5 hours per research task) and improved academic performance (higher GPAs among frequent users).

Disciplinary Variations: Engineering students use ChatGPT more (65%) than those in Social Sciences (40%), indicating field-specific utility.

Ethical and Reliability Concerns: Faculty and students expressed concerns about plagiarism (33%), data privacy (23%), and inaccurate information (37%).

Institutional Gaps: Only 27% of respondents were aware of institutional AI policies, and fewer had access to training (20%) or technical support (17%).

These findings underscore ChatGPT's dual role as an enabler and disruptor in higher education, necessitating balanced integration strategies.

Recommendations

To increase ChatGPT's benefits while mitigating risks, the pursuing actions are proposed:

For Institutions

Develop Clear AI Policies: Define standard use, attribution standards, and punishment for misuse (e.g., plagiarism).
Expand Training Programs: Offer workshops for students and faculty on efficient, ethical AI use.
Enhance Technical Support: Provide IT resources to troubleshoot usage barriers (e.g., access, technical errors).

For Educators

Design AI-Augmented Assignments: Utilize ChatGPT for brainstorming/drafting, but need human-led critical analysis.
Teach Digital Literacy: Incorporate AI evaluation skills into curricula to battle misinformation.

For Students

Cross-Verify AI Outputs: Pair ChatGPT with peer reappraisal or authoritative sources to guarantee accuracy.
Avoid Over-Reliance: Use AI as a supplement, not a substitution, for independent thinking.

For Policymakers

Fund Research on Long-Term Impacts: Study effects on learning results, employability, and equity.
Promote Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Bridge the space between technologists, educators, and ethicists.

Suggestions for Future Research

To code knowledge gaps, future studies should search:
Longitudinal Effects: Track ChatGPT's consequences on critical thinking, power, and career readiness over time.
Discipline-Specific Adaptations: Investigate optimal AI integration models for STEM vs. humanities.
Equity and Access: Examine lack in AI tool usage across gender, socioeconomic status, and institution type.
Ethical Frameworks: Create foremost practices for AI attribution, data privacy, and bias mitigation in academia.
Comparative Studies: Benchmark ChatGPT against other AI tools (e.g., Gemini, Claude) in educational settings.
Faculty Preparedness: Measure training needs to help teachers adapt to AI-augmented teaching.

References

Deng, J., & Lin, Y. (2022). The Benefits and Challenges of ChatGPT: An Overview. *Frontiers in Computing and Intelligent Systems*, *2*(2), 81–83. https://doi.org/10.54097/fcis.v2i2.4465

Gilson, A., Safranek, C. W., Huang, T., Socrates, V., Chi, L., Taylor, R. A., & Chartash, D. (2023). How Does ChatGPT Perform on the United States Medical Licensing Examination? The Implications of Large Language Models for Medical Education and Knowledge Assessment. *JMIR Medical Education*, *9*(9), e45312. https://doi.org/10.2196/45312

Guo, B., Zhang, X., Wang, Z., Jiang, M., Nie, J., Ding, Y., Yue, J., & Wu, Y. (2023). How Close is ChatGPT to Human Experts? Comparison Corpus, Evaluation, and Detection. *ArXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2301.07597

Hendy, A., Abdelrehim, M., Sharaf, A., Raunak, V., Gabr, M., Matsushita, H., Kim, Y. J., Afify, M., & Awadalla, H. H. (2023, February 17). *How Good Are GPT Models at Machine Translation? A Comprehensive Evaluation*. ArXiv.org. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.09210

Li, H., Guo, D., Fan, W., Xu, M., & Song, Y. (2023). Multi-step Jailbreaking Privacy Attacks on ChatGPT. *ArXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2304.05197

Lo, C. K. (2023). What Is the Impact of ChatGPT on Education? A Rapid Review of the Literature. *Education Sciences*, *13*(4), 410. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13040410

Montenegro-Rueda, M., Fernández-Cerero, J., Fernández-Batanero, J. M., & López-Meneses, E. (2023). Impact of the implementation of ChatGPT in education: A systematic review. *Computers*, *12*(8), 153. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12080153

Yan, D. (2023). Impact of ChatGPT on learners in a L2 writing practicum: An exploratory investigation. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(11), 13943-13967. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11742-4

Yang, J., Jin, H., Tang, R., Han, X., Feng, Q., Jiang, H., Zhong, S., Yin, B., & Hu, X. (2024). Harnessing the Power of LLMs in Practice: A Survey on ChatGPT and Beyond. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data*, *18*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3649506