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#### Abstract

Introduction: Adolescents are defined as the age group between 10-19 years according to WHO. ${ }^{2}$ During adolescence; anaemia is prevalent in both girls and boys indicating that they need higher nutritional requirement for their rapid physical, mental growth and development. Objective: To estimate the prevalence of anaemia and its associated factors among rural and urban school going adolescents in North Karnataka. Materials and Methods: An observational study was conducted among the school going adolescents (1019 years) studying in Government schools in rural and urban field practice area. All the Government schools were included in sampling frame and students were selected using simple random sampling technique. Anthropometric measurements and laboratory test were done. Haemoglobin estimation using Sahli's method. Data was entered in MS Excel and analysed using SPSS v22. Statistical measures used were percentage, chi square test as test of significance and was evaluated at $95 \%$ confidence level ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Results: Total prevalence of anaemia among adolescents was $80.28 \%$, more in rural adolescents ( $88.88 \%$ ) compared to urban ( $71.67 \%$ ). Maximum prevalence was of moderate anaemia 193 ( $53.61 \%$ ) more in rural $63.89 \%$ compared to urban area $43.33 \%$. Conclusion: Maximum prevalence was of moderate anaemia in both the areas which was more in girls compared to boys.
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## 1. Introduction

The word adolescence comes from the Latin word 'adolescere' meaning to grow and to mature. Adolescents are defined as the age group between 10-19 years according to WHO. ${ }^{1}$ At present the population of adolescent is 1.2 billion globally forming $18 \%$ of the total population. Around 243 million are living in India and consists of about $21 \%$ of Indian population. ${ }^{2}$ Today every $5^{t h}$ person in India is an adolescent. ${ }^{3}$ Adolescence may be divided into three developmental stages-early adolescence- 10 to 13 years,

[^0]middle adolescence- 14 to 16 years, late adolescence- 17 to 19 years. ${ }^{4}$

Adolescence is the transition period during which they gain up to $50 \%$ of their adult height and skeletal mass. Inadequate nutrition during adolescence can have serious consequences throughout reproductive years and beyond. Unmet nutritional needs lead to several public health problems such as stunted and retarded growth, impaired mental development, anaemia. Global prevalence of underweight among children and adolescent is $8.4 \%$ in girls and $12.4 \%$ in boys according to worldwide pooled analysis of study published in Lancet in 2017. ${ }^{5}$ In India, it varies from $21.4 \%$ to $47.93 \%$ according to different
studies conducted across the country. ${ }^{6}$ During adolescence, anaemia is prevalent in both girls and boys indicating that they need higher nutritional requirement for their rapid physical and mental growth and development. In our country, prevalence of iron deficiency anaemia reported to vary from $56 \%$ - $90.1 \% .^{7}$ Globally, according to WHO, a total of 1.62 billion people is anaemic. ${ }^{8}$ Every 9 out of 10 persons affected by anaemia live in developing world. ${ }^{9,10}$ Anaemia adversely affects the attentiveness, memory and school performance, their school attendance. In adolescent girls, short stature that carries on into adulthood is associated with many concurrent and future adverse health and pregnancy outcomes like obstructed labour, postpartum haemorrhage, genital infection etc.

Anaemia adversely affects the attentiveness, memory, school performance and school attendance and menstruation problems in girls. Numerous studies have been conducted highlighting the anaemia among the adolescent girls. There is a scarcity of data on anaemia among boys compared to girls and rural urban difference of anaemia. Therefore, this study was conducted with the objective to estimate the prevalence of anaemia and its associated factors among rural and urban school going adolescents in North Karnataka.

## 2. Material and Methods

An observational study was conducted among the school going adolescents (10-19 years) studying in Government schools in rural and urban field practice area of Department of Community Medicine, BIMS, Belagavi. There are 13 schools in Uchagoan and 9 schools in Kashbag. All the Government schools were included in the sampling frame. The calculated sample size was 360 taking the prevalence of $19.44 \%$ in a previous Indian study with absolute error $5 \%, 10 \%$ response failure and $95 \%$ confidence interval. ${ }^{11}$ Students from standard six to ten were taken in the study and they were selected using simple random sampling technique. A written permission from the authorities of all institutions was obtained prior to data collection. Consent from the study participant's parent/guardian was taken. A pre-designed semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect information regarding socio-demographic profile. Data was collected by using interview method. Anthropometric measurement and haemoglobin estimation were done. To measure weight, portable weighing machine with calibrated scale of 0.5 kg was used and for height stadiometer. To measure hip and waist circumference calibrated measuring tape marked in centimetres was used. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated by the formula weight in kilograms divided by height in meter square. ${ }^{12}$ The classification is made according to IAP guidelines. [Table 3] Waist Hip ratio ${ }^{12}$ was calculated by using the formula

Waist Hip ratio= Waist circumference/Hip circumference

Waist-hip-ratio $\leq 1$ for males was considered normal while waist-hip-ratio $\leq 0.85$ in females.

Haemoglobin estimation was done using Sahli's method. Under aseptic precautions, tip of the middle or ring finger was pricked using lancets needle and 20 cumm (i.e. 0.02 ml ) of blood was drawn in haemoglobinometer pipette and was transferred into N/10 HCL graduated haemoglobinometer tube. Contents were mixed thoroughly and kept for 10 minutes for the maximum conversion of haemoglobin in blood to acid haematin. In drops distilled water was added in the tube to dilute it till the colour matched with that of the standard. The reading of the meniscus from the scale of the haemoglobinometer was read haemoglobin was expressed as grams per 100 ml of blood. Anaemia diagnosis was made as per WHO standard. ${ }^{5}$ Study duration was from January 2018 to March 2019. Ethical clearance was obtained from institutional ethical committee of BIMS, Belagavi.

### 2.1. Inclusion criteria

1. Students of both sexes between 10-19 years age group
2. Willing to participate in the study

### 2.2. Exclusion criteria

Who did not give informed written consent

## 3. Statistical Analysis

Data entry was done in MS Excel and was analysed using SPSS v22 and MS Excel. Statistical measures used were percentage and chi square test as test of significance. The statistical significance was evaluated at $95 \%$ confidence level ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and results presented in tables.

## 4. Results

360 adolescents participated in the study i.e. 180 from rural and urban area respectively. Maximum participants $207(57.50 \%)$ were in middle adolescence phase ( $75.0 \%$ from rural and $40.0 \%$ from urban). Compared to boys, girls were more in both the areas i.e. ( $59.44 \%$ rural and $63.99 \%$ urban). [Table 3] Total prevalence of anaemia among adolescents was 289 ( $80.28 \%$ ) more in rural adolescents 160 ( $88.88 \%$ ) compared to urban 129 $(71.67 \%)$. Maximum prevalence was of moderate anaemia 193 ( $53.61 \%$ ) more in rural $63.89 \%$ compared to urban area $43.33 \%$. [Table 4] Among adolescent girls, mild anaemia was seen in $37.0 \%$ rural and $63.0 \%$ of urban, moderate anaemia more $57.2 \%$ in rural compared to urban $42.8 \%$ and there was a significant statistical association. [Table 5]. Out of 20 subjects of age group 10-12 years in rural area $7(35 \%)$ were mild anaemic, $13(65.0 \%)$ moderate. 13-15 years (mild $19.6 \%$, moderate $80.4 \%$ ), 16-17 years (mild $44.22 \%$, moderate $55.8 \%$ ) and it was statistically significant. In urban area in 10-12 years age (mild 33.3\%,

Table 1: Classification of BMI according to IAP guidelines.

| BMI | Categories |
| :--- | :---: |
| $<18.5$ | Underweight |
| $18.5-23$ | Normal |
| $23-27$ | Overweight |
| $>27$ | Obese |

Table 2: Criteria for anaemia: WHO cut off values for assessing anaemia

| Grades | Girls | Boys |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | $\geq 12 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\geq 13 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Mild anaemia | $<11.9 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ to $11 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<12.9 \mathrm{to} 11 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Moderate anaemia | $<10.9 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ to $8 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<10.9$ to $8 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Severe anaemia | $<8 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<8 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{dl}$ |

Table 3: Distribution of the study participants.

| Socio-demographic <br> characteristics |  | Rural N (\%) | Urban N (\%) | Total N (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early | $45(25.0 \%)$ | $106(58.89 \%)$ | $151(41.94 \%)$ |
| Age (Adolescence phase) | Middle | $135(75.0 \%)$ | $72(40.0 \%)$ | $207(57.50 \%)$ |
|  | Late | $0(0.00)$ | $2(1.11 \%)$ | $2(0.56 \%)$ |
|  | Total | 180 | 180 | 360 |
| Gender | Boys | $73(40.56 \%)$ | $65(36.11 \%)$ | $138(38.33 \%)$ |
|  | Girls | $107(59.44 \%)$ | $115(63.89 \%)$ | $222(61.67 \%)$ |
|  | Total | 180 | 180 | 360 |

Table 4: Prevalence of anaemia among adolescents.

|  | Rural |  | Urban |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Mild | 25 | 20 | 45 | 17 | 34 | 51 | 42 | 54 | 96 |
|  | $(34.25 \%)$ | $(18.69 \%)$ | $(25.0 \%)$ | $(26.15 \%)$ | $(29.57 \%)$ | $(28.33 \%)$ | $(30.43 \%)$ | $(24.32 \%)$ | $(26.67 \%)$ |
| Moderate | 36 | 79 | 115 | 19 | 59 | 78 | 55 | 138 | 193 |
|  | $(49.32 \%)$ | $(73.83 \%)$ | $(63.89 \%)$ | $(29.23 \%)$ | $(51.30 \%)$ | $(43.33 \%)$ | $(39.86 \%)$ | $(62.16 \%)$ | $(53.61 \%)$ |
| Non- | 12 | $8(7.48 \%)$ | 20 | 29 | 22 | 51 | 41 | 30 | 71 |
| anaemic | $(16.44 \%)$ |  | $(11.11 \%)$ | $(44.61 \%)$ | $(19.13 \%)$ | $(28.33 \%)$ | $(29.71 \%)$ | $(13.51 \%)$ | $(19.72 \%)$ |
| Total | 73 | 107 | 180 | 65 | 115 | 180 | 138 | 222 | 360 |

Table 5: Association of severity of anaemia with gender.

| Severity of anaemia | Rural | Girls <br> Urban | Total | Chi square \& p-value | Rural | Boys Urban | Total | Chi square \& p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mild | 20 (37.0\%) | 34 (63.0\%) | 54 (100\%) | 12.790 \& | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (59.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (40.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Moderate | 79 (57.2\%) | 59 (42.8\%) | $\begin{gathered} 138 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0.002* | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (65.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (34.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0.001* |
| Non anaemic | 8 (26.7\%) | 22 (73.3\%) | 30 (100\%) |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (29.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (70.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (48.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (51.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 222 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ (52.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (47.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 138 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

Table 6: Association of anaemia with age of study participants.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccccccc}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Age in } \\ \text { Years }\end{array} & \text { Mild } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Rural } \\ \text { Moderate }\end{array} & \text { Total } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Chi- } \\ \text { square\& p- } \\ \text { value }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mild } \\ 10-12\end{array} & 7 & 13 & \begin{array}{c}\text { Urban } \\ \text { Moderate }\end{array} \\ & (35.0 \%) & (65.0 \%) & (100 \%) & 9.452 \& & (33.3 \%) & 28(66.7 \%) & 42(100 \%) & \text { Total }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Chi-square \& } \\ \text { p- value }\end{array}\right]$

Table 7: Distribution of adolescents according to IAP- BMI cut off.

| BMICut off(kg/m ${ }^{2}$ ) | Rural $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boys } \\ & \text { Urban N } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | Total $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | Rural $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | Girls <br> Urban $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | Total $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | Rural $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | Total <br> Urban $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total N } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <18.5 | 61 | 55 | 132 | 71 | 82 | 137 | 132 | 137 | 269 |
| Underweight | (83.56\%) | (84.62\%) | (73.33\%) | (66.36\%) | (71.30\%) | (76.11\%) | (73.33\%) | (76.11\%) | (74.72\%) |
| 18.5-23 | 12 | 7 | 44 | 32 | 25 | 32 | 44 | 32 | 76 |
| Normal | (16.44\%) | (10.77\%) | (24.44\%) | (29.91\%) | (21.74\%) | (17.78\%) | (24.44\%) | (17.78\%) | (21.11\%) |
| 23-27 | 0 (0.0) | 2 (3.08\%) | 3 (1.67\%) | 3 (2.80\%) | 7 (6.09\%) | 9 (5.0\%) | 3 (1.67\%) | 9 (5.00\%) | 12 |
| Overweight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3.33\%) |
| $>27$ <br> Obese | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.54\%) | 1 (0.56\%) | 1 (0.93\%) | 1 (0.87\%) | 2 (1.11\%) | 1 (0.56\%) | 2 (1.11\%) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.83 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 73 | 65 | 180 | 107 | 115 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 360 |

Table 8: Prevalence of anaemia among malnourished adolescents.

| Malnutrition | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mild | Moderate | Nonanaemic | Total | Mild | Moderate | Nonanaemic | Total |
| Under weight | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (27.27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ (63.63 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 12 (9.09\%) | 132 | 40 (29.20\%) | 61 (44.53\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & (26.28 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 137 |
| Overweight | 1 (3.33\%) | 2 (66.67\%) | 0 | 3 | 2 (22.22\%) | 5 (55.56\%) | 2 (22.22\%) | 9 |
| Obese | 0 | 1 (100\%) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 (100\%) | 2 |

moderate $66.7 \%$ ), 13-15 years (mild $41.0 \%$, moderate $59.0 \%$ ), 16-17 years (mild $55.6 \%$, moderate $44.4 \%$ ) and it was not statistically significant. [Table 6]

According to IAP guidelines BMI categories, 269 ( $74.72 \%$ ) of adolescents were underweight more from urban area $76.11 \%$ compared to rural $73.33 \%$ and higher in urban adolescent boys and girls ( $84.62 \%$ and $71.30 \%$ ) compared to rural ( $83.56 \%$ and $66.36 \%$ ) respectively. 76 (21.11\%) were of normal BMI i.e. $24.44 \%$ in rural and $17.78 \%$ urban. $12(3.33 \%)$ were in overweight category, higher in urban area $5.0 \%$ than rural $1.67 \%$ and obesity was found in 3 $(0.83 \%)$ which was $1.11 \%$ in urban area compared to rural $0.56 \%$. Overweight and obesity was more in urban area compared to rural area. [Table 7]

Among 132 underweight students in rural area, 27.27\% had mild anaemia, $63.63 \%$ moderate and only $9.09 \%$ were non-anaemic whereas in urban area out of 137 underweight adolescents $29.20 \%$ were mild anaemic, $44.53 \%$ moderate and $26.28 \%$ non-anaemic. Prevalence of anaemia was
higher in rural underweight adolescents compared to urban. [Table 8]

## 5. Discussion

In the present observational study $75.0 \%$ adolescents of rural and $40.0 \%$ of urban area were in middle adolescent phase followed by early adolescent phase. It was almost similar to Kansagara T et al. study in which majority $59.85 \%$ were in middle adolescent phase, but $34.78 \%$ in late and $5.37 \%$ in early adolescent phase. ${ }^{13}$ Out of 360 study students, $61.67 \%$ were girl participants and $38.33 \%$ were boys whereas in Dharmalingam A et al. study about two thirds were girls $67.9 \%$ and $54.72 \%$ were boys. ${ }^{6}$ Our study finding was higher compared to Prayag A et al. study where prevalence in rural area was $52.7 \%$ compared to that of urban was $43 \%$ and $57 \%$ was seen among girls and $40.5 \%$ of boys were anaemic which was similar to our study. ${ }^{14}$

Mild type of anaemia among rural girls was $37.0 \%$ and $63.0 \%$ in urban, moderate anaemia in $57.2 \%$ rural and $42.8 \%$ urban and $26.7 \%$ from rural and $73.3 \%$ from urban were non-anaemic and there was a significant statistical association ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).In a study by Goyal N et al. in Uttar Pradesh among girls in urban area mild to moderate anaemia was seen in $91.9 \% .^{15}$ Yerpude P N et al. study in urban area among boys aged 10 to 19 years, the prevalence of anaemia was found to be $36.14 \%$. ${ }^{1,16}$ In a comparative study conducted by Rani $S$ et al. in a South Indian city found the prevalence of anaemia $74.5 \%$ and $82.6 \%$ in rural and urban area respectively which was higher compared to our rural area finding. ${ }^{8}$ In Rajaretnam T et al. in northern Karnataka found that among both girls and boys nearly a half in rural area and $25-30 \%$ in urban area were anaemic. ${ }^{11}$

In our study, according to IAP-BMI cut off category, $24.44 \%$ from rural and $17.7 \%$ from urban were in normal weight category. Underweight prevalence was $73.33 \%$ in rural and $76.11 \%$ in urban, overweight $1.67 \%$ rural and $5.0 \%$ urban, obesity $0.56 \%$ in rural and $1.11 \%$ in urban area. In Chudasama RK et al. according to IAP standards where prevalence of underweight was $3.4 \%$, obesity $14 \%$, overweight $19.1 \%$. ${ }^{17}$ In a study conducted by Elsabagh H M et al. found that $60.7 \%$ of the students were normal weight, $6.1 \%$ as obese and $1.9 \%$ underweight. ${ }^{18}$ A study conducted by Rahman F et al. in Kanpur found $52.09 \%$ of prevalence of under nutrition among adolescent. Nearly $45.51 \%$ and $50.8 \%$ adolescents were undernourished in urban and rural areas respectively. ${ }^{19}$ Underweight finding in our study was similar to Deshmukh PR et al. (75.3\%), higher than Rao V G et $(61.7 \%)$ Pal A et al. $(48.78 \%)$ whereas less compared to Srinivasan K et al. (78.4\%), whereas and. ${ }^{10,20-22}$

## 6. Conclusion

Anaemia was more prevalent in rural ( $88.88 \%$ ) compared to urban ( $71.67 \%$ ) and maximum prevalence was of moderate anaemia in both the areas which was more in girls compared to boys. A high prevalence of anaemia among the rural and urban girls was alarming looking to the grave consequences of anaemia.The association of anaemia with various other risk factors are also established, and there is a dire need to improve the nutrition of the adolescents. So, the present study highlights the need to develop pragmaticintervention programmes incorporating various strategies to improve dietary intake andbioavailability of iron; nutritional supplementation of iron and folic acid tablets and fortification of edible dietary items with iron for the adolescents.

## 7. Limitations of the study

The present study included only government schools. The results whatever obtained cannot be generalized to entire adolescent population of Khasbag and Uchagaon.

## 8. Recommendations

More factors and measures need to be identified irrespective of the socio-demographic factors. Detailed analysis like biochemical assessment (e.g. serum iron) would have given more complete picture of nutritional anaemia status of adolescents.
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