ISSN: 2455-1848



International Journal of Innovative Research & Growth

A Peer Reviewed & Referred International Journal

Volume-4 Issue-1 November-2016 Impact Factor-2.58 www.ijirg.com, editor@ijirg.com

Thermal And Structural Studies Of Th (IV) Complexes With Some Nitrogen Donor Ligands

¹Narendra Kumar Sharma, ²S. N. Dixit & ³Vijay Dwivedi

^{1,2}Department of chemistry Govt. SMS, Science College Gwalior M. P., India ³YIT Jaipur, Rajasthan, India Email- sharma01narendra01@gmail.com

Abstract

We report here series of new the (IV) complexes with Schiff base having general composition Th $X_{4.nL}$ (X=I n=2) Where L = Schiff base

The complexes were characterized on the basis of analytical conductance, molecular weight and spectral studies. The Schiff base behave as neutral monodentate ligand which coordinate to the central metal atom through azomethine nitrogen.

Keywords: Schiff base ligand, Th(IV).

1- INTRODUCTION

Thermal studies of various substances including metal complexes have been of great interest for many workers [1-7]. Thermal decomposition kinetics parameters viz. E*, A and ΔS^* have been computed for transition metal complexes [8-12] and for thorium (IV) dioxouranium (VI) complexes [13and 20].Although it was not possible to study of thermal properties of all the metal complexes due to some unavoidable reasons, the studies were carried out for representative complexes of the series. The complexes studied are Th I₄. 4 (4CABPT), ThI4. 4 (2MCABPT) ThI4. 4 (4CABAB) and ThI₄. 4 (2MCABAB).

Thermal Studies **Complexes:** for Thermogravimetric analysis (T.G.A.) of the complexes were recorded on thermo-balance Mettler Toledo Star system at the rate 10° c/min. Regional Sophisticated at Instrumentation. The rate of loss of mass vs temperature (DTG) plots were used as TGA curves. The decomposition data for the complexes are in corporate Tables 1-4. **Thermal Decomposition Kinetics Studies:** Freeman-Carroll (F.C.) [21], Coats-Redfern (C.R.) [22] and Horowitz-Metzger (H.M.)[23], methods were used to evaluate different kinetics parameters from the TGA curves as furnished in Tables 1-2. The corresponding kinetics parameters are given in Tables 3-4.

 Table.1: Thermal decomposition data for-4-NN-bis-2'-cyanoethylaminobenzylideneaniline complex with thorium (IV) Iodide.

Complex	Stage of decompos ition	Reaction	Peak Temp. in DTG (⁰ C)	Temp. Range in DTG (⁰ C)
ThI ₄ .4(4CAB AB)	Ι	Th I ₄ . 4 (4CABAB)-Th I ₄ . 2.1(4CABAB)	256	190-270
	II	ThI _{4.} 2.1 (4CABAB) \rightarrow Th I ₄ . 1.95(4CABAB)	355	320-470

	III	ThI ₄ .1.95(4CABAB) →ThI ₄ .0.6 6(4CABAB)	535	527-556
	IV	ThI ₄ . 0.66(4CABAB) → ThO ₂	666	612-688

Table.2:Thermaldecompositiondatafor2-Methyl-4-NN-bis-2'-cyanoethylaminobenzylideneaniline complex with thorium (IV) Iodide.

Complex	Stage of decompos ition	Reaction	Peak Temp. in DTG (⁰ C)	Temp. Range in DTG (⁰ C)
ThI ₄ .4(2MCA BAB)	Ι	Th I ₄ . 4 (2MCABAB) \rightarrow Th I ₄ . 2.1(2MCABAB)	278	210-298
	II	ThI _{4.} 2.1 (2MCABAB) \rightarrow Th I ₄ . 1.95(2MCABAB)	345	320-410
	III	ThI ₄ .1.95(2MCABAB) →ThI ₄ .0 .66(2MCABAB)	565	543-610
	IV	ThI ₄ . 0.66(2MCABAB) \rightarrow ThO ₂	656	612-690

2- FREEMAN-CARROLL (FC) METHOD

Freeman-Carroll had proposed a method for the evaluation of kinetic parameters using an equation which is represented as

.....(1)

$$\frac{-dx}{dt} = A \exp \{-E^*/RT\}X^n$$

Where the symbols have their usual meanings, for the general equation :

$$aA \rightarrow bB + cC$$

Equations (1) have been solved by the authors [21]. The results have been used to study reaction kinetics in the form of:

$$\frac{-E^{*/2.303 \text{ R}}(\Delta T^{-1})}{\Delta \log Wr} = -n + \frac{\Delta \log (dw/dt)}{\Delta \log Wr} \qquad \dots \dots (2)$$

Where Wr = Wc - W

Wc = weight loss at completion of the reaction and

W = weight loss up to time t

Equation (2), suggests that on plotting

kl
$$\frac{\Delta \log(dw/dt)}{\Delta \log Wr}$$
 Vs $\frac{(\Delta T^{-1})}{\Delta \log Wr}$ (3)

For the decomposition reaction, order 'n' can be obtained as intercept of the linear graph and $E^*/2.303$ as slope from where E^* can be computed.

On applying this equation to the thermal data for representative thorium (IV) and dioxouranium (VI) complexes it was inferred that the thermal reactions which are mentioned in Tables 5.1 - 5.6 are of order unity. E* values, for each were evaluated from the slopes of the Freeman-Carroll plots which are furnished in Tables 5-8.Coats –Redfern (CR) method : For the study the kinetics of thermal decomposition reactions, another method, was proposed by Coats and Redfern [22]. These author had given some relations among α the fraction of compound at time 't' and some other parameters to study the kinetics. The relations are:

$$\log\left\{\frac{1-(1-\alpha)^{1-n}}{T^{2}(1-n)}\right\} = \log\left\{\frac{AR}{aE} * \left(\frac{1-2Rt}{E^{*}}\right) - \frac{E^{*}}{2.3RT}\right\}$$

for n/= 1(4)
and $\log\left\{\frac{-\log(1-\alpha)}{T^{2}}\right\} = \log\left\{\frac{AR}{aE} * \left(\frac{1-2Rt}{E^{*}}\right) - \frac{E^{*}}{2.3RT}\right\}$

for n = 1(5)

Where α is fraction of the compound at time 't' and it is equal to $(W_0-W)/(W_0-W_f)$

Where W_0 , W and W_f are initial weight, weight at time 't' and the final weight of the sample respectively and 'a' is the rate of heating. Other symbols have usual meanings.

These equations were applied to the decomposition reaction of the selected complexes and it was observed that the plots of

$$\log\left[\frac{-\log(1-\alpha)}{T^2}\right] \text{Vs } 1/T$$

are straight lines. This justifies that these reactions may have order unity (i.e.n=1) as observed on the basis of Freeman-Carroll method. E*values for these are obtained from the slopes of Coats-Redfern plots as (-E*/2.3R) and 'A' from the intercepts of the plots. ΔS^* values for the reactions are obtained from the following equation

$$\Delta S^* = R \ln \{Ah/kTs\} \qquad \dots (6)$$

Results for Coats-Redfern method for the complexes are given in Tables 5-8.

3- HOROWITZ-METZGER (H.M.) METHOD

Horowitz-Metzger method is an illustrative of approximate method. The authors derived a relation for first order thermal reactions which may be written as:

$$\log\left\{\log W\alpha/Wr\right\} = \frac{F*\theta}{2.3RT_s^2} - \log 2.3 \qquad \dots \dots (7)$$

Where θ =T-T_s and T_s is the peak temperature and dw/dt is maximum in the DTG curve. In the present study the approximation method was tried to the representative complexes. It was observed that the plots of log {log (W α /Wr)} vs θ are straight lines. E* for these thermal decomposition reactions are calculated from the slopes of these plots and A is calculated using the following equation

$$\frac{E^{*}}{RT_{s}^{2}} = \frac{A}{a \exp\{-E^{*}/RT_{s}\}} \qquad \dots \dots (8)$$

The results of kinetics parameters are incorporated in Tables 3-4.

In the concluded that the values of E^* , A and ΔS^* are appreciable and E^* values are sufficiently high while ΔS^* have negative values. The values are comparable with previous observations. Horowitz-Metzger method gives [23] reasonably good results but it is mathematically less accurate than the integral methods. Coats- Redfern method seems to be more accurate but considerably time consuming.

Table. 3 : Decomposition kinetics parameters of complex ThI_4 , 4(4CABPT) obtained using equations ofFreeman Carroll (FC) Coats Red fern (CR) and Horowitz-Metzger (HM)

Complex Decomposition		Equation	Parameters		
	stage		E*(KJMol ⁻¹)	A(S ⁻¹)	∆S*(JK
					Mol ⁻¹)
Th	Ι	FC	35.11	-	-
I ₄ .4(4CABAB)		CR	33.61	7.37×10^4	-56.68
		HM	45.92	6.38×10^4	-48.54
	II	FC	28.19	-	-
		CR	26.34	1.91×10^4	-66.70
		HM	32.13	1.78×10^{4}	-58.66
	III	FC	25.22	-	-
		CR	26.16	9.81×10^5	-145.60
		HM	33.21	8.91×10 ⁵	-139.06
	IV	FC	31.44	-	-
		CR	36.68	3.30×10^4	-147.41
		HM	42.84	3.19×10^4	-131.16

Table. 4 : Decomposition kinetics parameters of complex ThI_4 , 4(4CABPT) obtained using equations ofFreeman Carroll (FC) Coats Red fern (CR) and Horowitz-Metzger (HM)

Complex	Decomposition	Equation	Parameters		
	stage		E*(KJMol ⁻¹)	A(S ⁻¹)	ΔS*(JK Mol ⁻¹⁾
Th I ₄ .4(2MCABAB)	Ι	FC CR HM	39.11 32.21 42.92	- 7.37×10 ⁴ 6.38×10 ⁴	- -59.68 -47.55
	II	FC CR HM	27.13 24.31 38.13	- 1.91×10 ⁴ 1.78×10 ⁴	- -66.24 -59.46
	III	FC CR HM	27.62 26.15 34.21	- 9.81×10 ⁵ 8.91×10 ⁵	- -135.60 -140.06
	IV	FC CR HM	36.84 39.48 47.24	- 3.30×10 ⁴ 3.19×10 ⁴	- -145.61 -149.11

General mechanism for decomposition of the complexes is proposed on the basis of their thermal decomposition data which is given as under.

4- THORIUM (IV) COMPLEXES Step-I

Th
$$(L)_n X_4 \frac{In \, stage of}{decomposition}$$
 Th $(L)_{n-x} X_4 +_x L$

(n=2,4 or 6)

(x=2 to 4)

(L=2MCABAB,4CABAB

 $(X=I^{-})$

Step-II

Th (L)_{n-x}.X₄
$$\frac{-4x,-(n-x)L}{In \ presence \ of \ O_2}$$
ThO₂

On the basis of aforementioned mechanism the relative bond strength of M-L and M-X bonds is being proposed. It is inferred that M-L Coordination bond is relatively weaker than M-X (metal-anion bond). This can be understood more clearly by taking an example of Complexes Th I₄.4(2MCABAB). In this case there are four stages of decomposition. In first stage, total weight loss is because of loss of

approximate 04 ligand molecules from the complex (Refer Table 5.1) which is favored by the activation energy value of this stage. Second stage of decomposition involves the loss of rest of the ligands and anion resulting in the formation of oxide ThO₂. Entropy of activation (ΔS^*) in both the stages is negative, it also supports aforementioned decomposition stages. Synthesis and Thermal study of Th(IV) and UO₂(VI) Complexes are reported by Oxana and associates[24].

5- SUGGESTED STRUCTURES OF COMPLEXES : THORIUM (IV) COMPLEXES

The preferred coordination number for Th (IV) is either 6, 8 or 10 in thorium (IV) complexes. In case of iodo complexes 1:2 electrolytic nature of complexes shows that two of the iodo ions are present our side the coordination sphere thereby generating the coordination number six for central Th (IV) metal atom.





6- REFERENCES

[1[R.K. Agarwal and S.C. Rastogi , Thermochim Acta. 63, 363 (1983).

[2] A.K. Srivastava, S. Sharma and R.K. Agarwal, Inorg Chim. Acta. 61, 235 (1982).

[3] R.K. Agarwal and K.Arora, Polish J. Chem. 67,25(1993), 67,219 (1993).

[4] R.K. Agarwal, K. Arora, H. Agarwal and R.K. Sarin, Synth. React. Inorg. Met-org. Chem., 25, 899 (1995).

[5] J.C. Taylor, M.H. Mueller, R.L. Hitterman Acta Crystalography 20, 842, (1966).

[6] K.Aruna, Sakina Bootwala , Tariq,Christopher Fernandes and Sachin Somasundaran. Aruna et al. IJPSR 5, (2) 400, (2014).

[7] Sonal Agnihotri and Kishore Arora, E.J. Chem. 7 (3) 1045–(2010).

[8] hujhgffdfddghfgghfvgh any one.

[9] R.K. Agarwal, K. Arora, Oxidation Commun., 16, 319 (1993). [10] R.K. Agarwal and K. Arora, Polish J. Chem., 69, 995 (1995). [11] R.K. Agarwal and K. Arora, 17, 10 (1994). [12] K. Arora, Asian J. Chem., 7, 506 (1995). [13] R.K. Agarwal and K. Arora, Oxidation Commun., 18, 29 (1995). [14] K.Arora and P.Sinha, Oxidation Commun., 19, 575 (1996). [15] K.Arora and M.C. Pathak, Oxidation Commun., 20, 82 (1997). [16] A.W. Coats and J.P. Red fern, Nature, 210, 68, (1964). [17] H.H. Horwitz and G. Metzger, Anal. Chem., 35, 1464, (1958). [18] Oxana V. Kharissova, Miguel A. Mendez Rojas, B oris I. Kharisova, Ubaldo Ortiz Mendez and Perla Elizondo Martinez Molecules 19, 10755, (2014).