



Original Research Article

Knowledge, attitude, and practice on HPV vaccination among pre-university female students

Santhosh Ramakrishna¹, Ravi H Kudthni², Veerabhadra Radhakrishna^{3*}, Shrinidhi Kulkarni⁴, Meghana Panchakshari⁵

¹Dept. of Pharmacology, Akash Institute of Medical Science and Research Centre, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

²Dept. of Pharmacology, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi, India

³Dept. of Pediatric Surgery, Manipal Hospitals, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

⁴Dept. of Anaesthesia, Grant Government Medical College, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

⁵Dept. of Ophthalmology, Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 03-02-2023

Accepted 04-09-2023

Available online 13-08-2024

Keywords:

Attitude

Carcinoma Cervix

Human Papilloma Virus

Knowledge

Practice

ABSTRACT

Background: Despite the availability of the HPV vaccine in our country, the mortality secondary to cervical cancer is still high as not many are interested in vaccinating the at-risk population. Hence, a study was conducted to identify the knowledge, attitude, and practice of the Human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccination in pre-university female students.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in the Tumkur district of India amongst female students studying in pre-university colleges.

Results: A total of 271 female students were included in the study. The mean knowledge score of the study population was 5.6/17 and only 169 (62%) students were aware of the effects of HPV. A total of 144 (53%) study participants were willing to take HPV vaccination if provided but 1/3rd of them backed out after knowing the cost of vaccination. The study participants from the urban area had more knowledge about the effects of HPV (118/160 vs. 51/111; $p < 0.0001$) than those from the rural area but there was no difference between them regarding attitude and practice toward HPV infection and its vaccination.

Conclusion: The study population was found to have poor knowledge about HPV infection and HPV vaccination. Only 53% of study participants were willing to take HPV vaccination if provided but 1/3rd of them backed out after knowing the cost of vaccination. The urban group was more knowledgeable than the rural group but both groups had a similar attitude and practice toward HPV infection and its vaccination.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which allows others to remix, and build upon the work. The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Human Papilloma Viruses (HPV) are double-stranded DNA viruses with a great affinity for the squamous epithelium of the genital tract.¹ The prevalence of HPV infection varies from 2 to 44% amongst women and is the most common sexually transmitted disease in adolescents and young women.² These viruses are responsible for 99% of cervical cancers and 90% of genital warts worldwide.^{3,4} Cervical

cancer is the second most common malignancy in women in India with an annual crude incidence rate of 14.9 per one lakh women population.^{5,6} Carcinoma cervix accounts for more than 5,00,000 cases and 3,00,000 mortality worldwide which makes it a major global disease of concern.⁷

When administered in girls of 15 to 26 years of age, the HPV vaccination is effective against high-grade cervical lesions. The clinical protection and protective antibody titer were sustained over eight to 10 years.⁸ Despite the availability of the HPV vaccine in our country, mortality secondary to cervical cancer is still high. The cost of the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: vbrps2016@gmail.com (V. Radhakrishna).

vaccine, safety concerns, limited knowledge, acceptance, and lack of awareness are the major barriers to HPV vaccine administration in India.⁹ Hence, a study was conducted to identify the knowledge, attitude, and practice of the HPV vaccine in pre-university female students. The study also included a comparison between the female students in the urban area to that in the rural area as we know that the economic level, cultural practices, and physical environment affect the attitudes and beliefs of people regarding disease prevention, pathogenesis, and treatment.¹⁰

2. Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in the Tumkur district of India amongst female students studying in pre-university colleges. Ethical committee approval was obtained from Institute Ethics Committee. A few colleges were selected randomly in urban and rural areas of the Tumkur district. Permission was obtained from the Principals of respective institutes and consent was obtained from the study participants. A pretested questionnaire was shared with the female students and was collected back after it was filled by them. Utmost care was taken to protect the identity of the study participants.

The data collected included demographic factors such as age, class of study, education qualification of parents, socio-economic status, religion, and persons living in the same house. Clinical history such as the family history of malignancy, menstrual hygiene, premarital sex, knowledge, attitude, and practice about HPV was also collected.

The Socioeconomic status was classified based on the revised BG Prasad classification based on the current Consumer Price Index for Industrial Workers (CPI-IW) compiled and released by the Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India.¹¹ Parents' occupations were classified based on the National classification of occupations 2015 compiled and released by the Ministry of Labour and Employment (Government of India) and the Labour Bureau of Government of India.^{12,13}

There were 17 questions to assess the knowledge and eight questions to assess the attitude/practice towards HPV infection. The knowledge was further assessed by scoring "one" for each correct response and was further analyzed. The knowledge scores less than 50% were considered "poor", 50-75% as "moderate" and $\geq 76\%$ as "good". The urban and rural groups were further compared based on their knowledge and attitude toward HPV infection and its vaccination.

2.1. Sample size calculation

The sample size of 271 was calculated using OpenEpi (Version 3) open-source calculator-SSPropor after considering the incidence of HPV infection as 22.8% based on a study by Liu XX et al.¹⁴ The precision was

assumed to be 0.05 with a design effect of 1.

2.2. Statistical analysis

All the data collected were compiled and entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Descriptive parameters were expressed in number, percentage, mean and standard deviation. A chi-square or Fischer's exact test was used to find an association between the attributes. The difference between the groups was considered significant when the "p" value was <0.05 with a 95% confidence interval.

3. Results

A total of 271 female students were included in the study. Twenty-seven (10%) study participants belonged to 1st pre-university while 244 (90%) belonged to 2nd pre-university year. Two-hundred-and-fifty-eight (95%) of the study population was 16 to 17 years of age. The study group included 160 (59%) urban students and 111 (41%) rural students. One-hundred-and-twenty-four (46%) students' fathers were educated up to high school or lower while 136 (50%) mothers were educated up to high school or lower (Table 1). One hundred and twelve (41%) fathers belonged to clerical/shop/farm work group while only 20 (7%) were unskilled workers. One-hundred-and-thirty (48%) mothers' of study participants belonged to semi- or professional jobs while 34% were unemployed. One-hundred-and-fifty-eight (58%) study participants belonged to the upper class (Table 2).

Two-hundred-and-forty-nine (92%) of the study participants were Hindus. Two-hundred-and-twenty-eight (84%) study participants were living with their parents. Eleven (4%) study participants had a family history of cancer. Two-hundred-and-sixty-one (96%) students were using sanitary napkins during menstruation while only 10 (4%) were using cloth during menstruation. Three (1%) had a history of pre-marital sex (Table 3).

Internet (14%) was the commonest source of information about HPV followed by newspapers (13%). The other sources of information included doctors (10%), television (7%), text book (7%), friends (6%), parents (4%), and radio (2%). A total of 169 (62%) students were aware of the effects of HPV while only 12% were aware of the mode of HPV transmission. Only 16% of the study participants were aware of the symptoms of HPV infection and only 34% of the study participants were aware of HPV vaccination (Table 4). A total of 144 (53%) study participants were willing to take HPV vaccination if provided but 1/3rd of them backed out after knowing the cost of vaccination (Table 5). The mean knowledge score was 5.6/17. Overall 224 (83%) study participants had poor knowledge about HPV infection (Table 6).

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile of the study participants (Part-1)

Parameters	Total n (%)	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	'p' value
Father's Qualification				
Illiterate	25 (9)	20 (18)	5 (3)	<0.001*
Primary School	8 (3)	7 (6)	1 (1)	
Middle School	24 (9)	19 (17)	5 (3)	
High School	67 (25)	35 (32)	32 (20)	
Intermediate/ Diploma	54 (20)	14 (13)	40 (25)	
Graduate	68 (25)	15 (14)	53 (33)	
Professional Degree	25 (9)	1 (1)	24 (15)	
Mother's Qualification				
Illiterate	7 (3)	5 (5)	2 (1)	<0.001*
Primary School	10 (4)	8 (7)	2 (1)	
Middle School	20 (7)	18 (16)	2 (1)	
High School	99 (37)	42 (38)	57 (36)	
Intermediate/ Diploma	55 (20)	14 (13)	41 (26)	
Graduate	67 (25)	21 (19)	46 (29)	
Professional Degree	13 (5)	3 (3)	10 (6)	

*Yateschi-square test

Table 2: Socio-demographic profile of the study participants (Part-2)

Parameters	Total n (%)	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	‘p’ value
Father’s Occupation				
Unskilled Worker	20 (7)	17 (15)	3 (2)	<0.001*
Semiskilled Worker	4 (2)	3 (3)	1 (1)	
Skilled Worker	23 (9)	7 (6)	16 (10)	
Clerical/Shop/Farm	112 (41)	64 (58)	48 (30)	
Semi-Profession	66 (24)	17 (15)	49 (31)	
Professional	46 (17)	3 (3)	43 (27)	
Mother’s Occupation				
Unemployed	93 (34)	43 (39)	50 (31)	<0.001*
Unskilled Worker	12 (4)	10 (9)	2 (1)	
Semiskilled Worker	8 (3)	3 (3)	5 (3)	
Skilled Worker	4 (2)	1 (1)	3 (2)	
Clerical/Shop/Farm	24 (9)	17 (15)	7 (4)	
Semi-Profession	125 (46)	36 (32)	89 (56)	
Professional	5 (2)	1 (1)	4 (3)	
Socio-Economic Status				
I (Upper Class)	158 (58)	57 (51)	101 (63)	<0.001*
II (Upper Middle Class)	18 (7)	12 (11)	6 (4)	
III (Middle Class)	24 (9)	4 (4)	20 (13)	
IV (Lower Middle Class)	39 (14)	19 (17)	20 (13)	
V (Lower Class)	32 (12)	19 (17)	13 (8)	

*Yateschi-square test

There was no age difference between the urban and the rural groups. The parents of the urban group were more educated than those of the rural group (Table 1). Most of the parents of the urban population were either professionals or semi-professionals while most of the parents of the rural population were clerical, shop, or farm workers (Table 2). The families in the urban group belonged to higher socio-economic status than those in the rural group (Table 2). There was no difference in family history, menstrual hygiene, or religion between the urban and rural groups (Table 3). The study participants from the

urban area had more knowledge about the effects of HPV (118/160 vs. 51/111; $p < 0.0001$) than those from the rural area but there was no difference between them regarding the knowledge of the mode of transmission of HPV. The urban group had more knowledge about the symptoms of HPV infection (32/160 vs. 11/111; $p = 0.009$), the availability of HPV vaccine (66/160 vs. 25/111; $p = 0.001$), and the ideal age group for HPV vaccination (90/160 vs. 33/111; $p < 0.0001$) compared to the rural group (Table 4). There was no difference between the urban and the rural group in terms of attitude and practice toward HPV infection

Table 3: Characteristics and Family history of study subjects

Parameters	Total n (%)	Rural n (%)	n (%) Urban	‘p’ value
Religion				
Hindu	249 (92)	104 (94)	145 (91)	0.84*
Muslim	20 (7)	7 (6)	13 (8)	
Christian	2 (1)	0 (0)	2 (1)	
Presently Staying with				
Parents	228 (84)	89 (80)	139 (87)	0.38*
Joint Family	32 (12)	17 (15)	15 (9)	
Friends	2 (1)	0 (0)	2 (1)	
Relatives	6 (2)	2 (2)	4 (3)	
Staying Single	3 (1)	3 (3)	0 (0)	
Menstrual hygiene				
Sanitary pads	261 (96)	104 (94)	157 (98)	0.1 ^Đ
Home cloth	10 (4)	7 (6)	3 (2)	
Family history of cancer	11 (4)	2 (2)	9 (6)	0.21 ^Đ
Premarital sex	3 (1)	0 (0)	3 (2)	0.28 ^Đ

*Yates chi-square test; ^ĐFischer's exact test**Table 4:** Knowledge assessment and comparison among the study subjects

Knowledge Assessment	Total n (%)	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	p-value
What does HPV cause?	169 (62)	51 (46)	118 (74)	< 0.00001*
How is HPV transmitted?	32 (12)	10 (9)	22 (14)	0.234*
Who can be infected with HPV?	162 (60)	64 (58)	98 (61)	0.555*
Do you think condoms protect against HPV infection?	68 (25)	16 (14)	52 (33)	0.001*
What may be the symptoms of HPV infection	43 (16)	11 (10)	32 (20)	0.025*
Can HPV be cured by taking antibiotics?	64 (24)	28 (25)	36 (23)	0.603*
Do you think all HPV-infected people develop cancer?	77 (28)	22 (20)	55 (34)	0.009*
Where do you think cervical cancer is common, rural or urban?	126 (47)	51 (46)	75 (47)	0.881*
PAP test is for screening of HPV infection?	19 (7)	8 (7)	11 (7)	0.912*
Is HPV infection common?	63 (23)	42 (38)	21 (13)	< 0.00001*
Are you aware of the HPV vaccine?	91 (34)	25 (23)	66 (41)	0.001*
Do you think the HPV vaccine is available in India?	147 (54)	57 (51)	90 (56)	0.424*
Do you believe vaccination against HPV is helpful?	187 (69)	71 (64)	116 (73)	0.136*
What is the ideal age group for HPV Vaccination?	123 (45)	33 (30)	90 (56)	< 0.00001*
Who should be vaccinated against HPV?	44 (16)	16 (14)	28 (18)	0.497*
Does the HPV vaccine help treat patients infected with HPV?	21 (8)	6 (5)	15 (9)	0.230*
Can HPV spread from Mother to Baby?	127 (47)	47 (42)	80 (50)	0.215*

*Yateschi-square test

and its vaccination (Table 5). The overall knowledge score was better in the urban group compared to the rural group (Table 6).

4. Discussion

Cervical cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths in women globally with more than a quarter occurring in developing countries.¹⁵ One in 53 Indian

women are prone to develop cervical cancer in their lifetime.¹⁵ HPV is responsible for 99% of cervical cancers and is also responsible for anal cancer, genital warts, and other genital diseases.^{3,4,16} Early age at first sexual intercourse, early age at marriage, early age at first full-term pregnancy, long-term use of hormonal contraceptives, and multiple pregnancies are responsible for the progression of HPV infection to neoplastic cervical lesions in India.¹⁰ These neoplastic lesions and the presence of high-risk HPV

Table 5: Attitude & Practice assessment and comparison among the study subjects

Attitude Assessment	Total n (%)	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	p-value
If provided, will you take HPV vaccination?	144 (53)	58 (52)	86 (54)	0.810*
Do you think your family members will agree to vaccinate children?	104 (38)	49 (44)	55 (34)	0.103*
Are you willing to approximately 10,000 rupees for the full course of HPV vaccination?	93 (34)	32 (30)	61 (38)	0.112*
Do you think white discharge per vagina be examined and treated?	98 (36)	45 (41)	53 (33)	0.211*
Do you think HPV vaccination should be included in routine vaccination programs?	114 (42)	45 (41)	69 (43)	0.674*
Practice assessment				
Have you received an HPV vaccination?	17 (6)	10 (9)	7 (4)	0.121*
Will you recommend others to be vaccinated against HPV?	166 (61)	63 (57)	103 (64)	0.204*
Once vaccinated is it necessary to screen that person?	80 (30)	39 (35)	41 (26)	0.091*

*Yateschi-square test

Table 6: Knowledge score

Cut Off Scores	Knowledge Status	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	Total n (%)	p-value
< 50 %	Poor	103 (93)	121 (75)	224 (83)	<0.01*
50 - 75 %	Moderate	7 (6)	39 (25)	46 (17)	
76 - 100 %	Good	1 (1)	0	1 (0.4)	

*Yateschi-square test

strains in India are responsible for the increased incidence of cervical malignancy in India.¹⁰

Amongst the 170 strains of HPV, 40 strains are transmitted sexually.¹⁷ A few reports predict that more than 75% of sexually active individuals will be infected with HPV in their lifetime.^{17,18} HPV types 6 and 11 are associated with 90% of genital warts while types 16 and 18 are associated with a majority of cervical and anal malignancy.¹⁰

There are three vaccines available against HPV, namely, Gardasil, Gardasil 9, and Cervarix. All three vaccines are safe and more than 90% effective.^{19,20} Because of the poor response from the at-risk individuals, the vaccine didn't produce its potential health impact in India.¹⁰ The possible reasons were the cost of the vaccine, misinformation about the HPV vaccine, limited knowledge, acceptance, socio-demographic factors, cultural belief, and lack of awareness.⁹ This led to the suspension of HPV vaccination in India but sooner reapproved based on the effect of the vaccine in developed countries.¹⁰ At present, the Indian Academy of Pediatrics recommends HPV vaccination with 2 doses at 0 and 6 months to girls between 9 and 14 years; and three doses at 0, 1, and 6 months to girls above 15 years.²¹

The study evaluated the knowledge of HPV & HPV Vaccination in at-risk population and their attitude/practice in Tumkur district, Karnataka state, India. Around 62% of the study population was aware of the effects of HPV but only 34% were aware of HPV vaccination. Only 14% were

aware of the symptoms of HPV. The overall knowledge score was only 5.6/17. The studies in various developing countries showed similar results. A study by Liu Y et al found that the at-risk population had low-moderate knowledge (3.78/8) about HPV and its vaccination.¹⁶ Similar findings were noted in other countries such as Khan TM et al in Pakistan and Dany M et al in Lebanon who found that 57% and 37% of knowledge in the at-risk population.^{22,23} Even in Singapore, the students' median knowledge score was only 7/14.²⁴

The Internet followed by newspapers was the most common source of information in our study population. The poor knowledge of these at-risk population can be increased by the government by promoting the HPV vaccination on social media and in newspapers. Health education plays an important role in promoting vaccination, especially by doctors or health authorities. Hence, schools and colleges should organize health education sessions in coordination with the health department to promote HPV vaccination. Government can also promote vaccination by including health education in textbooks.

Around 53% of our study population showed interest in HPV vaccination but 1/3rd of them backed out after knowing the cost of vaccination. So, the government should include HPV vaccination under National Immunization Programme.

The urban group fared better than the rural population in knowledge about HPV. The urban group was also found to be more educated and belonged to a higher socioeconomic

status which would have helped them know more about HPV. This is similar to other studies such as Hussain S et al.²⁵ A study by Degarege et al found that the knowledge about HPV and its vaccine was higher amongst the parents of urban areas compared to rural areas.¹⁰ This could be because of a higher rate of formal education in urban areas compared to rural areas.²⁶ Also, the higher literacy rates, the presence of more healthcare facilities, radio, television, and internet are also responsible for higher knowledge in urban areas compared to rural areas.²⁶ But the attitude and practices were found to be the same in both urban and rural groups.

Increasing the awareness and knowledge about HPV infection and vaccination against it will promote the acceptability of HPV vaccination in at-risk population and their parents.²⁷ So, promoting health education about HPV infection and including HPV vaccination in the national immunization schedule will help reduce the incidence of HPV infection and cervical cancer. Some states in India such as Delhi and Punjab have already included HPV vaccination in their state immunization programme.^{28,29}

5. Conclusion

The study population was found to have poor knowledge about HPV infection and HPV vaccination. Only 53% of study participants were willing to take HPV vaccination if provided but 1/3rd of them backed out after knowing the cost of vaccination. The overall knowledge score was better in the urban group compared to the rural group but there was no difference between them regarding attitude and practice toward HPV infection and its vaccination.

6. Author Contributions

Conceptualization: RHK, SR, VR. Data curation: RHK, SR. Formal analysis: SR, VR. Funding acquisition: None. Methodology: RHK, SR, VR. Project administration: RHK, SR, VR. Visualization: RHK, SR, VR. Writing - original draft: VR. Writing - review & editing: RHK, SR.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Mr Narayanaswamy, Department of Community Medicine, ESI Rajajinagar, Bengaluru for his help in statistical analysis. We would like to thank Dr Shrinidhi Kulkarni, Dr. Meghana Panchakshari, and Dr. Pooja Vishwanath for their help in data collection.

We also thank Principals of Shridevi PU College, Siddaganga PU College, Mahesh PU College, Government

PU College of Gulur, Kalidasa PU College, and Sarvodaya PU College for their co-operation.

References

- Villiers EM, Fauquet C, Broker TR, Bernard HU, Hausen H. Classification of papillomaviruses. *Virology*. 2004;324(1):17–27.
- Bruni L, Diaz M, Castellsagué X, Ferrer E, Bosch FX, De Sanjosé S, et al. Cervical human papillomavirus prevalence in 5 continents: meta-analysis of 1 million women with normal cytological findings. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;202(12):1789–99.
- Moody CA, Laimins LA. Human papillomavirus oncoproteins: pathways to transformation. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2010;10(8):550–60.
- Ault KA. Future II Study Group. Effect of prophylactic human papillomavirus L1 virus-like-particle vaccine on risk of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2, grade 3, and adenocarcinoma in situ: a combined analysis of four randomised clinical trials. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9576):1861–8.
- Kulothungan V, Sathishkumar K, Leburu S, Ramamurthy T, Stephen S, Basavarajappa D, et al. Burden of cancers in India - estimates of cancer crude incidence, YLLs, YLDs and DALYs for 2021 and 2025 based on National Cancer Registry Program. *BMC Cancer*. 2022;527:22. doi:10.1186/s12885-022-09578-1.
- National Centre for Disease Informatics and Research, National Cancer Registry Programme, ICMR. In: Time trends in cancer incidence rates, 1982–2010. Bangalore, India: NCDIR-NCRP (ICMR); 2013. [Online]. [Accessed October 25, 2022]. Available from: https://www.ncdirindia.org/All_Reports/TREND_REPORT_1982_2010/ALL_CONTENT/ALL_PDF/Preliminary_Pages.pdf.
- Arbyn M, Weiderpass E, Bruni L, De Sanjosé S, Saraiya M, Ferlay J, et al. Estimates of incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in 2018: a worldwide analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2020;8(2):e191–203. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30482-6.
- Ferris D, Samakoses R, Block SL, Lazcano-Ponce E, Restrepo JA, Reisinger KS, et al. Long-term study of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine. *Paediatr*. 2014;134(3):657–65.
- Agosti JM, Goldie S. Introducing HPV Vaccine in Developing Countries — Key Challenges and Issues. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:1908–10. doi:10.1056/NEJMp078053.
- Degarege A, Krupp K, Fennie K, Li T, Stephens DP, Marlow L, et al. Urban-Rural Inequities in the Parental Attitudes and Beliefs Towards Human Papillomavirus Infection, Cervical Cancer, and Human Papillomavirus Vaccine in Mysore, India. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2018;31(5):494–502.
- Majumder S. Socioeconomic status scales: Revised Kuppaswamy, BG Prasad, and Udai Pareek's scale updated for 2021. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2021;10(11):3964–7.
- Government of India: Ministry of Labour & Employment. Directorate General of Employment. In: National classification of occupations; 2015. [Accessed October 25, 2022]. Available from: https://www.ncs.gov.in/Documents/National%20Classification%20of%20Occupations%20_Vol%20I-%202015.pdf.
- Government of India: Labour Bureau. In: Report on evaluation studies on implementation of the minimum wages act, 1948 in Bidi making establishments in Madhya Pradesh. 2003 [Online]; 2003. [Accessed October 25, 2022]. Available from: <http://labourbureau.gov.in/MW3pre.htm>.
- Liu XX, Fan XL, Yu YP, Yan JL, Sun J, H A, et al. Human papillomavirus prevalence and type-distribution among women in Zhejiang Province, Southeast China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:708. doi:10.1186/s12879-014-0708-8.
- Bobdey S, Sathwara J, Jain A, Balasubramaniam G. Burden of cervical cancer and role of screening in India. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol*. 2016;37(4):278–85.
- Liu Y, Di N, Tao X. Knowledge, practice and attitude towards HPV vaccination among college students in Beijing, China. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2020;16(1):116–23.

17. Schiffman M, Castle PE. Human papillomavirus: epidemiology and public health. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2003;127(8):930–4.
18. Yudin MH. HPV vaccination: time to end the debate. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol.* 2010;23(1):55–6.
19. Harper DM, Demars LR. HPV vaccines - A review of the first decade. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2017;146(1):196–204.
20. Tyson N. HPV Update. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol.* 2017;30(2):262–4.
21. Kasi SG, Shivananda S, Marathe S, Chatterjee K, Agarwalla S, Dhir SK, et al. Indian Academy of Pediatrics (IAP) Advisory Committee on Vaccines and Immunization Practices (ACVIP): Recommended Immunization Schedule (2020-21) and Update on Immunization for Children Aged 0 Through 18 Years. *Indian Pediatr.* 2021;58(1):44–53.
22. Khan TM, Buksh MA, Rehman IU, Saleem A. Knowledge, attitudes, and perception towards human papillomavirus among university students in Pakistan. *Papillomavirus Res.* 2016;2:122–7. doi:10.1016/j.pvr.2016.06.001.
23. Dany M, Chidiac A, Nassar AH. Human papillomavirus vaccination: assessing knowledge, attitudes, and intentions of college female students in Lebanon, a developing country. *Vaccine.* 2015;33(8):1001–7.
24. Zhuang QY, Wong RX, Chen WM, Guo XX. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding human papillomavirus vaccination among young women attending a tertiary institution in Singapore. *Singapore Med J.* 2016;57(6):329–33.
25. Hussain S, Nasare V, Kumari M. Perception of human papillomavirus infection, cervical cancer and HPV vaccination in North Indian population. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(11):112861. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112861.
26. Das D, Pathak M. The growing rural-urban disparity in India: Some issues. *Int J Adv Res Tech.* 2012;1(5):145–51.
27. Patel H, Jee YB, Sherman SM, Moss EL. Knowledge of human papillomavirus and the human papillomavirus vaccine in European adolescents: a systematic review. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2016;92(6):474–9.
28. WHO. In: Punjab launches HPV vaccine with WHO support. [Online]; 2017. [Accessed October 25, 2022]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/india/news/detail/12-07-2017-punjab-launches-hpv-vaccine-with-who-support>.
29. Chatterjee P. Delhi First State to Launch HPV Vaccine as Public Health Programme in Schools. [Online]. ; 2016. [Accessed October 25, 2022]. Available from: <http://indianexpress.com/article/cities/delhi/delhi-first-state-to-launch-hpv-vaccine-as-public-health-programme-in-schools/>.

Author biography

Santhosh Ramakrishna, Associate Professor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3927-5274>

Ravi H Kudthni, Professor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9256-9939>

Veerabhadra Radhakrishna, Consultant  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8733-4892>

Shrinidhi Kulkarni, Junior Resident  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2911-1252>

Meghana Panchakshari, Junior Resident  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2518-4726>

Cite this article: Ramakrishna S, Kudthni RH, Radhakrishna V, Kulkarni S, Panchakshari M. Knowledge, attitude, and practice on HPV vaccination among pre-university female students. *Panacea J Med Sci* 2024;14(2):388–394.