Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals



Indian Journal of Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology

Journal homepage: www.ijceo.org

Original Research Article

Evaluating the effect of donor and recipient factors on graft survival after penetrating keratoplasty

Preeti Waskel^[], Manisha Singh^[],*, Sooraj Kubrey^[], Aditi Dubey¹, Kavita Kumar¹

¹Dept. of Ophthalmology, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India



PUBLIC

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Article history: Purpose: Corneal diseases are one of the leading causes of visual loss and blindness, after cataracts and Received 20-06-2022 glaucoma across the globe. Management of corneal blindness requires corneal transplantation which is Accepted 14-07-2022 dependent on voluntary corneal donations and needs tremendous post-operative care and follow-up. The Available online 06-10-2022 success of the procedure depends upon various donor and recipient factors that need to be studied. Materials and Methods: A prospective study was conducted in the eye bank of the tertiary care eye center in central India after getting the approval of the institutional ethical committee. A total of 26 eyes Keywords: of 13 donors were studied, out of which 23 eyes underwent penetrating keratoplasty, among these, 3 eyes Corneal graft survival underwent therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty, 16 eyes underwent optical penetrating keratoplasty and 4 Eye banking eyes underwent triple procedures. Recipients were followed up at 1 week, 1 month, and 3rd months, and Corneal transplantation complications data were analyzed. Results: Graft survival was significantly better in the recipients without pre-operative corneal any difference in the survival of the graft.

vascularization (p=0.004). Corneas from a donor with open eyelids showed significantly poor graft survival. This was statistically significant (p=0.047). On the other hand, based on donor lens status, we did not find Conclusion: Practices like lid closure and eye drop installation should be promoted from the time of death,

for preserving donor tissue quality. HCRP should be promoted and practiced at the national level as it is effective in increasing the rate of eye donation and positively affects graft outcomes.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization(WHO), corneal diseases are one of the leading causes of visual loss and blindness, after cataracts and glaucoma across the globe. WHO says, globally, approximately 4.2 million people are having preventable visual impairment due to corneal opacities¹ In India, approximately 6.8 million people have been documented for having visual acuity less than 6/60 in at least one eye due to corneal diseases; among these, about 1 million people have bilateral involvement.^{2,3}

Management of corneal blindness requires corneal transplantation which is dependent on voluntary corneal donations and needs tremendous post-operative care and follow-up. The success of the procedure is not an overnight event, it depends upon various donor and Recipient factors, modifiable factors should be taken care of, to increase the success of the procedure. Right from the enucleation procedure, quality of donor tissue, careful tissue preparation, duration of preservation, systematic tissue evaluation, and uneventful transplantation altogether accounts for the good results.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: bj.manisha.ms@gmail.com (M. Singh).

Donor tissue must be intact and needs to be free from ocular surface defects. Donor tissue layers must be assessed

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijceo.2022.077 2395-1443/© 2022 Innovative Publication, All rights reserved. and graded as per standard criteria under a slit-lamp prior to transplantation. Endothelial cell density needs to be checked by specular microscopy for better graft survival. Graft survival gets affected by certain donor factors, tissue preparation & preservation factors, and recipient factors.

The present study was conducted to evaluate the role of donor factors and recipient factors in graft survival and complication of surgery at a tertiary care eye center in central India.

2. Materials and Methods

A prospective study was conducted for 18 months in the eye bank of tertiary care eye center in central India after getting the approval of the institutional ethical committee. The study group consisted of all recipients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty between 2018 to 2020 at the study center.

Demographic details of donor, cause of death, time of death, systemic illness along with the history of intraocular surgeries were noted. The procedure was explained and written consent was taken. Enucleation was performed under aseptic precautions and the eyeball was immediately transferred to a moist chamber. Slit-lamp examination was done and donor corneas were graded using a standard grading system (Eye Bank Association of America).⁴

After explaining the whole procedure and associated risks, written consent was taken, and penetrating keratoplasty was done. In triple procedure, cataractous lens was removed using the open sky technique, and posterior chamber intraocular lens was implanted.

Recipients were followed-up at 1 week, 1 month, and 3rd month and the effect of various donor and recipient factors on graft clarity was studied. Survival of the graft was assessed on the basis of visual acuity, graft clarity, graft host junction vascularization, anterior chamber, and presence of rejection signs were noted. Modified grading of corneal clarity on the basis of corneal haze was used⁵ (British Journal of Ophthalmology 2009.

Statistical analysis was done by SPSS 23.0 version. Categorical variables were analyzed using the Chi-Square test. The variables with a p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In the present study a total of 26 eyes of 13 donors were studied, out of which 23 eyes underwent penetrating keratoplasty, among these, 3 eyes underwent therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty, 16 eyes underwent optical penetrating keratoplasty and 4 eyes underwent triple procedures; penetrating keratoplasty with cataract extraction with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation. The majority of donors(38.5%) belonged to the age group of 41-60 years. The mean age of donors was found to be 50.2 ± 2.35 years. The youngest donor was of 15 years of age and the eldest was 88 years of age. Male recipients 19(82.6%) outnumbered the female recipients 4(17.4%).

Seven (26.9%) donor corneas were of very good quality, 15(57.7%) were of good quality, rest 4 were of fair to poor quality corneas. The majority of donated eyes 11(84.6%) were retrieved via Hospital Corneal Retrieval Programme (HRCP) and the remaining 2(15.4%) were retrieved at home. (Table 1)

The mean age of the recipients in this study was 47 ± 19.8 years in the present study. Graft clarity according to age distribution shows no significant difference(p=0.443). There were 19 (82.6%) males and 4(17.4%) females. (Table 2)

4. Discussion

In the present study, graft survival of recipients concerning the transplanted cornea from young as well as old age donors had shown similar graft outcomes. However, the results were statistically insignificant.

Similarly, R. Doyle Stulting et al⁶ and Mark J Mannis et al⁷ found no association between the age of the donor and graft survival. Hyeon Yoon Kwon et al⁸ have also documented similar results.

We found that corneas (84.6%) that were hospital retrieved showed better graft survival on postoperative day seven as well as on final follow-up. However, the difference in recipient graft survival with respect to the place of enucleation of donor tissue was statistically insignificant which can be attributed to the small sample size.

Anders Shehab et al⁹ also concluded that recipients with donor cornea who are hospital retrieved have better graft survival post-transplantation.

We have found that 12(63.1%) recipients with donor tissue having adequate moistening showed better graft survival on postoperative day seven and also (68.4%) at final follow-up. But the difference in each of the groups was statistically insignificant.(p=0.239) However, there were no such studies in the literature to compare. This can be attributed to fact that the presence of moist air prevents dryness of donor cornea.

In our study, we have found that recipients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty with donor corneas in which eyelids were found open (26.1%) during enucleation have shown significantly poor graft survival at final follow-up. The difference between those two groups was also statistically significant(p=0.047). Michel et al¹⁰ documented similar results. After death, if the eyelids remain open for a prolonged duration, the cornea gets exposed to an external environment which results in damage to the epithelium.

Recipients (65.2%) who underwent transplantation from the tissue of the donor's eyes without any intraocular

	2	-Y- voluo	value		<i>c c 0</i>	cc.U		0357	700.0	0.720	607.0	2004	0.047		0.572	
		(4	Ш	0	0	0	7	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	5
		de 4 (N=	Π	0	1	1	7	4	0	1	б	3	1	1	1	7
		Gra	Ι	0	0	1	0	1	0	0		1	0	0	1	0
		(-	Ш	0	1	0	1	б	-	б	0	1	б	1	7	
s)		ade 3 (N=	Π	1	0	ю	1	S	7	1	9	9	1	3	1	e
(3 months	ng	Gr	I	1	7	0	0	ŝ	0	0	б	ю	0	3	0	0
ollow-up	ity gradi	=5)	Ш	1	б	0	1	9	-	1	9	9	1	4	1	5
, and III f	Fraft clar	ade 2 (N=	Π	0	0	0	1	Ś	0	7	б	4	1	7	7	
1 month)	U	5	Ι	б	0	5	б	6	7	c,	8	10	1	3	Э	S
llow-up (N=5)	Ш	0	0	4	1	Г	0	0	Ś	L	0	7	ю	7
k), II fol		rade 1 (Π	1	1	0	1	4	-	6	\mathfrak{S}	4	1	7	7	-
p(1 wee		5	Ι	0	0	0	\mathfrak{S}	9	-	ω	4	4	3	7	7	ε
ollow-u		(N=2)	Ш	1	0	1	1	С	0	1	7	2	1	1	1	-
val at I		rade 0	Π	0	0	-	1	7	0	1	1	-	1	0	1	-
ft survi		U	Ι	0	0	-	0	1	0	-	0	0	1	0	1	0
s on gra	2	H		0	0	5	4	l 11	0	6	4	10	З	rent 8	cous 7	haki8
nor factors				<20	21 - 40	41 - 60	>60	Hospita	Home	Present	Absent	Closed	Open	Transpa	Cataract	Pseudor
Table 1: Effect of do	Donon Footons	DUILOF FACTORS	Follow-up			Age Group		Place of	Enucleation	Ambient Room	temperature and moistening	Ctatue of I ide	Status of Lins		Status of Lens	

Table 2: Effect of	donor factors on §	graft sui	rvival at	I follow	v-up (1 v	veek), II	follow-u	tp (1 mont	th), and II	I follow-t	ip (3 moni	ths)						
									Graft	clarity gi	rading							4
kecipient racto	S	a	Grac	le 0 (N=	: 10 0)	G	ade 1 (N	[=]		2 (N=11)	I		3 (N=3)			4 (N=1)		- La
Follow-up			Ι	Π	Ш	I	Π	Ш	Ι	Π	Ш	Ι	Π	Ш	I	Π	Ш	value
	<20	б	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	1	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	
A 200	21 - 40	4	0	0	0	-	0	1	З	1	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	0 473
Age	41 - 60	8	0	1	1	4	1	0	ю	б	0	0	3	5	0	-	1	0.440
	>60	8	1	-	1	-	4	7	5	7	7	0	0		1		7	
	Males	19	1	б	7	9	9	4	6	4	5	6	4	5	1	7	б	
Centaer	Females	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	7	ю	0	1	0	7	0	0	1	0.211
9 17 17 1	Adherent	6	0	6	1	6	7	4	6	б	б	6	1	0	1	-	1	
Indications of Penetrating	Leucoma	I									1		4	4		1		0.23
Keratoplasty	Pseudophakic bullous		-	-	-	0	7	4	m	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	keratopathy	1					,		I							,	,	
	Leucomatous corneal opacity	Ś	-	7	0	-	m	-	Ś	-	-	0	-	ς	0	0	0	
	Others	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	7	7	0	7	7	
Pre-operative	Present	1	0	0	0	5	5	1	×	9	4	6	3	7	1	7	4	100.0
corneal vascularization	Absent	16	1	Э	0	6	7	4	б	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0.004
Type of keratoplasty	Optical penetrating keratonlastv	٢	1	7	1	4	S	S	6	6	ε	0	0	3	0	0	7	0.005
	Therapeutic	16	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	1	0	7	7	
	keratoplasty Triple procedure	\mathfrak{c}	0	1	1	1	7	0	-	1	7	1	0	1	1	0	0	

or cataract surgeries have shown better graft survival as compared to pseudophakic donors. This can be attributed to some endothelial compromise post-cataract surgeries or intraocular surgeries, that may affect graft survival. But due to less sample size, these findings were statistically insignificant. R. Doyle Stulting et al⁶ also found that post keratoplasty eyes with pseudophakic corneal edema underwent more rejections 34%.

In the present study, no significant effect of age and gender of recipients on graft clarity was noted (p=0.277). Sugar A et al¹¹ also similar results.

Recipients without pre-operative corneal vascularization had statistically significantly better graft survival. (p=0.004). Rafael et al¹² also documented similar results with a survival rate (74%).

Optical penetrating keratoplasty recipients showed better graft clarity compared to those who had undergone therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty but the difference in the clarity was statistically insignificant(p=0.005).

5. Conclusion

Modifiable donor and Recipient factors should be taken care of, to increase the success rate of the procedure. Practices like lid closure and eye drop installation should be promoted from the time of death, for preserving donor tissue quality. HCRP should be promoted and practiced at the national level as it is effective in increasing the rate of eye donation and positively affects graft outcomes.

6. Source of Funding

No source of outside funding.

7. Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Bourne RRA, Flaxman SR, Resnikoff S, Ackland P, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, et al. Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990-2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2017;5(12):1221–34.
- Oliva MS, Schottman T, Gulati M. Turning the Tide of Corneal Blindness. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2012;60(5):423–7.
- 3. Dandona L, Dandona R, Srinivas M, Giridhar P, Vilas K, Prasad MN, et al. Blindness in Indian State of Andhra Pradesh. *Invest Ophthalmol*

Vis Sci. 2001;42(5):908-16.

- Saini JS, Reddy MK, Sharma S, Wagh S. Donor Corneal Tissue Evaluation. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 1996;44(1):3–13.
- Tandon R, Gupta N, Kalaivan M, Sharma N, Titiyal JS, Vajpayee RB. Amniotic membrane transplantation as an adjunct to medical therapy in acute ocular burns. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2011;95(2):199–204.
- Stulting RD, Sugar A, Beck R, Belin M, Dontchev M, Feder RS. Effect of Donor and Recipient Factors on Corneal Graft Rejection. *Cornea*. 2012;31(10):1141–7.
- Mannis MJ, Holland EJ, Gal RL, Dontchev M, Kollman C, Raghinaru D, et al. The effect of donor age on penetrating keratoplasty for endothelial disease: graft survival after 10 years in the Cornea Donor Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2013;120(12):2419–27.
- Kwon HY, Hyon JY, Jeon HS. Effect of Donor Age on Graft Survival in Primary Penetrating Keratoplasty with Imported Donor Corneas. *Korean J Ophthalmol.* 2020;34(1):35–45.
- Shehab A, Gram N, Ivarsen A, Hjortdal J. The Importance of Donor Characteristics, Post-Mortem Time and Preservation Time for Use and Efficacy of Donated Corneas for Posterior Lamellar Keratoplasty. Anders Shehab, Niels Gram, Anders Ivarsen. Acta Ophthalmol. 2022;100(3):269–6.
- Haagdorens M, Acker SIV, Gerwen VV, Dhubhghaill SN, Koppen C, Tassignon MJ, et al. Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency: Current Treatment Options and Emerging Therapies. *Stem Cells Int*. 2016;2016:9798374.
- Sugar A, Tanner JP, Dontchev M, Tennant B, Schultze RL, Dunn S, et al. Recipient risk factors for graft failure in the cornea donor study. *Ophthalmology*. 2009;116(6):1023–8.
- Barraquer RI, Pareja-Aricò L, Gómez-Benlloch A, Michael R. Risk factors for graft failure after penetrating keratoplasty. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 2019;98(17):e15274.

Author biography

Preeti Waskel, Resident () https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6676-8125

Manisha Singh, Senior Resident in https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0139-6847

Sooraj Kubrey, Associate Professor () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0155-3104

Aditi Dubey, Associate Professor

Kavita Kumar, Professor & Head

Cite this article: Waskel P, Singh M, Kubrey S, Dubey A, Kumar K. Evaluating the effect of donor and recipient factors on graft survival after penetrating keratoplasty. *Indian J Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2022;8(3):378-382.