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#### Abstract

Background: We conducted KAP studies on Rabies Prevention among Patient attending ARV clinic of tertiary health care centre in central India. There is a paucity of published literature describing the public's knowledge, attitude and practices of rabies prevention in central India, information that is critical to developing effective interventions and government policies. Hence the present study was conducted among 135 study participant who are attending ARV clinics of tertiary health care centre in central India. Aim and Objectives: To assess the knowledge, attitude and practices on rabies prevention among the patient attending the ARV clinics. To assess the Proportion of animal exposure in the study area and to find out the association of socio-demographic factors with knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) related to rabies. Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted on 135 eligible participants in rabies vaccination clinics (ARV). We performed a face-to-face interview to investigate the rabies KAP of these participants using a self-designed questionnaire consulted with experts was done. Study was conducted from January to March 2022 by using consecutive sampling till the sample size was achieved. Results: Factors associated with the KAP were evaluated using logistic regression models, 48.14 \% participant aware regarding rabies disease, Younger age, and graduate and above had higher score compared to old age and less than high school, Participants who were younger ( $\mathrm{p}<0.000$ ), who received better education ( $p<0.000$ ), and who reported good economic status ( $p=0.05$ ) were more likely to have higher scores of attitudes, participant who had older age ( $\mathrm{p}<0.007$ ). who had less education ( $\mathrm{p}<0.00$ ), who had poor socio-economic status ( $\mathrm{p}<0.00$ ) had lower score. Conclusion: Lack of knowledge and attitude is suboptimal in study participant however practice regarding rabies vaccination is good in male and younger population.
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## 1. Introduction

Rabies is a neglected zoonotic tropical disease that usually affects the poorest communities. It is the world's deadliest disease which has $100 \%$ fatality and at the same time $100 \%$ preventable globally. It is estimated that rabies accounts for

[^0]more than 59,000 deaths every year and the majority of human rabies deaths occur due to biting of the rabid dogs. About $96 \%$ of the mortality and morbidity is associated with dog bites. Cats, wolf, jackal, mongoose and monkeys are other important reservoirs of rabies in India. Bat rabies has not been conclusively reported from the country. In India, rabies is a problem of considerable magnitude. India is endemic for rabies and except for the islands of Andaman
and Nicobar and Lakshadweep, which are historically rabies-free. India is one of the countries that have the highest population of stray dogs in the world. ${ }^{1}$

The global conference of rabies constructed a framework for the elimination of human death from dog-mediated rabies by 2030. To achieve this target, the most important strategy should be focusing on continuous and consistent mass awareness campaigns on health-seeking behaviour during the animal bites, proper animal bite wound management, and vaccination strategies among the general public.

## 2. Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted on 135 eligible participants in rabies vaccination clinics (ARV). We performed a face-to-face interview to investigate the rabies KAP of these participants using a self-designed questionnaire consulted with experts was done. Study was conducted from January to March 2022 by using consecutive sampling till the sample size was achieved.

### 2.1. Ethical consideration

The study was approved by Institutional Ethics committee (IEC) of Indira Gandhi Government Medical College, Nagpur, and Maharashtra, India. Written informed consent will be taken from participant before enrolling them in study; Confidentiality of the participant was assured and maintained throughout the study.

### 2.2. Study area

Anti-rabies vaccination out-patient department (ARV OPD) in tertiary health care center in central India.

Sample size estimation

$$
n=\frac{3.84 \times p(1-p)}{d^{2}}
$$

Where, $n=$ sample size,
$p=$ expected prevalence in proportion of one
$\mathrm{d}=$ precision in proportion of one.
For the level of confidence of $95 \%$, this is conventional.
Z Value is $1.96 \mathrm{p}=74 \% \mathrm{~d}=10 \%$ relative error
To calculate values for " p " studies done by Herbert M, and et al (2012 Dec) in Bangalore, Karnataka was used as reference for expected prevalence [74\%] of awareness of rabies among adults. Sample size came to be 135

### 2.3. Questionnaire and construction of KAP scores

The questionnaire was designed by reviewing similar literature ${ }^{2}$ and consulting with experts. A preliminary test was conducted to ensure that the questions were clear and understandable. All the data were collected from the study participants by interview method and universal sampling
method was adopted for selection of study participants till the sample size is achieved.

The questionnaire consisted of four parts the first part was designed to obtain Socio-demographic characteristics, the first part was designed to obtain demographic characteristics including name, age, gender, educational level, place of resident, Socio-economic status, name of animal bites, circumstance of bite, site of exposure, and time spent to the rabies prevention clinics (ARV OPD), WHO category and reason for delay for vaccination, the second part investigated the knowledge of rabies which included nine questions: 1Have you Ever Heard of Rabies, 2) Which Organism Causes Rabies, 3) Which Animal Harbours Rabies organism, 4)How does Rabies Spread, 5)Is the Disease Fatal, 6) Can Rabies be prevented by Vaccination?
7) whom will you Consult After Dog/Cat/other Suspected Animal Bite? 8) How to Avoid Rabies Infection and 9). Do you think rabies can be spread through contaminated food or water, except question number 8 , all had a single correct answer, and respondents received one point for each correct answer and the question no. 8 had three correct answers, and the respondent received one point for each correct choice and zero for an incorrect choice. The total correct responses were calculated to show the scores of overall knowledge, ranging from 0 to 11 .

The third part, attitudes assessment towards rabies and its prevention and control involved nine questions: 1) Rabies is a risk to human health, 2) Elimination of dog-mediated and cat-mediated rabies is vital, 3) Vaccinating susceptible dogs and cats can prevent the transmission of rabies, 4) It is not necessary to vaccinate dogs and cats against rabies usually, 5) Injecting rabies vaccine as soon as possible after being bitten by suspected rabid animals, 6) Completing the full courses of vaccination after being bitten by suspected rabid animals, 7) Willing to learn the knowledge of rabies, 8) it is necessary to promote rabies knowledge in the community and 9) Is washing of dog bite wound with soap and water useful.

Each appropriate attitude item was scored on a fivepoint Likert scale ranging from 'strongly agree' to 'strongly disagree' coded with values from 5 to 1 . Conversely, scores of 1 to 5 were assigned for each inappropriate attitude item, with the same response options. The maximum score of attitudes is 45 points, and a minimum score is 9 points.

The fourth part assessed the practices related to rabies prevention and control by six questions: 1) Taking the rabies vaccine on schedule, 2) Needing someone to remind you to get vaccinated when you were bitten, 3) Discontinuing the rabies regimen if the wound is not serious, 4) Advising bite victims to be vaccinated after a suspected rabid bite and 5) Keeping away from aggressive animals. Response options included 'always,' 'often,' 'sometimes,' and 'never', and scores of $4,3,2$, and 1 were assigned for each proper
practices item. Conversely, scores of $1,2,3$, and 4 were assigned for each improper practices item. The maximum score of practices is 20 points, and a minimum score is 5 points.

### 2.4. Data analysis

Data analysis was done by using statistical software Microsoft office excel 2013 and SPSS Version 20 (licenced).Continuous variables were described by mean and standard deviation (SD), and categorical data were described using frequency and percentage.

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) and independent student t-test were conducted to compare different groups' scores on knowledge, attitudes, and practices of rabies. Three separate multivariable logistic regression models were performed to explore the association of outcome variables with the sociodemographic characteristics of the victims. The cumulative score obtained for questions based on the three response criteria (knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards rabies, respectively) was converted into binomial outcomes by categorizing the respondents as having scored $\leq$ or $>$ the average score of each response criteria and then association was found by applying regression.

## 3. Result

### 3.1. Distribution of study participants according to socio-demographic characteristics

Table 1. Presents distribution of study participants according to socio-demographic characteristics. Out of 135 participants majority are male (81.5\%)with mean age of $37.26 \pm 14.70$ years and with range of : 1879 years. $18.5 \%$ were female participants with mean age of $34.36 \pm 14.69$ years and range of 18-62years.maximum number of participants are high school and intermediate school certificate holder $72(53 \%)$ and residing in urban area 120 ( $88.88 \%$ ). Majority of participants belongs to upper socioeconomic class 88 (65\%) Majority of participant having Dog bite 115(85\%) and 85 (63\%) participant having lower extremity site of exposure, maximum number of participant time to spend in ARV OPD are $67 \%$ while 79\% participant have less than or equal to 10 km distance from hospital.

### 3.2. Knowledge

Table 2 Shows the knowledge of participant. Only 48.18 \% participant aware about rabies disease. $18.51 \%$ participant not able gave correct responds regarding causative agent of rabies however majority of respondents knew which animal harbor rabies. 71 \% participant was aware about fatality of
rabies at the same time $64 \%$ respondent aware about rabies can be prevented byvaccination. Although participant had less knowledge regarding rabies but $90 \%$ participant had consulted doctor/hospital after animal bite. $16 \%$ participant had not idea regarding how to avoid rabies infection. 19 \% participant had given correct response that rabies is not spread through food and water.

### 3.3. Attitude

Table 3 Shows attitude of respondent towards rabies prevention and control. $50 \%$ participant believes that rabies is risk to human while $49 \%$ participants believe that elimination of dog-mediated and cat-mediated rabies is vital. Only $43 \%$ respondents were aware regarding vaccination susceptible dogs and cats can prevent the transmission of rabies however majority of participant were aware Injecting rabies vaccine as soon as possible after being bitten by suspected rabid animals. Majority of participants believes that it is necessary to completing the full courses of vaccination after being bitten by suspected rabid animals however majority of participant not willing to learns knowledge of rabies. $39 \%$ participant believes to promote rabies knowledge in the community while $38 \%$ were aware regarding washing dog bite wound with soap and water useful.

### 3.4. Practices

Represents practice parameter of rabies among study participant. Most of the participant was taking rabies vaccine on schedule however majority of participant needs to remind someone for rabies vaccination. Majority of participant not discontinuing rabies vaccination if wound is not serious. $52 \%$ participant advising bite victims to be vaccinated after a suspected rabid bite while $49 \%$ particpant keeps away from aggressive animal.

### 3.5. Univariate analysis

Table 5 Shows KAP score based on participant characteristics and result of univariate analysis. The mean score of rabies knowledge was $6.16 \pm \mathrm{SD}=2.22$ from maximum of 11 points. Younger age group and graduate \& above had higher score compared to older and less educated are statically significant. Participant belongs to lower socioeconomic class $(\mathrm{p}=0.01)$ and distance from hospital more than or equal to $10 \mathrm{~km}(0.01)$ had lower score.

The mean score of rabies attitude was $36.46 \pm 6.1 \mathrm{SD}$ from maximum of 45 points. Younger age group and graduate \& above had higher score compared to older and less educated are statically significant. Participant belongs to lower socioeconomic class ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ) and distance from hospital more than or equal to $10 \mathrm{~km}(0.00)$ had lower score. The mean score of rabies practices was $16.79 \pm$ 2.61SD from maximum of 20 points. Younger age group and

Table 1: Distribution of study participants according to socio-demographic characteristics.(n=135)

| Demographics characteristics | Number | Percentages (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 110 | 81.5 |
| Female | 25 | 18.5 |
| Age |  |  |
| $\leq 30$ | 59 | 44 |
| 31-60 | 66 | 49 |
| >61 | 10 | 7 |
| Place of Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 120 | 88.88 |
| Rural | 15 | 11.11 |
| Education level |  |  |
| Graduate and above | 21 | 16 |
| High school and intermediate | 72 | 53 |
| Less than high school | 42 | 31 |
| Socioeconomic status |  |  |
| Upper Class | 88 | 65 |
| Middle class | 28 | 21 |
| Lower class | 19 | 14 |
| Time spend in ARV OPD |  |  |
| $<30$ min | 91 | 67 |
| $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 36 | 27 |
| Distance from hospital |  |  |
| $\leq 10 \mathrm{~km}$ | 106 | 79 |
| > 10 km | 29 | 21 |
| Name of animal bite |  |  |
| Dog | 115 | 85 |
| Cat | 16 | 12 |
| Mongoose | 3 | 2 |
| Pig | 1 | 1 |
| Site of exposure |  |  |
| Face | 5 | 4 |
| Neck | 5 | 4 |
| Abdomen/back | 3 | 2 |
| Upper extremities | 37 | 27 |
| Lower extremities | 85 | 63 |

graduate \& above had higher score compared to older and less educated are statically significant. Participant distance from hospital more than or equal to $10 \mathrm{~km}(0.01)$ had lower score.

Table 6. represents Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factor associated with KAP toward rabies where the dependent variable was level of knowledge, attitude and practices. Middle age (AOR=5.31, 95\%CI: 1.232-22.09) and high school and intermediate $(\mathrm{AOR}=12.56,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 4.055-38.93) similarly less than high school (AOR=30.40, $95 \%$ CI: 7.21-128.14) were associated with poor knowledge.

High school and intermediate (AOR=7.93, 95\%CI: 2.9721.18) and less than high school (AOR $=36,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 8.05160) while middle class ( $\mathrm{AOR}=3.36,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.11-10.13$ ) and distance more than $10 \mathrm{~km}(\mathrm{AOR}=2.48,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.03-$ 5.96) were associated with inappropriate attitude.High school and intermediate $(\mathrm{AOR}=3.28,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.37-7.83)$
and less than high school (AOR=15.58, 95\%CI: 4.18-58.05) were associated with inappropriate practices.

## 4. Discussion

The present study showed that the $48.14 \%$ of respondents were aware that dogs and cats can spread rabies and that the disease can be transmitted via bites or licks from rabid animals. This is consistent with previous reports from Ethiopia, ${ }^{3,4}$ Sri Lanka ${ }^{5}$ and Indian. ${ }^{6}$

Similarly to other studies conducted in the china ${ }^{2}$ and New Mexico, ${ }^{7}$ more than $29 \%$ of respondents did not know that rabies is invariably fatal once the clinical signs are manifested in the present study. Given that insufficient knowledge of rabies might be a main reason for improper $\mathrm{PEP}^{7}$ educational programs should be conducted to help the public have a comprehensive understanding of rabies.

Table 2: Knowledge parameter of rabies among study participant.( $\mathrm{n}=135$ )

| Knowledge parameter | Number | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Have you Ever Heard of Rabies? |  |  |
| Yes | 65 | 48.14 |
| No | 70 | 51.85 |
| 2) Which Organism Causes Rabies? |  |  |
| Correct response | 25 | 18.51 |
| Incorrect response | 110 | 81.48 |
| 3) Which Animal Harbours Rabies Organism? |  |  |
| Correct response | 83 | 61.48 |
| Incorrect response | 52 | 38.51 |
| 4) How does the rabies spread? |  |  |
| Correct response | 88 | 65.18 |
| Incorrect response | 47 | 34.81 |
| 5) Is the disease fatal? |  |  |
| Yes | 96 | 71 |
| No | 39 | 29 |
| 6) Can Rabies be prevented by Vaccination? |  |  |
| Yes | 86 | 64 |
| No | 49 | 36 |
| 7)Whom will you Consult After Dog/Cat/other Suspected Animal Bite |  |  |
| Doctor/hospital | 121 | 90 |
| Local quack | 10 | 7 |
| Traditional healer | 4 | 3 |
| No one | 0 | 0 |
| 8) How to Avoid Rabies Infection? * |  |  |
| Pre-exposure Vaccination of Human | 102 | 76 |
| Avoiding Animal Contact | 6 | 4 |
| Anti-rabies Vaccination of Animals | 5 | 4 |
| Don't know | 22 | 16 |
| 9) Do you think rabies can be spread through contaminated food or water? |  |  |
| Yes | 110 | 81 |
| No | 25 | 19 |

*more than one correct response

More than $90 \%$ of the respondents believed that the rabies vaccine should be injected as soon as possible after a suspected rabid bite. This favourable attitude is in line with the World Health Organization guidelines on rabies that people should seek medical attention immediately when they are bitten by a suspected rabid animal, ${ }^{8}$ Most respondents believed that vaccinating susceptible dogs and cats can prevent the transmission of rabies. Similar results were reported in Haiti ${ }^{9}$ Poor family income or the high cost of vaccines may hinder individuals from vaccinating their pets or themselves despite awareness of the benefits of rabies vaccination. ${ }^{2}$

Previous studies showed that educational level was a major determinant of the level of rabies knowledge. ${ }^{3,10-12}$ A similar result was found in our study that the knowledge score increased with the educational level. This may be because people with a better education had more learning opportunities and a better ability to acquire rabies knowledge.

## 5. Conclusion and Recommendation

This study was done at tertiary health care center; lack of knowledge and attitude is suboptimal however practice regarding rabies vaccination is good in male and younger population, this study concludes that knowledge and attitude regarding rabies should be highlighted in national rabies control programme of India to acknowledge Indian population regarding fatal rabies.

Public education campaigns need to be conducted to make people aware of rabies, especially in remote area and of the vital importance of seeking medical care immediately after an animal bite. Good knowledge and attitude regarding rabies will be definitely helpful in rabies prevention and effective efforts to eliminate this fatal disease.

## 6. Limitation

The main limitation of the study is pertaining to its observational nature. More community based multicentre

Table 3: Attitude parameter of rabies among study participant.( $\mathrm{n}=135$ )

| Attitude parameter | N | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Rabies is a risk to human health |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 68 | 50 |
| Agree | 48 | 36 |
| Neutral | 16 | 12 |
| Disagree | 2 | 1 |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1 |
| 2) Elimination of dog-mediated and cat-mediated rabies is vital. |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 66 | 49 |
| Agree | 43 | 32 |
| Neutral | 24 | 18 |
| Disagree | 1 | 1 |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1 |
| 3) Vaccinating susceptible dogs and cats can prevent the transmission of rabies |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 58 | 43 |
| Agree | 41 | 30 |
| Neutral | 32 | 24 |
| Disagree | 2 | 1 |
| Strongly disagree | 2 | 1 |
| 4) It is not necessary to vaccinate dogs and cats against rabies usually. |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 12 | 9 |
| Agree | 18 | 13 |
| Neutral | 43 | 32 |
| Disagree | 28 | 21 |
| Strongly disagree | 34 | 25 |
| 5) Injecting rabies vaccine as soon as possible after being bitten by suspected rabid animals |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 48 | 36 |
| Agree | 55 | 41 |
| Neutral | 21 | 16 |
| Disagree | 10 | 7 |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1 |
| 6) Completing the full courses of vaccination after being bitten by suspected rabid animals. |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 55 | 41 |
| Agree | 46 | 34 |
| Neutral | 27 | 20 |
| Disagree | 6 | 4 |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1 |
| 7) Willing to learn the knowledge of rabies. |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 58 | 43 |
| Agree | 41 | 30 |
| Neutral | 31 | 23 |
| Disagree | 4 | 3 |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1 |
| 8) It is necessary to promote rabies knowledge in the community |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 52 | 39 |
| Agree | 49 | 49 |
| Neutral | 29 | 21 |
| Disagree | 5 | 4 |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 |
| 9) washing of dog bite wound with soap and water useful |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 52 | 38 |
| Agree | 38 | 28 |
| Neutral | 38 | 28 |
| Disagree | 7 | 5 |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 |

Table 4: Practice parameter of rabies among study participant.(n=135)

| Practice Parameter | Number | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Taking the rabies vaccine on schedule |  | $\%$ |
| Always | 105 | 78 |
| Often | 26 | 19 |
| Sometimes | 2 | 1 |
| Never | 2 | 1 |
| 2) Needing someone to remind you to get vaccinated when you were | 64 | 47 |
| Always | 42 | 31 |
| Often | 19 | 14 |
| Sometimes | 10 | 7 |
| Never |  |  |
| 3) Discontinuing the rabies regimen if the wound is not serious | 7 | 5 |
| Always | 18 | 13 |
| Often | 36 | 27 |
| Sometimes | 74 | 55 |
| Never | 70 | 52 |
| 4) Advising bite victims to be vaccinated after a suspected rabid bite | 41 | 30 |
| Always | 19 | 14 |
| Often | 5 | 4 |
| Sometimes |  |  |
| Never | 66 | 49 |
| 5) Keeping away from aggressive animals | 40 | 30 |
| Always | 27 | 2 |
| Often | 2 | 20 |
| Sometimes |  | 1 |

Table 5: Univariate analysis showing association of socio-demographic characteristics with mean KAP scores of study participants.

| Characteristics | Knowledge score out of 11 |  | Attitude score out of 45 |  | Practice score out of 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ (SD) | p-value | Mean $\pm$ (SD) | p-value | Mean $\pm$ (SD) | p-value |
| All Respondents | 6.16 (2.22) |  | 36.46(6.1) |  | 16.79(2.61) |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6.17(2.22) | 0.915 | 36.54(6.04) | 0.782 | 16.83(2.66) | $0.748^{\#}$ |
| Female | 6.12(2.24) |  | 36.16(6.5) |  | 16.64(2.24) |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 30$ | 7.08(2.13) | 0.00 | 39.25(5.33) | 0.00 | 17.58(2.39) | 0.007* |
| 31-60 | 5.48(2.05) |  | 34.44(5.71) |  | 16.12(2.68) |  |
| >61 | 5.2(1.93) |  | 33.4(6.88) |  | 16.6(2.32) |  |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduate and above | 7.67(1.82) | 0.00 | 42.1(4.21) | 0.00 | 18.86(1.98) | 0.00* |
| High school and intermediate | 6.68(2.08) |  | 37.19(5.65) |  | 16.85(2.62) |  |
| Less than high school | 4.52(1.62) |  | 32.4(4.88) |  | 15.67(2.03) |  |
| Socioeconomic status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper class | 6.45(2.06) | 0.01 | 37.24(6) | 0.05 | 16.84(2.73) | 0.79* |
| Middle class | 5.75(2.79) |  | 35.96(6.75) |  | 16.89(2.26) |  |
| Lower class | 5.42(1.77) |  | 33.63(4.83) |  | 16.42(2.61) |  |
| Time spend in ARV Clinic* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<30$ min | 6.31(2.32) | 0.19 | 36.46(6.22) | 0.99 | 16.73(2.66) | 0.63 \# |
| $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 5.75(1.77) |  | 36.47(5.87) |  | 16.97(1.51) |  |
| Distance from hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ | 6.4(2.26) | 0.01 | 37.18 | 0.00 | 17.08(2.52) | 0.01 \# |
| $\geq 10 \mathrm{~km}$ | 5.31(1.85) |  | 33.86 |  | 15.72(2.71) |  |

[^1]Table 6: Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factor associated with KAP scores towards rabies.

studies are required to further confirm the findings since, it was done only in one institution.

## 7. Source of Funding

None.

## 8. Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Sivagurunathan C, Umadevi R, Balaji A, Rama R, Gopalakrishnan S. Knowledge, attitude, and practice study on animal bite, rabies, and its prevention in an urban community. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2021;10(2):850-8.
2. Li D, Liu Q. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding rabies and its prevention and control among bite victims by suspected rabid animals in China. One Health. 2021;13:100264.
3. Dhand NK. Community-based study on knowledge, attitudes and perception of rabies in Gelephu, south-central Bhutan. Int Health. 2012;4(3):210-9.
4. Edukugho AA, Umoh JU. Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards rabies prevention among residents of Abuja municipal area council, Federal Capital Territory. Nigeria Pan Afr Med J. 2010;13(1):100264.
5. Ung B, Kamyingkird K. Knowledge, attitude, and practices associated with rabies in villages with different dog vaccination statuses in Cambodia. Vet World. 2021;14(8):2178-86.
6. Singh US, Choudhary SK. Knowledge, attitude, behavior and practice study on dog-bites and its management in the context of prevention of rabies in a rural community of Gujarat. Indian J Commun Med. 2005;30(3):81.
7. Ali A, Ahmed EY, Sifer D. A study on knowledge, attitude and practice of rabies among residents in Addis Ababa. Ethiopia Ethiop Veter J. 2013;17(2):19-35.
8. Guide for Rabies Pre and post Exposure Prophylaxis in Humans.; 2010. Available from: ps://wwwwhoint/rabies/PEP_prophylaxis_ guidelines_June10pdf.
9. Fenelon N, Dely P. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding rabies risk in community members and healthcare professionals: Petionville, Haiti. Epidemiol Infect. 2017;145(8):1624-34.
10. Sambo M, Lembo T. Knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) about rabies prevention and control: a community survey in Tanzania. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(12):e3310.
11. Ali A, Ahmed EY, Sifer D. A study on knowledge, attitude and practice of rabies among residents in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, Ethiop. Vet J. 2014;17(2):19.
12. Guadu T, Shite A, Chanie M, Bogale B, Fentahum T. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practices about rabies and associated factors: in the case of Bahir Dar town,. Global Vet. 2014;20:348-54.

Ashok R. Jadhao, Professor and Head of Department
Subhash Thakre, Professor

Hitesh Tayade, Resident Doctor

Uma Mahesswaran, Resident Doctor

Sachin Kumare, Resident Doctor

Cite this article: Rathod S, Thakre SS, Jadhao AR, Thakre S, Tayade H, Mahesswaran U, Kumare S. Knowledge, attitude and practices on rabies prevention among the patient attending the antirabies vaccination clinics (ARV) in tertiary health care centre in central India. $J$
Community Health Manag 2022;9(3):111-119.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author.

    E-mail address: shyamrathod1 @ gmail.com (S. Rathod).

[^1]:    *- ANOVA test \#- independent sample t-test

