Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals



IP International Journal of Ocular Oncology and Oculoplasty

Journal homepage: https://ijooo.org/



Rohit Saiju^{1,*}

¹Tilganga Institute of Ophthalmology, Kathmandu, Nepal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 08-06-2021 Accepted 15-06-2021 Available online 24-07-2021 © This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Orbital exenteration was first described by George Bartisch in 1853. He gave full instructions about the instruments and techniques involved in removing the entire contents of the orbit and tumors out of the socket while the first report of modern total exenteration in the early 20th century was published by Golovine. In recent years, several modifications such as eyelid-sparing techniques, retention of the conjunctiva, and preservation of the periorbita have been introduced for use during exenteration to aid in facial rehabilitation.¹ Orbital exenteration is a psychologically and anatomically disfiguring procedure where surgical excision of all the contents of orbit including eyeball, periorbital with or without the eyelids. It is indicated for primary malignant orbital tumors or secondary tumor invasion to orbit from eyelid and intraocular tumors which may be life threatening and those which does not respond to other conservative mode of treatment like chemotherapy or radiation. Although orbital exenteration is a disfiguring procedure, it can provide a complete cure in squamous cell carcinoma of conjunctiva, basal cell carcinoma and malignant melanoma of eyelid. Conservative excisions in these tumors are likely to have frequent and aggressive recurrences. It is generally considered to be a radical procedure that should be performed only when there is a valid indication. Rarely, it may even be indicated in advanced benign tumors like neurofibromatosis or arteriovenous malformation with orbital deformity and in

fulminating orbital fungal infection like mucormycosis.²

In a large study of 68 patients who underwent exenteration by Honavar and team where 14 different tumors were encountered, out of which basal cell carcinoma in 28 were found to be commonest followed by melanoma in 10, sebaceous cell carcinoma in nine and squamous cell carcinoma in six. Among the exenterated patients, 31 had previously undergone other treatments as well who ultimately needed exenteration.³

Exenteration maybe sub-total, total, extended, or radical exenteration depending on the amount of orbital contents to be excised. Subtotal exenteration also known as anterior exenteration, it is removal of only the anterior orbital tissue including eyeball and immediate retrobulbar tissues transecting the optic nerve close to globe. In total exenteration, the orbital contents are removed in their entirety, transecting the optic nerve posteriorly. In extended exenteration, removal of orbital bones is also performed wherever bony metastasis is suspected. In radical exenteration, adjacent structures like the paranasal sinuses are also sacrificed usually in sino-orbital locally invasive carcinomas.⁴

In conventional exenteration, skin incision is given at the orbital rim and dissection carried up to periorbital. It is tightly adhered around the rim which separated from underlying orbital bone with periosteal elevator and gentle dissection carried out in the same plane up to the apex or up to the retrobulbar area depending upon the type of above mentioned the exenteration, ensuring hemostasis in

E-mail address: rohitsaiju@hotmail.com (R. Saiju).

* Corresponding author.



the meantime. Then a pair of curved enucleation scissors is then introduced to the posterior orbit to divide the optic nerve and posterior orbital structures. The remaining orbit is then inspected carefully for any residual tumors before packing the open socket with paraffin gauze.

Cosmetic rehabilitation is a major challenge after complete exenteration which leads to psychosocial problems often making the patient debilitated in spite of the complete freedom from the underlying cancers. However, in the past few years, enhanced knowledge of cancer genetics, cell biology, modern histopathologic diagnostic modality, advent of newer chemotherapy drugs, immunotherapy and stereotactic radiation techniques has led to decrease in the number of destructive surgeries like exenteration as well as enucleation.4 In spite of all this even if exenteration is indicated, then a less radical form of it known as lid sparing exenteration is getting widely popular especially for anteriorly located eyelid and conjunctival malignant tumors. This method has made the cosmetic rehabilitation easier and better where the patient satisfaction is guaranteed. These stuck on silicon orbital prosthesis used after lid sparing exenteration are easy to manipulate, clean and more lifelike. In a study done by Shields et al in 56 orbital exenterations, 47 were done by eyelid-sparing technique and only 9 by eyelid-sacrificing technique. The most common tumors managed by eyelid sparing technique being melanoma in 22 cases and four in eyelid tumors.⁵

In the eyelid sparing procedure, skin incision is given around the upper and lower lid in the subciliary line and dissection carried out in subcutaneous plane up the orbital rim. Rest of the dissection is carried out as above method. For large eyelid tumors with orbital invasion, exenteration is completed by moving the glabellar flap or suprabrow flap to close the socket thus making the cosmetic rehabilitation possible even when substantial amount of eyelid need to be sacrificed for tumor margin control. However even with lid sparing exenteration, cosmetic rehabilitation is expensive in places like India and subcontinent countries where silicon orbital prosthesis is still not easily available.

B. Dolar has sugseted a Cheek advancement flap which can be used alone or together with a forehead advancement flap to cover the large orbital defects after total or extended exenteration. This repair may be resistant to radiotherapyrelated complications in some cases.⁶ As the eyes are the most important components of the face regarding aesthetics, the rehabilitation of patients undergoing exenteration is of importance. Patient confidence, self-esteem, and their return to normal social life are possible with the use of orbital prostheses. Spectacle mounted prosthesis is usually prescribed or some patients prefer to use eye patch.

There are no surgeries without possible complications and so on there are few major complications of orbital exenteration. Potential surgical complications include extensive bleeding, the thin ethmoid bones can be fractured during the surgery, leading to an opening or fistula between the orbit and the nasal cavity. CSF leaks can occur. Postoperative infection can generally be controlled with appropriate antibiotics. Sloughing of the skin graft is of little consequence because the socket can be allowed to undergo granulation. Intracranial infection is another potential complication of orbital exenteration which can be eliminated by obliterating the cavity in its entirety with soft-tissue free-flaps. This can provide for a safer and more therapeutic management of the socket leading to improved postoperative management and cosmetic outcome. Obliteration of the cavity provides a protective barrier that protects the cranium from a potential infection.⁷

A Kasaee in his 176 cases over 23 year study found there are increasing trend for exenteration over past 3 years. Among the Fifteen different tumors were identified, the most common indication was basal cell carcinoma 49 (28%) followed by 41 (23.5%) squamous cell carcinomas, 35 (20%) retinoblastoma, and 13 (7%) adenoid cystic carcinomas. In total, adnexal malignancies were the most common tumors, secondarily involving the orbit. Eyelids 89 (50.5%) and the globe 43 (24%) were the most frequent site of involvement.⁸

In conclusion, exenteration a debilitating surgery is mainly reserved for orbital invasive malignancies to achieve tumor control and is carried out along with chemotherapy and radiation wherever indicated. These procedures are mostly life-saving and are used as a part of multimodal treatment in achieving complete local remission. It should always be followed by cosmetic rehabilitation wherever possible by a well-matched prosthesis. Patient education on primary small periocular lesions can help in an earlier diagnosis of malignant lesions and so in reducing the number of exenterations to be performed.

1. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- 1. Bartisch G. Ophthalmodoulcia. vol. 3. Dresden, 1583;. p. 208.
- Sagili S, Malhotra R. Orbital exenteration: indications, techniques and complications. *Exp Rev Ophthalmol.* 2016;11(3):201–13. doi:10.1080/17469899.2016.1186544.
- Rahman I, Cook AE, Leatherbarrow B. Orbital exenteration: a 13 year Manchester experience. Br J Ophthalmol. 2005;89:1335–40.
- Honavar SG, Rao R. Enucleation and Exenteration. In: Chaugule S, Honavar S, Finger P, editors. Surg Ophthalmic Oncol. Springer, Cham: Surgical Ophthalmic Oncology; 2019.
- Shields JA, Shields CL, Demirci H, Honavar SG, Singh AD. Experience With Eyelid-Sparing Orbital Exenteration. In: The 2000 Tullos O. Coston Lecture, Ophthalmic Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. vol. 17. Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health); 2001. p. 355–61. doi:10.1097/00002341-200109000-00010.
- Bilge A, Dolar MD, Yazici, Bulent MD, Efe A, Cetin MD, et al. Reconstruction of Orbital Exenteration Defect With Cheek or Combined Cheek and Forehead Advancement Flaps. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2021;37(4):346–51.

- Spiegel J, Varvares M. Prevention of Postexenteration Complications by Obliteration of the Orbital Cavity. *Skull Base*. 2007;17(3):197–203. doi:10.1055/s-2007-977468.
- Kasaee A, Eshraghi B, Nekoozadeh S, Ameli K, Sadeghi M, Jimshidian-Tehrani M, et al. Orbital Exenteration: A 23-year Report. *Korean J Ophthalmol.* 2019;33(4):366–70. doi:10.3341/kjo.2018.0052.

Author biography



Rohit Saiju, • Professor of Ophthalmology in National Academy of Medical Sciences (NAMS) and Hospital Director in Tilganga Institute of Ophthalmology, Kathmandu, Nepal.

• Fellow in Orbit, Plastic and Lacrimal disorders (OPAL) at Royal Victorian Eye and Ear hospital, University of Melbourne.

• President of Nepal Ophthalmic Society (NOS)

• Founder president for Nepalese Society of Oculoplastic Surgeons (NESOS).

• Vice president for Oculoplastic Society of South Asia (OPSSA).

• Past-Vice president of Asia Pacific Society for Ophthalmic Plastic and Reconstructive surgery (APSOPRS).

Cite this article: Saiju R. An unwanted disfiguring eye surgery: Exenteration. *IP Int J Ocul Oncol Oculoplasty* 2021;7(2):109-111.