

Age and gender related prevalence of temporomandibular disorders in North Indian population

Akhilanand Chaurasia^{1,*}, Ashish R.², Gaurav Katheriya³

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, ³Resident, Dept. of Oral Medicine & Radiology, ^{1,3}Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, ²Government Medical College, Trivandrum, Kerala, India

***Corresponding Author:**

Email: chaurasiaakhilanand49@gmail.com

Abstract

Aims: The present study is taken in account to determine the age and gender related prevalence of TMDs on the basis of signs and symptoms based on the RDC/TMD criteria.

Materials and Method: The present cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology. A total of 1009 patients aged between 6 and 80 years with a mean age of 42.04±16.8 years seeking dental treatment from January 2016 to June 2017 were included in the study. All the subjects were screened for TMDs signs and symptoms. The demographic data and the signs and symptoms of TMDs were recorded in respect to age and gender in designed structured questionnaire which were based on the RDC/TMD criteria.

Results: The association between signs and symptoms based on the RDC/TMD criteria and gender was evaluated in study population by Chi-Square test. The clicking sound and deviation of mandible on mouth opening is more prevalent in males than females and both are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). Crepitus and joint tenderness is more common in females. The joint tenderness in both sexes was highly significant ($P < .001$) However crepitus was statistically not significant ($P > 0.05$). When signs and symptoms based on the RDC/TMD criteria was compared in age groups, it was found that clicking sound and pain on mouth opening is most prevalent in <18 years of age groups however crepitus was more common in >65 years age group. All the three parameters were statistically significant ($P < .001$) in age groups. The joint tenderness was most common in 36 to 50 years group while Deviation of mandible on mouth opening was more prevalent in 51-65 years of age group.

Conclusion: There is strong association between sign and symptoms of the RDC/TMD criteria and age and gender of patient's i.e the clicking sound and deviation of mandible on mouth opening is more prevalent in males however crepitus and joint tenderness is more common in females. Clicking sound and pain on mouth opening is most prevalent in <18 years of age groups however crepitus was more common in >65 years age group.

Keywords: RDC/TMD criteria, Temporomandibular disorders, Crepitus, Joint tenderness, MPDS.

Introduction

The term "Temporomandibular disorders" (TMDs) encompasses a wide spectrum of signs and symptoms. There have been a lot of attempts to formulate a universally acceptable classification for categorization of this wide group of conditions. But each classification or category has some shortfall or the other. Classification has been attempted on the basis of anatomical changes, etiological factors and by some researchers on the basis of the frequency of the presenting signs and symptoms. There has always been a considerable overlap in any classification system. TMDs affect the articulation of the condyle with the glenoid fossa, masticatory muscles and the occlusion. There is a wide interplay between the above mentioned factors and a thorough investigation of all possible factors should be done before a final diagnosis of TMD is made.¹

Scientific investigation of TMDs began in the early 1950s. Earlier it has been suggested that the improper occlusion could influence masticator muscle functions. Later throughout the 1960s and 1970s, the emotional stress and occlusal conditions were considered as the major etiologic factors of functional disorders of the TMJDs. Further with increasing research, it is commonly accepted that TMJ derangement is of multifactorial origin and is best thought of as the result of a

combination of occlusal, neurophysiologic and psychological factors.² Patients with TMD usually suffer from muscle and/or joint pain on palpation and on mandibular movements, joint sounds and the mandibular range of motion may be limited.³ The multifactorial TMJD etiology is related to emotional tension, teeth loss, occlusal interferences, masticatory muscular dysfunction, postural deviation, internal and external changes in TMJ structure and the various associations of these factors.⁴

TMD can affect any patients regardless of age including children⁵ or gender with varying signs and symptoms.⁶ However due to the variation in symptoms among different patients and in the same patient at different times, the diagnosis of this clinical entity may be difficult.⁷ Epidemiological studies have estimated that approximately 50-75% of the population exhibit signs of TMDs. Internal derangement (36.8%) may be subclinical and the patient might not try to relate this to an underlying jaw problem. In less than 15-20% of the patients, the signs changed into symptoms for which the patient will seek treatment. The frequency to seek treatment increases if the symptoms interfere with day-to-day activities.¹ The prevalence of TMD is high in general population 40% to 60%.⁸

Now a day, with an increasing awareness and interest of the public towards oral health there is a need to provide attention towards the temporomandibular joint disorders.⁹ Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) issues can lie dormant in a patient. While some patients are not aware of their condition, many realize that they are experiencing something that is not normal in the TMJ but do not understand its future consequences or even worse how to correct it. A sharp pain while eating or a loud click in the TMJ could be their warning call¹⁰. So there is a very much need to screen and scrutinize these patients and determine the prevalence of TMD in patients. This cross-sectional study was done to assess and evaluate the prevalence of signs and symptoms associated with Temporomandibular joint disorders as per RDC criteria. This prevalence study will be the milestone and a paradigm for the future diagnosis and treatment plan for temporomandibular disorders.

Materials and Method

The Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular disorders (RDC/TMD) is defined as a collective term describing a group of conditions affecting either the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) or the masticatory musculature or both. The signs and symptoms of TMDs include pain in the masticatory musculature and/or joint which can radiate and refer, locking closed, open lock, inability to open fully, dislocation, noises like clicking and crepitus during joint movement, headache, tightness around the face in the morning and referred pain to the ear. Males and females of age from 6 years to 80 years were included in study. Patients whose third molars have been extracted, patients with a history of fracture of the TMJ and previous TMJ surgeries, non cooperative subjects, TMJ pathologies were excluded from study. The study was conducted from January 2016 to June 2017. The demographic data

and the signs and symptoms of TMDs were recorded in respect to age and gender in designed structured questionnaire which were based on the RDC/TMD criteria.

Statistical tools

Categorical variables will be presented in number and percentage (%). Qualitative variables will be compared using Chi-Square test /Fisher's exact test as appropriate. A p value of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant. The data will be entered in MS EXCEL spreadsheet and analysis will be done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0.

Results

The study samples are selected randomly and consist of 1009 subjects aged between 6 to 80 years with a mean age of 42.04±16.8 years (Table 1). The study population is divided in 5 age groups. Majority of the study subjects belongs to 18 to 35 years of age group (22.5%) (Table 2). The female subjects (66.6%) dominated the study population than male (Table 3). The association between clicking sound and gender was evaluated in study population by Chi-Square test. The clicking sound was more prevalent in males (47.2%) than females (40.4%) and it was statistically significant (P<0.05) (Table 4). The crepitus in temporomandibular joint was more common in female (26.6%) than male (24.1%) and this relation was statistically not significant (P>0.05) (Table 5). The joint tenderness was found more in female (8.1%) than male (0.9%). The joint tenderness in both sexes was highly significant (P<.001) (Table 6). Deviation of mandible on mouth opening was more prevalent in male (47.2%) than female (37.8%) and it was statistically significant (P<.05) (Table 7).

Table 1

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age	1009	6	80	42.04	16.868

Table 2

Gender	Frequency	Percent
Male	337	33.4
Female	672	66.6
Total	1009	100.0

Table 3

Age Intervals	Frequency	Percent
Below 18yrs	42	4.2
18 to 35yrs	407	40.3
36 to 50yrs	227	22.5
51 to 65yrs	219	21.7
More than 65yrs	114	11.3
Total	1009	100.0

Table 4

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Clicking sound	Yes	151 47.2%	277 40.4%	430 42.5%
	No	169 52.8%	412 59.6%	581 57.5%
Total		320 100.0%	689 100.0%	1009 100.0%

Table 5

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Crepitus	Yes	77 24.1%	184 26.6%	261 25.8%
	No	243 75.9%	505 73.4%	748 74.2%
Total		320 100.0%	691 100.0%	1009 100.0%

Table 6

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Joint tenderness	Yes	3 .9%	56 8.1%	59 5.8%
	No	317 99.1%	633 91.9%	950 94.2%
Total		320 100.0%	689 100.0%	1009 100.0%

Table 7

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Deviation of Mandible on mouth opening	Yes	151 47.2%	261 37.8%	412 40.8%
	No	169 52.8%	428 62.2%	597 59.2%
Total		320 100.0%	691 100.0%	1009 100.0%

All the study parameters were compared in age groups. It was found that clicking sound was most common in <18 years of age group (62.5%) followed by 18-35 years (55.6%) (Table 8). It was statistically significant ($P < .001$). The crepitus was most common in >65 years age group (58.6%) followed by 51-65 years age group (50.9%) and it was statistically significant ($P < .001$) (Table 9). The joint tenderness is most common in 36 to 50 years group (5.7%) followed by

18-35 years (5.6%) (Table 10). It was statistically non significant ($P > .005$). Deviation of mandible on mouth opening was more prevalent in 51-65 years of age group (49.4%) followed by 36-50 yrs and it was statistically significant ($P < .001$) (Table.11). Pain on mouth opening is most prevalent in <18 yrs of age group followed by 36-50 yrs of age. And it was statistically significant ($P < .001$) (Table 12).

Table 8

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Clicking sound	Yes	5	160	197	57	11	430
		62.5%	55.6%	47.0%	21.3%	37.9%	42.5%
	No	3	128	222	208	18	579
		37.5%	44.4%	53.0%	78.7%	62.1%	57.5%
Total		8	288	419	267	29	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 9

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Crepitus	Yes	2	32	74	136	17	261
		25.0%	11.1%	17.7%	50.9%	58.6%	25.8%
	No	6	256	343	131	12	749
		75.0%	88.9%	82.3%	49.1%	41.4%	74.2%
Total		8	288	419	267	29	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 10

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Joint tenderness	Yes	0	16	24	19	0	59
		.0%	5.6%	5.7%	7.1%	.0%	5.8%
	No	8	272	393	248	29	950
		100.0%	94.4%	94.3%	92.9%	100.0%	94.2%
Total		8	288	419	267	29	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 11

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Deviation of Mandible on mouth Opening	Yes	0	100	174	132	6	412
		.0%	34.7%	41.5%	49.4%	20.7%	40.8%
	No	8	188	243	135	23	597
		100.0%	65.3%	58.5%	50.6%	79.3%	59.2%
Total		8	288	419	267	29	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 12

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Pain on mouth opening	Yes	3	14	31	2	0	50
		37.5%	4.9%	7.4%	.7%	.0%	4.9%
	No	5	274	384	265	29	959
		62.5%	95.1%	92.6%	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Total		8	288	419	267	29	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The MPDS was more prevalent in male (45.2%) than female (28%). Statistically this association was significant ($P < 0.05$) (Table 13). However the osteoarthritis has high prevalence (31.7%) in female than male (25.2%) and it was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) (Table 14). Internal derangement in females (40.3%) are more prevalent than males (29.7%) (Table 15) and this association are statistically significant ($P < .001$).

Table 13

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
MPDS	Yes	152	188	340
		45.1%	28.0%	33.7%
	No	185	484	669
		54.9%	72.0%	66.3%
Total		337	672	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 14

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Osteoarthritis	Yes	85	213	298
		25.2%	31.7%	29.5%
	No	252	459	711
		74.8%	68.3%	70.5%
Total		337	672	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 15

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Internal Derangement	Yes	100	271	371
		29.7%	40.3%	36.8%
	No	237	401	638
		70.3%	59.7%	63.2%
Total		337	672	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The MPDS was more prevalent in <18yrs of age a group (78.6%) followed by 18-35yrs (72.2%). And this association was statistically significant (P value<0.001) (Table 16). The osteoarthritis is more prevalent in 51 to 65 yrs (58%) age group followed by >65yrs age group

(47.4%). This association is statistically significant (P value<0.001) (Table 17).The internal derangement is more common in 36 to 50 yrs age group (52.9%) and it was statistically significant (P value<0.001) (Table 18).

Table 16

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
MPDS	Yes	33	294	13	0	0	340
		78.6%	72.2%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	33.7%
	No	9	113	214	219	114	669
		21.4%	27.8%	94.3%	100.0%	100.0%	66.3%
Total		42	407	227	219	114	1009
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 17

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Osteoarthritis	Yes	2 4.8%	21 5.2%	94 41.4%	127 58.0%	54 47.4%	298 29.5%
	No	40 95.2%	386 94.8%	133 58.6%	92 42.0%	60 52.6%	711 70.5%
Total		42 100.0%	407 100.0%	227 100.0%	219 100.0%	114 100.0%	1009 100.0%

Table 18

		Age Intervals					Total
		Below 18yrs	18 to 35yrs	36 to 50yrs	51 to 65yrs	More than 65yrs	
Internal Derangement	Yes	7 16.7%	92 22.6%	120 52.9%	92 42.0%	60 52.6%	371 36.8%
	No	35 83.3%	315 77.4%	107 47.1%	127 58.0%	54 47.4%	638 63.2%
Total		42 100.0%	407 100.0%	227 100.0%	219 100.0%	114 100.0%	1009 100.0%

Discussion

TMDs are the principle cause for chronic facial pain. The term TMD has been epitomized as a cluster of disorders defined by pain in the preauricular area, TMJ or the masticator muscles limitation or deviations in mandibular range of motion and clicking sound in the TMJ during mandibular function. The temporomandibular disorders are not pertained to growth or developmental disorders, systemic diseases and macrotrauma¹¹. Schwartz¹² defined the temporomandibular pain dysfunction syndrome primarily as a symptom complex which is seen in young or middle aged adults. Some of the signs are tenderness of the joint, dull pain which upsurge on mouth opening, muscle tenderness, referred pain to the angle of mandible, muscles of the neck, limited mouth opening, deviation on mouth opening and joint sounds characterized by crepitus and clicking.

Emotional tension and occlusion play an unambiguous etiological role to produce muscle spasm which provokes these symptoms. Several opinions are conveyed in the literature whether occlusion is the cause or the result of the dysfunction or vice versa.¹³ Feteih et al¹⁴ revealed TMD prevalence of 21.3% in 385 adolescents aged between 12 and 16 years. Thilander et al¹⁵ showed the prevalence was 20% and 25% among adolescents. A meta-analysis published in 1993 on 51 random samples and selected TMD prevalence studies conducted from 1974 to 1991 showed clinically determined TMD frequency in the range of 0-93% (an average of 44%) and TMD prevalence in the range of 6-93% (an average of 30%) based on the information obtained from questionnaires.¹⁶ Matsuka et al¹⁷ stated that the prevalence of these symptoms was 24% and was thus higher than in other studies. A German study¹⁸

reported that 20-59-year-old women were significantly more frequently aware of joint sounds than men. Agerberget et al¹⁹ reported that the overall prevalence of clicking detected by clinical examination was 17% in men and 27% in women. A study was conducted in the county of Stockholm, Sweden on persons aged 18-65 years and it was concluded that clicking sound is present in 21% males and 28% females however crepitus was detected in 26% men and 40% women.²⁰ Gesch et al¹⁸ reported that clicking and crepitus is present in 24.9% of the subjects with women having clicking sounds significantly more frequent than men almost twice (Female(31.7%) vs. male(17.9%)). Tervonen et al²¹ reported that the rate of occurrence of clicking sound and crepitus was 20% however they did not described gender or age dependent differences. However a study conducted in Danish population concluded that the rate of occurrence of crepitus and clicking joint was 15.4%. The predilection is 19% for female and 12.2% for male.²² A study conducted in Japanese population¹⁷ reported a higher prevalence of clicking (46%) and crepitation (19%) in their study sample.

Gesch et al¹⁸ observed that the prevalence of masticatory muscle tenderness was 12% whereas on contrary the prevalence rates of masticatory muscle tenderness reported in a Swedish study conducted by Salonen et al²³ and Japanese¹⁷ study were 19% and 21% respectively. Muthukrishnan et al¹ reported that the joint tenderness was present in 3.2% of the population and was maximum in the age group of >50 years. Females showed a higher prevalence of joint tenderness (4.8%) when compared to males (2.4%) with a high significance ($P < 0.001$). As part of the national health interview survey hitch was administered by telephone

to a large representative sample of the US population, joint tenderness was reported in 7% of women and 3.5% of men.²⁴ Agerberg et al¹⁹ also reported that joint tenderness to range from 0.5% to 7.9% with an overall rate of 2.5% for men and 4.9% for women and the highest rate of joint pain were found in the oldest age group. Muthukrishnan et al¹ also stated that pain on mouth opening was evident in 2.3% of study population. 3.5% of the study population in the age group of >50 years experienced maximum pain on mouth opening. Females encountered more pain on mouth opening (3.6%) than men (1.7%) and it was statistically significant. Gesch et al¹⁸ reported pain upon mouth opening in 1.2% of the subjects and this symptom was more frequent among women than men in the age group of 40-59 years (2.1% vs. 0.4%). Salonen et al²³ also reported the prevalence of pain on mouth opening to be 3% and 0.7% in females and males respectively in their study sample. Muthukrishnan et al¹ also stated that deviation of mandible on mouth opening was reported by 42.1% of individuals. 75.2% of individuals in the age group of >50 years reported deviation of mandible on mouth opening more frequently. Deviation on mouth opening was prevalent in 62.3% of the subjects in 31-50 years age group with the least rate being reported in those belonging to 18-30 years age group (18%) ($P < 0.001$). Females showed a slightly higher prevalence of mandibular deviation (44.9%) than males (40.5%) ($P = 0.020$). The MPDS was more prevalent in male (45.1%) than female (28%). However the higher percentage of Osteoarthritis was found in females (31.7%) than male ($P < 0.05$). Females have more prevalence for internal derangement (40.3%) than male ($P < 0.05$). On comparing in age groups, maximum cases of MPDS were found in <18 years (78.6%) group followed by 18-35 years (72.2%) and so on. So it was concluded as age increases the prevalence of MPDS decreases ($P < 0.05$). The maximum cases of osteoarthritis was found in 51-65 years (58%) group followed by > 65 years (47.4%) group. So as the age progresses prevalence of osteoarthritis is increases ($P < 0.05$). Mostly old aged individual suffers from this disorder. The internal derangement was most commonly found in 36-50 years (52.9%) group followed by >65 years (52.6%). So as the age progresses prevalence of internal derangement increases too. Statistically this association was significant ($P < 0.05$). Clicking sound was more prevalent in males (47.2%) with evident click. The crepitus (26.6%) and joint tenderness (8.1%) was more common in females than males. On observing the association of gender with deviation of mandible on opening, it was found that, the higher percentage was obtained in males than females (47.2% > 37.8%). However pain on mouth opening (7.1%) was more common with females than males. When compared in age groups, the clicking sound was most common in <18 years (62.5%) age group followed by 18-35 years

(55.6%) age group. So it is depicted that clicking sound is generally found in 10-35 years of life of an individual. Crepitus was more common in > 65 years (58.6%) age group followed by 51-65 years of age group (50.9%). So it was concluded that crepitus was felt more at 5th-6th decade of life. Maximum cases of joint tenderness were found in age of 51-65 years (7.1%) age group. Deviation of mandible was most prevalent in 51-65 years (49.4%) age group followed by 36-50 years (41.5%). However maximum cases of pain on mouth opening was found in < 18 years (37.5%) age group. It was also concluded that 28% of the subjects who had crepitus and 25.1% of the subjects who had clicking sound in temporomandibular joint were also having deviation of mandible on mouth opening.

Conclusion

The results and outcomes of this study en-surfaced the fact that there was a coalition between signs and symptoms of TMD and para-functional habits. The outcomes of present study may serves as the milestone for the researchers and clinician to carry out screening of signs and symptoms based on RDC/TMD criteria and send the affected patients for further treatment.

References

1. Muthukrishnan A, Sekar GS. Prevalence of temporomandibular disorders in Chennai population. *Journal of Ind Acad of Oral Med Radiol* 2015;27(4):508.
2. Mutlu N, Herken H, Güray E, Öz F, Kalayci A. Evaluation of the prevalence of temporomandibular joint disorder syndrome in dental school students with psychometric analysis. *Turkish J Med Sci* 8;32(4):345-50.
3. Schmitter M, Rammelsberg P, Hassel A. The prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in very old subjects. *J Oral Rehabil* 2005;32(7):467-73.
4. Modi P, Shaikh SS, Munday A. A cross sectional study of prevalence of Temporomandibular joints in university students. *Int J Sci Res Pub* 2012;2(9):1-3.
5. Nilner M, Lassing SA. Prevalence of functional disturbances and diseases of the stomatognathic system in 7-14 year olds. *Swedish Dent J* 1981;5(5-6):173-87.
6. Nassif NJ, Hilsen KL. Screening for temporomandibular disorders: History and clinical examination. *J Prosth* 1992;1(1):42-6.
7. Cooper BC, Kleinberg I. Examination of a large patient population for the presence of symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders. *Cranio* 2007;25(2):114-26.
8. Okeson JP. Management of Temporomandibular Disorders and occlusion (ed 3). St. Louis.
9. Hegde S, Mahadev R, Ganapathy KS, Sujatha D, Patil BA. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular joint disorders in dental students. *JIAOMR* 2011;23(3):S316-9.
10. Gopal SK, Shankar R, Vardhan BH. Prevalence of temporo-mandibular joint disorders in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Adv Health Sci* 2014;1(6):14-20.
11. Casanova-Rosado JF, Medina-Solís CE, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Casanova-Rosado AJ, Hernández-Prado B, Ávila-Burgos L. Prevalence and associated factors for temporomandibular disorders in a group of Mexican

- adolescents and youth adults. *Clin Oral Invest* 2006;10:42-9.
12. Schwartz LL. A Temporomandibular joint pain dysfunction syndrome. *J Chronic Dis* 1956;3:284–293. doi:10.1016/00219681(56)901230.
 13. Shetty R. Prevalence of signs of temporomandibular joint dysfunction in asymptomatic edentulous subjects: A cross-sectional study. *The Journal of Ind Prosth Soc* 2010;10(2):96-101.
 14. Feteih RM. Signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders and oral parafunctions in urban Saudi Arabian adolescents: A research report. *Head Face Med* 2006;2:25.
 15. Thilander B, Rubio G, Pena L, de Mayorga C. Prevalence of temporomandibular dysfunction and its association with malocclusion in children and adolescents: An epidemiologic study related to specified stages of dental development. *Angle Orthod* 2002;72:146-54.
 16. De Kanter RJ, Truin GJ, Burgersdijk RC, Van't Hof MA, Battistuzzi PG, Kalsbeek H, et al. Prevalence in the Dutch adult population and a meta-analysis of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorder. *J Dent Res* 1993;72:1509-18.
 17. Matsuka Y, Yatani H, Kuboki T, Yamashita A. Temporomandibular disorders in the adult population of Okayama City, Japan. *Cranio* 1996;14:158-62.
 18. Gesch D, Bernhardt O, Alte D, Schwahn C, Kocher T, John U et al. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in an urban and rural German population: Results of a population-based Study of Health in Pomerania. *Quintessence Int* 2004;35:143-50.
 19. Agerberg G, Bergenholtz A. Craniomandibular disorders in adult population of West Bothnia, Sweden. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1989;47:129-40.
 20. Agerberg G, Inkapööl I. Craniomandibular disorders in an urban Swedish population. *J Cranomandib Disord* 1990;4:154-64.
 21. Tervonen T, Knuutila M. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of mandibular dysfunction among adults aged 25, 35, 50, and 65 years in Ostrobothnia, Finland. *J Oral Rehabil* 1988;15:455-63.
 22. Goulet JP, Lavigne GI, Lund JP. Jaw pain prevalence among French-speaking Canadians and related symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. *J Dent Res* 1995;74:1738-44.
 23. Salonen L, Helldén L, Carlsson GE. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of dysfunction in the masticatory system: An epidemiology study in an adult Swedish population. *J Cranio-mandib Disord* 1990;4:241-50.
 24. Lipton IA, Ship JA, Larach-Robinson D. Estimated prevalence and distribution of reported orofacial pain in the United States. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1993;124:115-21.