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#### Abstract

The present paper is particularly exhibits about the derive results of a third-order singular multipoint boundary value problem at resonance using coindence degree arguments.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

This paper derive the existence for the third-order singular multipoint boundary value problem at resonance of the form $$
\begin{gathered} \left.u^{\prime \prime \prime}=g(t), u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)+h(t) \\ u^{\prime}(0)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \\ u(1)=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} u\left(\varsigma_{i j}\right) \end{gathered}
$$


Where $g:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is caratheodory's function (i.e., for each $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the function $g(., u, v)$ is measurable on $[0,1]$; for almost everywhere $t \in[0,1]$, the function $g(t, . .$.$) is continuous on \mathbb{R}^{2}$ ). Let $\varsigma_{i j} \in(0,1), i, j=1,2, \ldots, m-3$, and $\mathrm{V}_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j}=$ 1 , where $g$ and $h$ have singularity at $t=1$.

In [1] Gupta et al. studied the above equation when $g$ and $h$ have no singularity and $\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \neq 1$. They obtained existence of a $C^{1}[0,1]$ solution by utilizing

Letay-Schauder continuation principle. These results correspond to the nonresonance case. The scope of this article is therefore to obtained the survive results when $\mathrm{V}_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j}=1$ (the resonance case) and when $g$ and $h$ have a singularity at $t=1$.

## Definition 1

Let $U$ and $W$ be real Banach spaces. One says that the linear operator $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset U \rightarrow W$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\operatorname{Ker} L$ and $W / \operatorname{Im} L$ are of finite dimension, where $\operatorname{Im} L$ denotes the image of $L$.

## Note

We will require the continuous projections $P: U \rightarrow U$, $Q: W \rightarrow W$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L, \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{Q}=\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{L}$, $U=\operatorname{Ker} \quad \mathrm{L} \oplus \quad$ Ker $\quad P, \quad W=\operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{L} \oplus \quad \operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{Q}$, $\left.L\right|_{\text {dom } L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P}$ : dom $L \cap$ ker $P \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ Iis an isomorphism.

## Definition 2

Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $\Omega$ a bounded open subset of $U$ such that dom $L \cap \Omega \neq \phi$. The map M: $U \rightarrow W$ is called $L$-compact on $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}$, if the map $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $R_{P}(I-Q)$ is compact, where one denotes by $R_{P}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P$ the generalized inverse of $L$. In addition $M$ is $L$-completely continuous if it $L$-compact on every bounded $\Omega \subset U$.

## Theorem 1

Let $L$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let $N$ be $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $L u \neq \kappa M u$ for every $(u, \kappa) \in$ $[(\operatorname{dom} L \backslash \operatorname{Ker} L) \cap \partial \kappa] \times(0,1)$.
(ii) $\quad M u \notin \operatorname{Im} L$, for every $u \in \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \partial \kappa$.
(iii) $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Q M\right|_{\text {Ker } L \cap \partial \kappa}, \kappa \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \neq 0$,
with $Q: W \rightarrow W$ being a continuous projection such that $\operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L$. then the equation $L u=M u$ has at least one solution in $\operatorname{dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

## Proof :

We shall make use of the following classical spaces, $C[0,1], C^{1}[0,1], C^{2}[0,1], L^{1}[0,1], L^{2}[0,1]$,
and $L^{\infty}[0,1]$. Let $A C[0,1]$ denote the space of all absolute continuous functions on $[0,1], A C^{1}[0,1]=$ $\left\{u \in C^{2}[0,1]: u^{\prime \prime}(t) \in A C[0,1]\right\}, L_{l o c}^{1}[0,1]=$ $\left\{u:\left.u\right|_{[0, d]} \in L^{1}[0,1]\right\}$ for every compact interval $[0, d] \subseteq[0,1]$.

$$
A C_{l o c}[0,1)=\left\{u:\left.u\right|_{[0, d]} \in A C[0,1]\right\}
$$

Let $U$ be the Banach space defined by

$$
U=\left\{u \in L_{l o c}^{1}[0,1]:\left(1-t^{2}\right) u(t) \in L^{1}[0,1]\right\},
$$

With the norm

$$
\|v\|_{u}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)|v(t)| d t .
$$

Let $U$ be the Banach space
$U=\left\{u \in C^{2}[0,1): u \in C[0,1], \lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}(1-\right.$ $\left.t^{2}\right) u^{\prime \prime}$ exists $\}$,
With the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{u}=\max \left\{\|u\|_{\infty},\left\|\left(1-t^{2}\right) u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\|u\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)|$.
We denote the norm in $L^{1}[0,1]$ by $\|$. $\|_{1}$. we define the linear operator $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset U \rightarrow W$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dom} L=\left\{u \in U: u^{\prime}(0)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, u(1)\right. \\
\left.=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} u(\varsigma)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

And $M: U \rightarrow W$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=g\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)+h(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then boundary value problem (1) can be written as

$$
L u=N u .
$$

Therefore $L=N$.

## Lemma

If $\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j}=1$ then
(i) $J \subset \operatorname{Ker} L=\{u \in \operatorname{dom} L: u(t)=c, c \in \mathbb{R}, t \in$ $[0,1]\}$;
$\operatorname{Im} L=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}V_{i, j=1}^{m-3} & \begin{array}{l}v \in z: \\ a_{i} b_{j}\end{array} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s=0\end{array}\right\}$
(iii) $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset U \rightarrow W$ is a Fredholm operator $Q: W \rightarrow W$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q v=\frac{e^{t}}{h} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
h=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j}\left[e+\zeta_{i}+\zeta_{j}-e^{\zeta_{i}}-e^{\zeta_{j}}-1\right]
$$

(iv) The linear operator $R_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L: \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p}=\int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $\left\|R_{p} v\right\|_{U} \leq\|v\|_{W}$ for all $v \in W$.

## Proof:

(i) It is obvious that
$\operatorname{Ker} L=\{u \in \operatorname{dom} L: u(t)=$ $c, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
(ii) We show that
$\operatorname{Im} L=$
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}v \in W: \\ \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s=0\end{array}\right\}$.
To do this, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=v(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we show that (5) has a solution $w(t)$ satisfying

$$
w^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, w^{\prime}(0)=0, w(t)=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} w\left(\zeta_{i} \zeta_{j}\right)
$$

If and only if

$$
w(t)=w(0)+\int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s
$$

Where $v \in Z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=v(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For $v \in Z$, we define the projection $Q v$ as

$$
Q v=\frac{e^{t}}{h} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s,
$$

Where

$$
h=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j}\left[e+\zeta_{i}+\zeta_{j}-e^{\zeta_{i}}-e^{\zeta_{j}}-1\right] \neq 0
$$

$$
\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s=0
$$

Suppose (3) has a solmution $w(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q v(t)| \leq \frac{\left|e^{t}\right|}{|h|} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|b_{j}\right| \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{2}|v(s)| d s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
w^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, w^{\prime}(0)=0, w(t)=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} w\left(\zeta_{i} \zeta_{j}\right)
$$

Then we obtain from (5) that

$$
w(t)=w(0)+\int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s
$$

And applying the boundary conditions we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} & \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s \\
& =\int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j}=1$, and using (i) and we get

$$
\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s=0
$$

On the other hand if (6) holds, let $u_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ientific } 1{ }^{|h|} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|b_{j}\right|\|v\|_{W}\left|e^{t}\right|  \tag{10}\\
& \text { and } \\
& \quad\|Q v\|_{W} \leq \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{2}|Q v(t)| d t \\
& 470 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|h|} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|b_{j}\right|\|v\|_{W}\left|e^{t}\right| \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{|h|} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|b_{j}\right|\|v\|_{W}\left\|e^{t}\right\|_{W}
$$

In addition it is easily verified that

$$
Q^{2} v=Q v, v \in W
$$

We therefore conclude that $Q: W \rightarrow W$ is a projection. If $v \in \operatorname{Im} L$, then from (6) $Q v(t)=0$. Hence $\operatorname{Im} L \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} Q$. Let $v_{1}=v-Q v$; that is, $v_{1} \in$ Ker $Q$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} & \int_{0}^{s} v_{1}(\varrho) d \varrho d s  \tag{17}\\
& =\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s \\
& -\frac{1}{h} \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(L R_{P}\right) v(t)=\left[\left(R_{p} v\right)(t)\right]^{\prime \prime}=v(t)
$$

Here $h=0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \\
& \int_{0}^{s} v_{1}(\varrho) d \varrho d s \\
&=\bigvee_{i, j=1}^{m-3} a_{i} b_{j} \int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho d s
\end{aligned}
$$

And for $u \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P$ we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R_{P} L\right) u(t) & =\int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} u^{\prime \prime}(\varrho) d \varrho d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}(t-s) u^{\prime \prime} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=u(t)-u^{\prime}(0) t-u(0)=u(t)
$$

Since $u \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P, u(0)=0$, and $P u=0$.
This shows that $R_{P}=\left(\left.L\right|_{\text {dom LnKer } P}\right)^{-1}$.

$$
\text { (v) }\left\|R_{p} v\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{2}|v(s)| d s
$$

Therefore $v_{1}=v$.
Thus $v_{1} \in \operatorname{Im} L$ and therefore $\operatorname{Ker} Q \subseteq \operatorname{Im} L$ and hence

$$
W=\operatorname{Im} L+\operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{Im} L+\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{C}}
$$

It follows that since $\operatorname{Im} L \cap \mathbb{R}=\{0\}$, then $W=$ $\operatorname{Im} L \oplus \operatorname{Im} Q$.

Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{R}=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L=1$.

This implies that $L$ is Fredholm mapping of index zero.
(iv) We define $P: W \rightarrow W$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u=u(0) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

And clearly $P$ is continuous and linear and $P^{2} u=$ $P(P u)=P u(0)=u(0)=P u \quad$ and $\quad \operatorname{Ker} P=$ $\{u \in U: u(0)=0\}$. We now show that the generalized inverse $K_{P}=\operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P$ of $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p} v=\int_{\zeta_{i}}^{1} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} v(\varrho) d \varrho \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $v \in \operatorname{Im} L$ we have

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{2}|v(s)| d s
$$

$$
\leq\|v\|_{w} .
$$

## We conclude that

$$
\left\|R_{p} v\right\|_{W} \leq\|v\|_{W} .
$$
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