Information seeking behaviour of faculty members of agricultural universities in Karnataka: A case study

Hemantha Kumar G.H^{1*}, Uma Jagannath²

¹Research Scholar, ²Research Guide, Deputy Librarian(Rtd.), ¹Dept. of Librarian, ¹Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, ²Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India

Corresponding Author: Hemantha Kumar G.H

Email: ghhemanth@gmail.com

Abstract

This study main focus to objectives, methodology and analysis and interpretation of data collected through questionnaire and interview survey method to the following Six Agricultural Universities of Karnataka. Out of 691 respondents data was collected from the six universities with different academic positions viz., Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor. The highest respondents KVAFSU-B 205, UAS-B 128, UHS-B 122, UAS-D 101, UAHS-S 74 and lastly UAS-R 61 respondent's data was collected respectively.

Keywords: ISB (Information Seeking Behaviour), KSAU (Karnataka State Agricultural Universities), Journals, Search Engines and AIS (Agriculture Information Systems)

Introduction

The main purpose of this study is to examine the Information Seeking Behaviour (ISB) of the faculty members of the Agricultural Universities in Karnataka State. The Faculty members can avail to seek the information at large extent in Agricultural Universities for strengthening their academic and research activities and improvement of quality of agriculture education system in Indian context. The study has revealed to conduct for achieving the broader view of research problem /statement namely "Information Seeking Behaviour of Faculty Members of Agricultural Universities in Karnataka: A case Study." Information Seeking Behaviour is an essential component in the designing and developing of need based centres for meeting information the information requirements of the users. The library will be in a better position to understand the education system by conducting the information seeking behaviour study of their library users and accordingly proper planning, management, acquisition, organization, presentation and rendering various services and continuous collection development etc. There should be no gap between the academic needs and relevant Research & Development.

Objectives

The main objective of the present study is to in calculate to know the information seeking behaviour of faculty members of selected universities in Karnataka state. The following objective is to test is hypothetical statement about the information seeking behviour.

 To compare the information seeking behaviour of faculty members or scientist through cascade linkage model

- 2. To compare the most important emerging areas of information in field of agriculture & allied sciences
- 3. To identify the different sources of information available on open sources via electronic media
- 4. Attempt to know the new agricultural innovation information transfer from selected respondents agriculture/scientist
- 5. To correlation between information behaviour with respect to agricultural technological transfer from open source
- 6. To develop information seeking behaviour model selected agriculture universities.

Methodology

The study includes information pertaining to the research methodology, population, sample, data collection and analysis.

Research Methodology

- 1. A comprehensive review of literature covering the period 2004 2018
- Survey method will be employed to study of Information Seeking Behaviour of Faculty Members of Agricultural Universities in Karnataka
- 3. Questionnaire will be framed to collect the feedback from faculty members to meet the objectives of this study.
- 4. Data collected will be subjected for statistical analysis to prove objectives and hypothesis

Table 1: Sample population and response details of the Faculty

S. No	Universities	Sample selected and Questionnaire distributed	Response Received	Response Rate
1	UAS-B	225	128	56.88%

3	KVAFSU-B	275	205	74.54%
4	UAS-R	95	61	64.21%
5	UHS-B	165	122	73.93%
6	UAHS-S	105	74	70.47%
	Total	1020	691	67.74%

The following six tables given the details of the questionnaire distributed among the faculty members of the six universities and the colleges carrying under each universities the highest sample collected in KVAFSU-B 205(74.54%) followed by UHS-B 122(73.94%), UAHS-S 74(70.48%), UAS-D 101(65.16%), UAS-R 61(64.21%) and least was UAS-B (56.89%).

Table 2: Frequency distribution of respondents by designation

S. No	Universities	Assistant Professor	Associate Professor	Professor	Total			
1	UAS-B	62	14	52	128			
2	UAS-D	35	9	57	101			
3	KVAFSU- B	138	29	38	205			
4	UAS-R	47	3	11	61			
5	UHS-B	99	9	14	122			
6	UAHS-S	50	2	22	74			
Total		431	66	194	691			
Ch	Chi-square $\chi^2 = 93.109, df = 10, \chi^2/df = 9.31, P(\chi^2 > 93.109) = 0.0000$							

From table 2 shows that, the frequency distribution relating to the respondents by designation of Karnataka State Agricultural University (KSAU). Out of 691 respondents data was collected from the six universities with different academic positions viz., Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor. The highest respondents KVAFSU-B 205 data was collected, UAS-B 128 respondent's data was collected, UHS-B 122 respondent's data was collected, UAS-D 101 respondent's data was collected, UAHS-S 74 respondent's data was collected and lastly UAS-R 61 respondent's data was collected respectively. The respondent designation is found to be significantly correlated with universities ($\chi^2 = 93.109***, p=0.000$)

Table 3: Frequency distribution of respondents by age

S. No	Age Class	UAS-B	UAS-D	KVAFSU-B	UAS-R	UHS-B	UAHS-S	Total
1	<30 Years	32	23	89	15	32	13	204
2	31-40	54	32	56	18	36	15	211
3	41-50	32	33	45	16	33	20	179
4	51-60	10	13	15	12	21	26	97
Total 128 101 205 61 122 74					74	691		
Chi	Chi-square $\chi^2 = 68.538**, df = 15, \chi^2/df = 4.57, P(\chi^2 > 68.538) = 0.0000$							

The frequency distribution of respondents based on age is shown in table.³ It can be observed from the resulted findings, a four age classes has characterized from the respondents, the highest age group between 31-40 years 211, less than < 30 years 204, the age group between 41-50 years comprises 179 and the age group between 51-60 years comprises 97 out of 691 respectively. The respondent age is found to be significantly correlated with universities ($\chi^2 = 68.538^{**}$ p=0.000)

Table 4: Frequency distribution of respondents by sex

S. No	Universities	Male	Female	Total
1	UAS-B	39	89	128
2	UAS-D	73	28	101
3	KVAFSU- B	154	51	205
4	UAS-R	50	11	61
5	UHS-B	99	23	122
6	UAHS-S	57	17	74
	Total	472	219	691

The above tables given the out of 691 gender wise respondents 472 are male members and 219 are female members respectively.

S. No	Universities	PG	M.Phil.	Ph.D.
1	UAS-B	85	2	32
2	UAS-D	86	0	36
3	KVAFSU- B	95	3	33
4	UAS-R	76	0	38
5	UHS-B	74	1	30
6	UAHS-S	72	0	28
	Total	488	6	197
C	hi-square	$\chi^2 = 8.836, df = 10, \chi^2$	$P/df = 0.88, P(\chi^2 > 1)$	> 8.836) = 0.5478

Table 5: Frequency distribution of respondents by qualification

The above table shows that out of 691 respondents 488 are the Post-Graduation holders, whereas 197 with Ph.D. and remaining 6 are with M.Phil. Degree respectively. The education were found to be significantly correlated with ISB's, P ($\chi^2 > 8.836$) = 0.5478

Table 6: Number of experience of respondents

S. No	Experience in Years	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Total		
1	<5 Yrs.	0	1	185	186		
2	6-10 Yrs.	3	6	153	162		
3	11-20 Yrs.	32	12	85	129		
4	21-25 Yrs.	35	13	5	53		
5	26-30 Yrs.	59	16	1	76		
6	>30 Yrs.	65	18	2	85		
	Total	194	66	431	691		
	Chi-square $\chi^2 = 499.937, df = 10, \chi^2/df = 49.99, P(\chi^2 > 499.937) = 0.0000$						

Table (6) depicted the frequency distribution of respondnets with respect to year of experience category to be correlated with different level or academic postions. As per the table shows that among 691 respondents < 5 years experience was accruded 186, 6-10 years 162, 11-20 years 129, >30 years 85, 26-30 years was 76 and 21-25 years 53. The year of experience was fount to be significant $\chi^2/df = 49.99$, $P(\chi^2 > 499.937) = 0.0000$.

Table 7: Present assignment frequency distribution of the respondents

S. No	Universities	Teaching	Teaching & Research	Extension	Total		
1	UAS-B	98	22	8	128		
2	UAS-D	62	37	2	101		
3	KVAFSU- B	163	32	10	205		
4	UAS-R	32	23	6	61		
5	UHS-B	76	35	11	122		
6	UAHS-S	45	15	14	74		
	Total	476	164	51	691		
C	Chi-square $\chi^2 = 51.127, df = 10, \chi^2/df = 5.11, P(\chi^2 > 51.127) = 0.0000$						

The frequency distribution present assignment frequency distribution of the respondents is presented in the Table (7). A total 476(68.88%) were actively engaged in teaching 164(23.73%) engaged teaching and research and 51(7.38%) respondents were engaged only in extension activities. Resulted findings were significantly correlated and found to be statistically significant differences with universities and present assignment frequency distribution $P(\chi^2 > 51.127) = 0.0000$

Table 8: Searching methods of articles on subject basis at Karnataka State Agricultural Universities

S. No	Statements	Frequency	%	P-value
1	Citation at end of the book chapters / Journal articles	157	22.72	0.017
2	Retrospective sources (index/abstract methods)	117	16.93	0.008
3	Google scholar/Research Gate etc	417	60.35	0.000

The frequency distribution of searching methods of articles on subject basis at Karnataka State Agricultural Universities presented in the table (8). The results driven by the test of independence statistical method, Citation at end of the book

chapters / Journal articles open ended frequency was 157(22.72%), Retrospective sources (index/abstract methods) 117(16.93%) and Google scholar / Research Gate 417(60.35%) respectively.

Table 9: Various section of technical /scientific journals status

S. No	Section of Journals	UAS	S-B	UAS	-D	KVA	FSU-B	UA	S-R	UH	S-B	UAH	S-S	To	tal
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
		Score		Score		Score		Score		Score		Score		Score	
1	Professional News	85	6.3	82	3.1	76	5.6	69	6.3	69	6.8	72	5.8	75.50	5.65
2	Review articles	82	2.4	88	3.6	65	5.4	64	6.8	67	6.7	75	5.55	73.50	5.08
3	Original research papers	86	3.3	89	5.8	73	5.55	67	9.6	74	7.4	73	7.3	77.00	6.49
4	Editorial Comments	83	3.4	74	6.3	61	8.6	71	10.2	70	8.5	70	10.11	71.50	7.85
5	Abstract of original papers	74	3.6	76	6.4	77	10.2	72	10.55	72	11.26	64	11.23	72.50	8.87
6	New scientific equipment's, system	78	5.8	78	6.1	76	10.11	63	13.6	71	13.85	68	15.63	72.33	10.85
	products														
7	Information about training / seminar etc	70	5.9	80	8.12	72	10.63	60	14.22	69	14.66	64	10.89	69.17	10.74

The various section of technical and scientific journals status is presented in the Table (9) different section of journals were assessed based on the total score obtained from the individual respondents, the Professional News mean score was 75.50±5.65, Review articles 73.50±5.08, Original research papers 77.00±6.49, Editorial Comments 71.50±7.85, Abstract of original papers 72.50±8.87, New scientific equipment's, system products 72.33±10.85 and Information about training / seminar etc. 69.17±10.74 respectively.

Table 10: Obtain Journal articles

S. No	Obtain Journal articles	UA	S-B	UA	S-D	KVAl	FSU-B	U	AS-R	UI	IS-B	UA	HS-S	T	otal
		No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
1	Personnel Subscription to Print Journals	36	5.21	46	6.66	34	4.92	18	2.60	32	4.63	18	2.60	184	26.63
2	Personnel subscription to Online Journals	30	4.34	8	1.16	35	5.07	15	2.17	28	4.05	19	2.75	135	19.54
3	Library (s) print version	18	2.60	9	1.30	25	3.62	6	0.87	23	3.33	9	1.30	90	13.02
4	Library(s) online/electronic version	18	2.60	20	2.89	56	8.10	9	1.30	29	4.20	8	1.16	140	20.26
5	Data base, e archives etc.,	6	0.87	10	1.45	22	3.18	9	1.30	6	0.87	8	1.16	61	8.83
6	Inter library loan	13	1.88	4	0.58	21	3.04	3	0.43	3	0.43	10	1.45	54	7.81
7	Document delivery	7	1.01	4	0.58	12	1.74	1	0.14	1	0.14	2	0.29	27	3.91
	Total	128	18.52	101	14.62	205	29.67	61	8.83	122	17.66	74	10.71	691	100.00

The obtain journal articles frequency distribution is presented in the Table (10) different section of journals were assessed based on frequency distribution Personnel Subscription to Print Journals 184(26.63%), Personnel subscription to Online Journals 135(19.54%), Library (s) print version 90(13.02%), Library(s) online/electronic version 140(20.26%), Data base, e archives etc., 61(8.83%), Inter library loan 54(7.81%) and Document delivery 27(3.91%) respectively.

Table 11: Frequently used search engines

S. No	Search engines	No	%
1	Google	592	85.67
2	Rediff	46	6.66
3	Yahoo	22	3.18
4	Lycos	1	0.14
5	Alta Vista	2	0.29
6	Web Crawler	1	0.14
7	MSN	25	3.62
8	Info seek	2	0.28
	Total	691	100

The different search engine used gathering the information pertaining the research, academic needs of extension activities KSAU's. The following Google search engine was used by the faculty members highest Google 592(85.67%), Rediff 46 (6.66%), MSN 25(3.62%), Yahoo 22(3.18%), Alta Vista 2(0.29%), Info seek 2(0.29%), Lycos 1(0.14%), and Web Crawler 1(0.14%) respectively.

S. No	AIS	UAS-B	UAS-D	KVAFSU-B	UAS-R	UHS-B	UAHS-S	Total
1	World Cat	13	9	22	4	9	9	66
2	Krishi Kosh	71	36	79	19	31	28	264
3	Krishi Prabha	29	47	45	26	63	26	236
4	Agri Cat	15	9	59	12	19	11	125
	Total	128	101	205	61	122	74	691

Table 12: Most frequently used Agriculture Information Systems (AIS)

Most frequently used agriculture information systems is presented in the table (12). The following open source data archives was used to collect the research papers pertaining to agriculture and allied areas of the heavily used Krishikosh 264(38.20%), followed by KrishiPrabha 236(34.15%), AgriCat 125(18.09%) and World Cat 66(9.55%) respectively.

Table 13: Visitors frequency library for seeking information

S. No	Class Intervals	Yes	%	No	%	P-value
1	20-30 Hrs. Per Week	50	7.23	6	0.87	0.00
2	10-20 Hrs. Per Week	30	4.34	2	0.29	0.00
3	5-10 Hrs. Per Week	50	7.23	5	0.72	0.00
4	<5 Hrs. Per Week	538	77.86	2	0.29	0.00
5	Not at all	8	1.15	0	0.00	0.23

The visitors frequency library of seeking information is presented in table (13) the class interval of visitors frequency as classified based on the hours pertaining to the frequency of the visits the above table categorized 5 classification. Bearing the classification <5 hours per week expressed 538(77.86%) followed by 5-10 hours was 50(7.23%), 20-30 hours 50(7.23%), 10-20 hours 30(4.34%) and the visitors Not at all visit library was 8(1.15%). The classification frequency of visit was found to be statistically significant accessing information seeking behavior presented in the following graphs.

Table 14: Request assistance for information gathering from library staff

S. No	Information gathering	No	%
1	Daily	263	38.06
2	Weekly	312	45.15
3	Rarely	98	14.18
4	Never	18	2.60
	Total	691	100

The information gathering from library staff is presented in the table (14) the frequency of information gathering is rated 0-3 scale (Never-0, Rarely-1, Weekly-2, Daily-3) the highest frequency was observed in weekly interval 312(45.15%), followed by the daily 263(38.06%), rarely 98(14.18%) and never 18(2.60%) respectively.

Table 15: Think about library collections and other materials

S. No	Think about Library	No	%
1	Very Good	352	50.94
2	Good	255	36.90
3	Fair	63	9.12
4	Poor	12	1.74
5	No opinion	9	1.30
	Total	691	100.00

The oral accepted in the general opinion of library collection and other materials access based on the 0-4 scale No opinion-0, Poor-1, Fair-2, Good-3 and Very Good-4. The highest frequency was recorded Very Good 352(50.94%) followed by Good 255(36.90%), Fair 63(9.12%), Poor 12(1.74%) and No opinion 9(1.30%) respectively.

Conclusion

This study focuses on the Analytical study of Information Seeking Behaviour among Agricultural Scientists who are working as Faculty members in the above listed 6 Agricultural Universities in Karnataka State. Their requirements and preferences regarding various information sources like formal, informal and sources from electronic media have been explored through questionnaire survey method. This study employed structured questionnaires which were distributed to faculty members (Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors) in various teaching and research departments of the above said six universities, as selected for the study.

Conflict of Interest: None.

References

- Ali Amour El-Maamiry. The Information-Seeking Behaviour of Students: A Case of University of Dubai. Global J Computer Sci Technol: H Inf Technol 2017;17(1):1-7.
- NAAS. Redefining Agricultural Education and Extension System in Changed Scenario. Policy Paper No. 31, National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, New Delhi, 2005, PP-2.
- Chinnasamy, K. Information need and information seeking behaviour of engineering college students in Madurai-a case study. *Qual Quant Methods Libr* 2017;5(1):131-40.
- Azadeh, F., & Ghasemi, S. Investigating Information-Seeking Behavior of Faculty Members Based on Wilson's Model: Case Study of PNU University, Mazandaran, Iran. Global J Health Sci 2016;1-8(9):26.
- Ellis, D., Cox, D. & Hall, K. A comparative of informationseeking patterns of research in the physical and social sciences, *J Documentation* 1993:49:356-69.
- Hernes. The information gathering habits of American medical scientists. In proceedings of the international conference on scientific information, National Academy, Washington 1, DL, 1959, PP-16-21.
- Urguahart D.J. The distribution and use of scientific and technical information. Royal Soc Sci Inf Conference 1948, PP-408-419.
- Krikelas J. Information seeking Behaviour: Pattern and Concepts, *Drexel Libr Q* 1983;19:1-20.
- Patitungkho, K & Deshpande, N. J. Information-seeking behavior of faculty members of Rajabhat Universities in Bangkok. Webology 2005;2(4).
- Zhang, W. Analyzing faculty and staff's information needs and use of electronic technologies: a liberal arts colleges experience. J Educ Media Libr Sci 1998;3(3):218-24.
- Natrajan, N.O. Information Gathering patterns of B.ED. and M.ED. Students of the department of Education in Annamalai University. J Libr Inf Technol 2011;7:1-15.
- Sharma, Hemant & Pant, Prem Prakash. Information seeking patterns in DRDE scientists. ILA bulletin, Vol-40, 2004, PP-1922.
- 13. Lee, J., Han, S. and Joo, S. The analysis of the information users' needs and information seeking behavior in the field of

- science and technology. J Korean Soc Inf Manag 2008;25:127-41
- Sundararajan, T., and Balasubramanian, P. A Study on Information Seeking Behaviour of Users of Agricultural College and Research Institute, Thoothukudi. *J Adv Libr Inf Sci* 2017;6(2):173-78.
- Chikonzo, A. C., & Aina, L. O. Information needs and sources of information used by veterinary students at the University of Zimbabwe. Q Bull Int Assoc Agricultural Inf Spec 2006;46(1-2)24-28.
- Adio G and Arinola A A, Information needs and information seeking behaviour of agricultural students at LAUTECH, Ogbomoso, Pac Northwest Libr Assoc Q 2012;76(3).
- Leckie & Others et.al. Modeling the information seeking of professionals: a general model derived from research on engineers, health care professionals, and lawyers, Library Quarterly, Vol-66, Issue-2, PP-161-193.
- Kadli, J., & Kumbar, B.D. Faculty information seeking behavior in the changing ICT environment: a study of commerce colleges in Mumbai. *Libr Philos Pract* 2011;6:1-13.
- Manikandan, G, E., Mohamed, S., and Nagarajan, M. Impact of Information Technology on Information Seeking Behavior of the Users: An Empirical Study. *J Adv Libr Inf Sci* 2013;2(2):60-64.
- M. Suriya, G.Sangeetha, and M. A. Nambi, "Information seeking behavior of faculty members from government arts colleges in cuddalore district", In: H.K. Kaul, and S.K. Patil, (Eds), Library and information Networking (NACLIN 2004). New Delhi, 2004, PP-285-292.
- Dr. E. S. Kavitha. Information Needs and Use Pattern of Resources by the Research Scholars of Periyar University, Salem. *Int J Libr Inf Sci* 2015;4(3):77-84.
- Kaushik, S. & Shokeen, A. Information-seeking behavior of social scientists of Haryana Universities. *Libr Herald* -2002;40(1):28-35.
- Khan, S.A. & Shafique, F. Information needs and informationseeking behavior: A survey of College Faculty at Bahaawalpur. Library Philosophy and Practice, 2011. Available at: http://www.webpages.uidaho.edu/~mbolin/khan-shafique.html.

How to cite this article: Hemantha KGH, Jagannath U, Information seeking behaviour of faculty members of agricultural universities in Karnataka: A case study. *Indian j Libr Sci inf techno* 2019;4(1):19-24.