Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

Annals of Geriatric Education and Medical Sciences

Journal homepage: https://www.agems.in/



Review Article

Developing a ludic framework for counteracting interventions for dementia: A narrative and analytic review

Aditya Deshbandhu¹, Raviteja Innamuri²,*, Dheeraj Kattula³

- ¹College of Humanities, University of Exeter, United Kingdom
- ²Dept. of Psychiatry, Government Medical College, Nizamabad, Telangana, India
- ³Dept. of Psychiatry, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26-05-2022 Accepted 14-06-2022 Available online 27-07-2022

Keywords:
Gaming
Neurocognitive disorders
Dementia
Game Therapy
Gamification

ABSTRACT

As the field of medicine tries to tap into various facets of gamification, the potential of video games and gaming-based interventions has become a hotbed of interesting activity in the Dementia circles of medical research. This paper, exploratory in nature is a bid to find common grounds for the fields of neurosciences, psychology, and psychiatry with the sub-field of ludology in a hope to find unique insights from the benefits of interdisciplinary research and extrapolating findings from gaming addiction.

From the perspective of game studies, the paper will draw from elements of concepts like flow, immersion and engagement in a bid to understand the various tools that can be of use if people ailing from neurocognitive disorders were to engage in gaming activity. This paper will lay the framework that will enable the beginning of a matching gaming exercises based on cognitive deficits and psychological profiling of clients diagnosed with neurocognitive disorders and advance the fields of neurosciences and psychology. By combining the findings from existing studies and original work this paper will propose both a means to study the possible effects of gaming-based interventions and suggest ways to streamline and optimize the use of gamification in patient care.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Gamification is today's buzzword, being used in a variety of scenarios across fields of expertise in a bid to find interesting newer solutions for existing problems. The adoption of gamification and its principles can be gauged from ride platforms like Uber to payment approaches like Google's Gpay and Phone Pe. In an era where the most fascinating work for academia is in the blurring boundaries of established disciplines, it is only natural that the fields of psychology, psychiatry, cognitive science, and game studies come together to view the problems faced by people suffering from neurocognitive disorders.

E-mail address: drravitejainnamuri@gmail.com (R. Innamuri).

While research exploring the intersection between the above-mentioned fields has become incredibly popular in the last decade with the Sea Hero Quest experiment being the watershed moment. ^{1,2} Earlier research has indicated that gaming-based interventions have shown positive signs with patients suffering from dementia and Alzheimer's related disorders as patients have shown improvement over time with several gaming platforms. ^{3–5} Studies have also looked at concepts like "exergaming" where gaming related activities have been viewed as a form of exercise for the mind and have consequently shown some cognitive improvement overtime with patients as they experienced fun and engagement. ⁶

Other studies have also looked at the use of innovations like virtual reality, and the use of motion-based technology

^{*} Corresponding author.

to help setup and run gaming technology groups for people suffering with dementia and related disorders. ^{4–8} In a clearly burgeoning area of work, this paper proposes to look at how elements from game studies can be used in order to build counteracting gaming-based interventions. Secondly, the paper also discusses in detail the process of developing a suitable game with the said elements and suggests the creation of a framework that will easily allow for building of future games.

2. Channeling Flow, Immersion & Engagement

To credit the Sea Hero Quest exercise and its focus on cognitive elements of spatial navigation abilities, for the first time the supposed gold standard of memory loss to detect dementia has been now replaced by a method that is more reliable. By replacing the general complaint of memory loss to one's specific loss of the spatial skills of navigation can be called a gigantic step forward in detecting dementia. By making the act of navigating in a virtual environment on a tiny touch-based screen a trivial pursuit the experiment was able to collect data from one of the largest samples in mental health history.

Looking at the success of the exercise it is important to consider the various elements that make gaming 'fun' in the first place. This paper draws broadly from three core concepts from game studies namely, Engagement, Immersion & Flow.

Immersion: Immersion is one of the most prominent elements that is immediately considered with regards to video games. Owing to the bedrock of work with regards to gaming and violence and the addictive abilities of video games as a medium, immersion is often construed in a negative light. Simply put, immersion is a state that players experience when they blur the boundaries of the offline worlds with their online counterparts. A phenomenon that is as likely to happen in worlds of fantasy as video games immersion is key to the use of gaming for building the counteractive intervention.

Engagement: A concept suggested by Gordon Calleja in order to replace the more abstract immersion; Calleja has developed a six-point frame in order to study players' engagement with games. By unpacking the video game as a medium into six distinct elements (tactical, performative, affected, shared, narrative and spatial) he then analyses immersion across each of these elements. Calleja points out that when a player is playing, he/she wouldn't necessarily be able to distinguish between the six elements and would experience the involvement as a unified cognitive sensation. 9

Flow: The concept of immersion is best illustrated by most Ludologists using Csikszentmihalyi's concept of flow. Key to the experience of flow and the Zen state is

Csikszentmihalyi's understanding of the term where he understands the state of being in flow as a heightened experience that he compares to the athletes in the zone, mystics' ecstasy and artists' aesthetic rapture and refers to the full involvement of flow as the "excellence in life." Instances where people experience the Zen state are labeled by him as moments of intense living that are dispersed against the dull background of everyday life. ¹⁰

Flow amongst players while interacting with games is more common in contrast to "normal" or routine activities of the everyday, the interaction with(in) a game requires the player to engage at multiple levels and enter a state where his/her skills are completely involved in overcoming a challenge while adhering to the rules. Csikszentmihalyi also points out that the person seeks constant moments of flow by either mastering new skills or increasing the difficulty of the challenge. ¹⁰ It is important to note that in theory, this heightened flow/Zen state is such that once people experience it, they will always strive to experience the intensity and exhilaration repeatedly. ¹⁰

While the three concepts seem promising it is very important to note that contrary to popular belief, the proverbial Zen state or the feeling of complete immersion in games is never a constant. Like in the conceptualization of Csikszentmihalyi's heightened state, in gaming too the moments of complete immersion are at best fleeting. ¹¹

Thus, the need to build a framework that takes engagement into consideration by allowing for elements of flow and immersion to be generously interspersed is key for this exercise to allow for the players to experience the flow state as frequently as possible.

The challenge here however is two pronged, by trying to introduce a gaming intervention in a generation of people who haven't experienced gaming as a leisure time activity and may also be less than inclined towards the usage of technological platforms. However, for an intervention like this to truly work there is a need for participants who look at games first as an interesting activity, then gravitate towards looking at it as a habit, begin to engage with it as a practice and eventually begin to think of it as a ritual. ^{12,13}

The need for understanding users' interactions in the context of the everyday is of paramount importance and it is also important for the suggested methodology to work as the study needs to look at both what games do to people in the conventional fashion but also more importantly look at what users do to the games. ¹²

3. Need for designing newer interventions

With the increase in average life span, the prevalence of chronic diseases are on the rise. ¹⁴ This includes cognitive impairment as a sequelae to dementia, traumatic brain injury, autoimmune diseases etc. The barriers to traditional cognitive training (which include paper and pencil exercises) and rehabilitation for neurocognitive disorders

include increasing cost of health care, declining caregiver support, non-availability of trained occupational therapists, requirement of labor-intensive therapy, accessibility to therapy, and difficulties in transportation; especially for the disabled. 14-16 These barriers hold validity for both the developed and developing world. 17 This constantly growing unmet health needs can only be addressed with the aid of efficient and effective use of technology widely available through portable electronic devices such as smart mobile phones, tablets and laptops with integration of World Wide Web. This technology can be utilized for assessment, therapeutic management, and monitoring of progress. 18 Some studies have reported conflicting results. 19 However, recent systematic reviews showed that gaming interventions hold promise in cognitive training. ²⁰ This necessitates further enhancement of the existing gaming interventions in order to improve delivery of care.

3.1. Lacunae In the Existing Gaming Interventions and Need to Build Specific Games

Games designed for cognitive training are few and have several limitations.²¹ Most of them focus on single cognitive domains such as working memory, attention and concentration, for example, Sea Hero Ouest focuses which focuses on spatial navigation alone. 22 Also, Games utilized in traditional occupational therapy settings continue to focus on theoretical constructs rather than functional recovery. 23 This results in inability to tailor therapy to the felt needs of the clients. This dissatisfaction is often seen as poor compliance to therapy and large attrition rates in gaming studies. The existing traditional assessment (Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination II, Minnesota Cognitive Acuity Screen, Mini Mental State Exam, Frontal Assessment Battery) and games also do not consider the phenomenological and user-experience of the client resulting in games being considered too mundane, uninteresting, stressful, long and painful.²⁴ Also, several available games focus of single trained tasks with limited transferability to unpracticed tasks, 25 or focus on minor gains which in reality cannot be frequently reinforced, generalized or magnified.²⁶ For example, single finger tapping can be utilized as the most important operating function during play and can be reinforcement, but, in real life setting, single finger movement has limited function. Therefore, the current gaming industry focusing on cognitive retraining needs to involve neurologists, geriatric medicine, mental health professionals, occupational and physiotherapists; apart from graphic designers, in order to improve user-experience, adherence and functional recovery. 27

4. Building the Game

For a ludic based intervention to work the following factors are necessary to be considered for the resultant game to work:

- 1. An enjoyable and fluid interface that is easy to understand and internalize.
- Game that is conceptually simple and visually appealing,
- 3. Encourages longer sessions of play,
- 4. Incentivizes repeated sessions of play, and
- 5. Rewards longer periods of engagement.

With these as overarching principles for the building of the game, the game can be further personalized based on demographic data, and diagnosis. Trained medical personnel can enlist the deficits hierarchy wise with focus on major domain affected. Reinforcements are identified, adjusted based on performance and to meet 'eu-stress' difficulty. A story line with integrated specific assessment through mini games can serve as gamification of the medical intervention. Mean scores are derived and compared to other players and synced to server. Caregivers can be trained to monitor this exercise.

4.1. Matching game elements with specific traits of dementia

The following table summarizes the cognitive deficits in different domains and alongside lists the remediation strategies required to address them, games currently available and their key elements.

5. Challenges and Limitations

Several challenges can be anticipated at multiple fronts due to disability and other medical comorbidities. 'Game pain' as a factor for non-compliance is difficult to address. User experience must be considered which is influenced by cultural and ethnic factors. The game will also be expected to provide psychological support, improve quality of life, and improve self-esteem.

This article also makes a few assumptions that game related to ability deficit can be learnt and can be generalized to other areas. It also does not consider the probable harms of gaming as therapy such as impact of the patient failing the game or addiction, given that game is intended to be immersive and engaging.

6. Conclusions

There is rise in global prevalence of cognitive disorders. Technology can serve as an efficient, and cost-effective method to bridge this growing health gap. Gaming interventions are promising but limited. Few consider user-experience, tailored exercises, and functional rehabilitation.

Table 1:			
Deficits	Remediation strategies	Games available	Key Game Elements
1. Learning and memory.	Recall strategies: Mnemonics,		Games that evoke memories, focus on personal
i. Short term memory: Forgetting recent events,-	cueing (vanishing and forward),	Big Brain Academy,	histories & milestones.
conversations, dates, repetitive questions,-	chunking, method of loci, spaced	Memory Card Flip.	Games that look to build sequential memory
III. Long term memory: misplacing objects and valuables.	icultati, semane uammi.		inrougn use of chronological and linear tasks.
Forgetting personal past memory and highly learnt material.			
2. Language	Face-Name recall, Number recall,	Big Brain Academy,	Games that build word associations. Use of
i. Aphasia: Word finding, names of family members,	story recall, object recall,	Mindmate, Scrabble,	clues with increasing complexity.
reduced fluency of productive speech, word substitutions and mispronouncing.	word-pairs/associations, Letter fluency test.	Hangman, Spellathon, Crosswords, Unscramble.	Allowing for rebuilding and reassociation of words with contexts.
3.Perceptual Motor Ability (is one phrase).			Games that focus on location and motor-based
i. Visual perception: Navigational difficulties in dark.	Procedural memory training:	Sea Quest, Wii sport,	Allow for development of pattern recognition. A
ii. Visuoconstruction: Navigational difficulties in familiar environments.	prompting & Tading, action-based encoding, chaining,.	Dots, Temple Run.	process that gravitates from recognition and development of simple patterns to more complex
iii. Apraxia: Initially, difficulty with previously familiar tools and skills, later ADL (dressing, bathing, feeding).			ones.
 iv. Agnosia: Difficulty in recognizing familiar faces, objects and one's own reflection. 			
5. Complex attention.	Fluency training-	Wii sport, Quiz based	Games that provide players with a safe
i. Easily distracted.	Direct instruction precision	games, Strategy based	environment to make challenging and risky
ii. Trouble with new information (is one phrase) (please adjust numbers).	teaching, names and numbers.	games, Polytopia, Age of Empires.	decisions. Games may allow for collection, processing and data analysis in conjunction with
iii. Thinking takes longer.			decision making. To work towards a processual
6. Executive function		Lumosity, Wii sport,	Games that encourage mcorporation of strategy decisions.
 Disturbances in planning, organizing, sequencing and abstracting. 	Object location pairing, correct placement, modelling.	Umer/Recipe based games, Resource based	and allow for players' building of sequential and diverse thought processes.
ii. Performing complex tasks or solving such as		games, Tycoon genre.	
finances or medication.			Game environments that encourage cogent thought, resource handling and multitasking.
7. Social cognition i. Ability to recognize other emotions and mental state.	Identify cues and emotions in	Life is Strange, Witcher,	Games which involve human emotion. Incorporate elements of dialogue and decision
ii. Change in behavior and personality.	others.	Dragon Age.	making. Special focus on elements that help contextualize
			and understand thus fostering shades of sympathy and empathy.

Exploiting findings from gaming addiction and concepts from gaming may be of use.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Spiers HJ, Coutrot A, Hornberger M. Explaining World-Wide Variation in Navigation Ability from Millions of People: Citizen Science Project Sea Hero Quest. *Top Cogn Sci.* 2021;p. 12590.
- Fenney A, Lee TD. Exploring Spared Capacity in Persons With Dementia: What WiiTM Can Learn. Act Adapt Aging. 2017;34(4):303–13.
- 3. Fenney A, Lee TD. Exploring Spared Capacity in Persons With Dementia: What WiiTM Can Learn. *Act Adapt Aging*. 2010;34(4):303–13.
- Cutler C, Hicks B. Does Digital Gaming Enable Healthy Aging for Community-Dwelling People With Dementia? - Clare Cutler. *Games Cul.* 2016;11(1-2):2022–7.
- Ning H, Li R, Ye X, Zhang Y, Liu L. A Review on Serious Games for Dementia Care in Ageing Societies. J Transl Eng Health Med. 2020;8:1–11.
- Colombo M, Marelli E, Vaccaro R, Valle E, Colombani S, Polesel E. Virtual Reality for Persons with Dementia: An Exergaming Experience. *ISARC Proc.* 2012;p. 1–4.
- Dove E, Astell AJ. The Use of Motion-Based Technology for People Living With Dementia or Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Literature Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2017;19(1):e3.
- Kim O, Pang Y, Kim JH. The Effectiveness of Virtual Reality for People with Mild Cognitive Impairment or Dementia: A Meta-Analysis. BMC Psychiatry. 2019;19(1):219.
- Calleja G. Digital Game Involvement: A Conceptual Model. Games Cult. Games Cult. 2007;2(3):236–60.
- Beck LA, Csikszentmihalyi M. Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience. J Leis Res. 1990;24(1):93–4.
- Brown E, Cairns P. A Grounded Investigation of Game Immersion. Association for Computing Machinery; 2004. p. 1297–1300.
- 12. Deshbandhu A. Player Perspectives: What It Means to Be a Gamer. *Press Start*;2016(2):48–64.
- 13. Couldry N. Theorising media as practice. Soc Semiot. 2004;14(2):115–32.
- Wimo A, Winblad B, Jönsson L. An Estimate of the Total Worldwide Societal Costs of Dementia in 2005. Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc. 2007;3(2):81–91.
- Leven NV, Graff MJL, Kaijen M, Swart BJM, Rikkert MGM, Dassen MJV. Barriers to and Facilitators for the Use of an Evidence-Based Occupational Therapy Guideline for Older People with Dementia and Their Carers. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2012;27(2):742–8.

- Gardner K, Bundy A, Dew A. Perspectives of Rural Carers on Benefits and Barriers of Receiving Occupational Therapy via Information and Communication Technologies. *Aust Occup Ther J.* 2016;63(2):117– 22
- Hill NTM, Mowszowski L, Sharon L, Naismith, Chadwick VL, Valenzuela M. Computerized Cognitive Training in Older Adults With Mild Cognitive Impairment or Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Psychiatry. 2012;27(4):329–40.
- Chandler MJ, Parks AC, Marsiske M, Rotblatt LJ, Smith GE. Everyday Impact of Cognitive Interventions in Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2016;26(3):225–51.
- Coyle H, Traynor V, Solowij N. Computerized and Virtual Reality Cognitive Training for Individuals at High Risk of Cognitive Decline: Systematic Review of the Literature. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry Off J Am Assoc Geriatr Psychiatry. 2015;23(4):335–59.
- Ge S, Zhu Z, Wu B, Mcconnell ES. Technology-Based Cognitive Training and Rehabilitation Interventions for Individuals with Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review. BMC Geriatr. 2018;18(3):213.
- Baniqued P, Kranz M, Voss M, Lee H, Cosman J, Severson J, et al. Cognitive Training with Casual Video Games: Points to Consider. Front Psychol. 2014;5:234.
- Oei AC, Patterson MD. Are Videogame Training Gains Specific or General? Front Syst Neurosci. 2014;8:54.
- Boot W, Blakely D, Simons D. Do Action Video Games Improve Perception and Cognition? Front Psychol. 2002;2:226.
- Eck RV. Digital Game-Based Learning: It's Not Just the Digital Natives Who Are Restless. EDUCAUSE Review. 2006;41(2):16–30.
- Ball K, Berch DB, Helmers KF, Jobe JB, Leveck MD, Marsiske M, et al. Advanced Cognitive Training for Independent and Vital Elderly Study Group. Effects of Cognitive Training Interventions with Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288(18):2271– 81.
- Willis SL, Tennstedt SL, Marsiske M, Ball K, Elias J, Koepke KM, et al. Long-Term Effects of Cognitive Training on Everyday Functional Outcomes in Older Adults. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc*. 2006;296(23):2805–14.
- Nagle A, Riener R, Wolf P. High User Control in Game Design Elements Increases Compliance and In-Game Performance in a. Memory Training Game Front Psychol. 2015;6:1774.

Author biography

Aditya Deshbandhu, Lecturer of Communication

Raviteja Innamuri, Assistant Professor

Dheeraj Kattula, Associate Professor

Cite this article: Deshbandhu A, Innamuri R, Kattula D. Developing a ludic framework for counteracting interventions for dementia: A narrative and analytic review. *Ann Geriatrics Educ Med Sci* 2022;9(1):1-5.