TREATMENT APPROACHES TO CLEIDOCRANIAL DYSOSTOSIS: A REVIEW AND A CASE REPORT

Puneet Batra^a, Mudita Shrivastava^b, Anika Arora^c

ABSTRACT:

Cleidocranial dysostosis is a generalized skeletal dysplastic condition and primarily affects the development of the bones and teeth. The genetic etiology lies on chromosome number 6p21, core binding factor (CBFA1). This paper explains the treatment procedure carried out for a sixteen year old female patient diagnosed with cleidocranial dysostosis. Therapy includes surgical removal or exposure of impacted teeth, extraction of supernumerary teeth along with orthodontic treatment.

Key words: Cledio-cranial dysplasia, supernumerary teeth, diagnosis

INTRODUCTION

Cleidocranial dysostosis (CCD) is a generalized skeletal dysplastic condition and affects the development of the bones and teeth. It has a low prevalence of 1 per 10,000 population. CCD is under diagnosed as the medical complications involved in it are less as compared to other skeletal dysplasias.1,2

The typical findings of this disorder include a variety of skeletal abnormalities and multiple oral manifestations like aplasia of the clavicle, short height, delayed and abnormal cranium ossification.³ The cleidocranial dysostosis patients appear to have a peculiar appearance of bulky forehead, hypertelorism, and midfacial hypoplasia.

At a very early stage it was thought that this disorder involved only intramembranous bones, hence the term 'cleidocranial' as coined by Marie and Sainton in 1897.4 Hesse⁵ has described the anomalies of teeth and jaws connected with cleidocranial dysostosis in detail.

The gene has been identified on the short arm of chromosome number6p21, core binding factor (CBFA1). The literature suggests that around 20-40% of cases represent new mutations in the transcription factor. The CBFA1 gene regulates the formation of osteoblasts which is absolutely necessary for both membranous and endochondral bone formation, and can be linked to delayed ossification of the bones and dentition as seen in this syndrome.6 However, 40% of these cases appear spontaneously with no genetic etiopathogenesis.6

There are few genotype phenotype studies⁷ which show that skeletal and dental development could be related to the type of mutation in the Runt-related transcription factor 2(RUNX2) gene. It is a gene which encodes a protein which is necessary for correct functioning of osteoblast cells

As compared to the cranium the face appears to be small relatively because maxillary, lachrymal, nasal, and zygomatic bones are hypoplastic. The mandible appears to be protrusive as the maxilla is underdeveloped. Dental abnormalities involve delayed eruption of permanent teeth, multiple supernumerary teeth, retained primary teeth, dilaceration of teeth, and reduced alveolar height, crown and root abnormalities, crypt formation around impacted teeth, and a high palate8

This paper describes the treatment done for a sixteen year old female patient diagnosed with Cleidocranial dysostosis and its outcome.

CASE REPORT

A sixteen year-old female patient with CCD reported to our department with chief complaint of permanent teeth not erupting causing disability in eating, poor dental aesthetics and facial appearance. There was no family history of any such disorder and no parental consanguinity was reported. Figure 1 shows a straight profile, frontal bossing and depressed suborbital regions in extraoral pictures of the patient.





Figure 1 Pretreatment Extraoral Pictures

^a-Prof and HOD, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics: Institute of Dental Studies and Technologies

b-Former Senior Lecturer, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics: Institute of Dental Studies and Technologies

c-Private Practice

On performing oral examination, a mixed dentition with the following dental formula and an Angle's Class III molar relationship was observed. (Figure 2)

7 6E DCA	ABCDE567
7654D321	134CE567

Oral evaluation also revealed missing both maxillary and mandibular permanent teeth (Figures 2) Carious and fractured primary maxillary incisors and right mandibular first molar.







Figure 2 Pretreatment Intraoral photographs

The pretreatment panoramic radiograph (figure 3) showed presence of impacted and supernumerary teeth in both upper and lower arches. The following teeth can be viewed in the OPG:

76EDIIICAI	IABCIIIE567
87654DIVIII321I	134CE5678

Teeth in roman numbers represent the supernumerary teeth.



Figure 3 Pretreatment OPG

The clinical findings were confirmed by a lateral cephalogram, skeletal class III malocclusion with ANB of -4 degree. The mandibular plane angle was increased, showing vertical growth pattern. Cervical vertebrae shows deep concavities at the lower borders of C2, C3 revelling almost completion of growth. (Figures 4)

The diagnosis was formulated based on the following findings, bilateral hypoplasia of the clavicles, an enlarged cranium, frontal bossing, depressed suborbital region, failure of eruption, and multiple supernumerary teeth. This patient also had a short height and a history of retarded growth. However, cognitive development was entirely within normal limits. The craniofacial findings

included delayed closure of cranial fontanels and suture and brachycephalia. Therefore, the patient was diagnosed with skeletal class III mandibular prognathism and maxillary retrognathism accompanied by multiple congenitally impacted and supernumerary teeth.



Figure 4 Pretreatment Lateral cephalogram

Literature included a variety of management approaches for treatment of CCD. In this case report, we followed the Belfast-Hamberg approach which is a single step procedure involving removal of all primary and supernumerary teeth. These extractions were followed by bonding of all permanent teeth with Standard Edgewise appliance.022" slot and bonding of impacted teeth to extrude teeth into the oral cavity. A transpalatal arch was given with soldered hooks used to extrude impacted teeth in the mandibular arch.

Midtreatment pictures (figure 6) show all permanent teeth aligned in one arch with anterior open bite which was corrected by using box elastics.

DISCUSSION

The management of CCD is a multidisciplinary approach involving orthodontics, orthognathic surgical interventions, and interim prostheses. This process of involving all these disciplines can take several years until patients can receive their definitive prostheses.

Cleidocranial dysplasia manifests with many anomalies of the teeth and jaws. Depending on each anomaly, various authors have prescribed specific treatment for each as described in table 1.

Later four distinct approaches were introduced depending on case to case. The four approaches were the Toronto-Melbourne approach⁹, Jerusalem approach^{9, 10}, Belfast-Hamburg approach^{9, 10} and Bronx approach¹⁰.

Puneet Batra 29



Figure 6 Midtreatment intraoral pictures

After 18 months of orthodontic treatment and composite build-up of 22(Lateral incisor of second quadrant) the case was functionally and aesthetically completed. (Figure 7)



Figure 7 Post treatment intraoral pictures

Puneet Batra 30

As described in table 2, several procedures at different ages are involved in Toronto-Melbourne. Jerusalem approach has divided treatment in two phases. Whereas Bronx approach involves a two phase or maximum 3 phase procedure unlike Belfast- Hamburg approach which is a single step procedure.

Treatment plan proposed for our case was very similar to the Belfast- Hamburg approach as described in the table 2.

Table 1 Cleidocranial dysplasia: orodental anomalies and management options

Anomaly	Management option	Rationale
Retained deciduous teeth	Removal ^{11,12,13,26}	Assist eruption of permanent teeth
Supernumerary teeth	Removal ^{11,12,13,18,26}	Assist eruption of permanent teeth
Permanent teeth abnormalities	Removal ^{16,20,22,23,26,28}	Removable full and partial dentures
	Retention ^{25,27}	Abutments for fixed appliances
Unerupted teeth	Surgical exposure 12,13,17	Support for overdenture
	Orthodontic eruption ^{9,10,13,14}	Functions, aesthetics and alignment
	Implants ^{37,26}	Support overdenture
		Guide impacted teeth into occlusion
	Autotransplantation and/or surgical translocation ^{9,10,14,19,24}	Function and esthetics
Malocclusion	Removable/Fixed orthodontic appliances ^{9,11,20}	Function and esthetics
Palatal vault narrow-high arched	Expansion using removable orthopedic appliance ²⁶	Reduce crowding

Although Toronto-Melbourne approach offers a series of several extensive and minor surgical procedures, over a long period. Partial success in encouraging spontaneous eruption in this condition would be an optimistic forecast. In Jerusalem approach, it causes considerable delay before artificial enhancement of eruption is initiated. But Belfast-Hamburg offers a single, all-encompassing surgical procedure to eliminate the superfluous (deciduous and supernumerary) teeth and to expose the remainder at the age of 12-14 years.

Table 2- Cleidocranial dysplasia: 4 distinct management approaches

Approach	Procedure
Toronto-Melbourne9	
Several procedures	
Age: 5-6	Extraction of primary anterior teeth
Age: 6-7	Primary incisors are exposed and healing is allowed
	Orthodontic bracketsare bonded to permanent incisors
	Extraction ofprimary posterior teeth
Age: 9-10	Surgical exposure of permanent bicuspids
	Surgical removal of supernumerary teeth and healing allowed
Age: 9-12	Placement of orthodontic brackets on permanent canines and bicuspid teeth
Jerusalem ^{9,10}	
Age: 10-12 Phase 1:	Extraction of anterior primary teeth
TEMPORE TO SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE OF TH	Extraction of all supernumerary teeth
	Surgical exposure of permanent incisors
	Orthodontic attachments are bonded to permanent incisors
	Surgical flaps are closed
Age: 13 and older Phase 2:	Extraction of posterior primary teeth
	Surgical exposure of unerupted canines and premolars of permanen dentition.
	Bonding of orthodontic attachments
	Surgical flaps are closed
Belfast-Hamburg ^{9,10}	Removal of all primary and supernumerary teeth
Single procedure Age: not specified	
	Surgical exposure of all impacted teeth
	Surgical packs are placed (to prevent healing of bone and soft tissue over teeth)
	Healing by secondary intention
	Bonding of orthodontic attachments
	Placement of orthodontic appliances on fully erupted dentition
	Elastic thread is placed between brackets on impacted teeth and th archwires
Bronx ¹⁰ Two at most three Procedures Age: not specified	Phase 1: Removal of all primary and supernumerary teeth Surgical flaps are closed
	Phase 2: Unerupted permanent teeth are exposed Orthodontic brackets an placed Surgical flaps are closed and overdenture is placed Conventional orthodontic appliances are placed
	Phase 3: Leforte osteotomy-orthognathic surgery Dental implants are placed

CONCLUSION

Cleidocranial dysostosis shows variable expressivity but early diagnosis through oral findings is possible. In addition to the oral evaluation, diagnosis of this rare syndrome requires a thorough skeletal evaluation. Achieving a well-functioning permanent dentition and an aesthetically satisfying facial appearance should be the main objectives of treatment of this rare clinical entity. Puneet Batra 31

REFERENCES:

- 1. Mundlos S. Cleidocranial dysplasia: clinical and molecular genetics. J Med Genet. 1999;36:177–182.
- 2. Shaikh R, Shusterman S. Delayed dental maturation in cleidocranial dysplasia. ASDC J Dent Child. 1998;65(5):325–355.
- 3. Cooper SC, Flaitz CM, Johnston DA, Lee B, Hecht JT. Anatural history of cleidocranial dysplasia. Am J Med Genet 2001;104:1-6.
- 4. Marie P, Sainton P. Observation d'hydroce phalie here ditarie (pe re et fils), par vice de developpement du crane et du cerveau. Bull Soc Med Hop Paris. 1897;14:706.
- 5. Hesse G. Weitere Befunde am Zahnsystem dysostotischer Individen. Oʻst Z Stomat. 1926;24:205.
- 6. Tanaka JL, Ono E, Filho MH, Castilho JC, Moraes LC, Moraes ME Cleidocranial dysplasia: importance of radiographic images in diagnosis of this condition. J Oral Sci 2006; 48, 161-166.
- 7. Yoshida T, Kanegane H, Osato M, Yanagida M, Miyawaki T, Ito Y, Shigesada K. Functional analysis of RUNX2 mutations in Japanese patients with cleidocranial dysplasia demonstrates novel genotype-phenotype correlations. Am J Hum Genet. 2002;71:724–738.
- 8. Farronatoa G, Masperob C, Farronatoc D, Gioventu S. Orthodontic Treatment in a Patient with Cleidocranial Dysostosis. Angle Orthod. 2009;79:178–185.
- 9. Becker A, Lustman J, Shteyer A. Cleidocranial dysplasia: part 1—General principles of the orthodontic and surgical treatment modality. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1997;111:28-33.
- 10. Becker A, Shteyer A, Bimstein E, Lustmann J. Cleidocranial dysplasia: part 2—treatment protocol for the orthodontic and surgical modality. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1997; 111:178-83.
- 11. D'Alessandro G, Tagariello T, Piana G. Craniofacial changes and treatment of the stomatognathic system in subjects with cleidocranial dysplasia. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2010;11:39-43.
- 12. Richardson A, Swinson T. Combined orthodontic and surgical approach to cleidocranial dysostosis. Trans Eur Orthod Soc 1987;63:23.
- 13. Angle AD, Rebellato J. Dental team management for a patient with cleidocranial dysostosis. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2005;128: 110-1.
- 14. Becker A. Cleidocranial dysplasia. In: Becker A, editor. The orthodontic treatment of impacted teeth. St Louis: Mosby; 1998. p. 199-229.
- 15. Becktor KB, Becktor JP, Keller EE. Growth analysis of a patient with ectodermal dysplasia treated with endosseous implants: a case report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2001;16:864-74.

- 16. Fardy MJ. Cleidocranial dysostosis: some problems in the dental management of occlusion. Dent Update 1984;11:363-8.
- 17. Hitchin AD, Fairley JM. Dental management in cleido-cranial dysostosis. Br J Oral Surg 1974;12:46-55.
- 18. Hemalatha R, Balasubramaniam MR. Cleidocranial dysplasia: a case report. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent. 2008;26:40-43.
- 19. Jensen BL, Kreiborg S. Dental treatment strategies in cleidocranial dysplasia. Br Dent J 1992;172:243-7.
- 20. Kelly E, Nakamoto RY. Cleidocranial dysostosis—a prosthodontics problem. J Prosthet Dent 1974;31:518-26.
- 21. Lombardas P, Toothaker RW. Bone grafting and osseointegrated implants in the treatment of cleidocranial dysplasia. Compend Contin Educ Dent 1997;18:509-14.
- 22. Magnus WW, Sands NR. Cleidocranial dysostosis—report of a case. Am J Orthod 1974;65:638-43.
- 23. Maw RB. Cleidocranial dysostosis: report of case. J Am Dent Assoc 1978;96:306-9.
- 24. Nordenram A. Autotransplantation of teeth in cleidocranial dysostosis. Odontol Revy 1971;22:363-70.
- 25. Pröbster L, Bachmann R, Weber H. Custom-made resin-bonded attachments supporting a removable partial denture using the spark erosion technique: a case report. Quintessence Int 1991; 22:349-54.
- 26. Suba Z, Balaton G, Gyulai-Gaal S, Balaton P, Barabas J, Tarjan I. Cleidocranial dysplasia: diagnostic criteria and combined treatment. J Craniofac Surg 2005;6:1122-6.
- 27. Trimble LD, West RA, McNeill RW. Cleidocranial dysplasia: comprehensive treatment of the dentofacial abnormalities. J Am Dent Assoc 1982;105:661-6.
- 28. Winter GR. Dental conditions in cleidocranial dysostosis. Am J Orthod $1943; 29:\!61\text{-}89.$
- 29. Smylski PT, Woodside DG, Harnett BE. Surgical and orthodontic treatment of cleidocranial dysostosis. Int J Oral Surg 1974;3:380-85.
- 30. Hall RK, Hyland AL. Combined surgical and orthodontic management of the oral abnormalities in children with cleidocranial dysplasia. Int J Oral Surg 1978;7:267-73.
- 31. Behlfelt K. Cleidocranial dysplasia: diagnosis and treatment concept. Trans Eur Orthod Soc 1987;63:25.
- 32. Berg RW, Kurtz KS, Watanabe I, Lambrakos I. Interim prosthetic phase of multidisciplinary management of cleidocranial dysplasia: "the Bronx approach." J Prosthodont 2011;20:S20-5.