

Visit us -www.researchjournal.co.in

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/12.1/136-140



RESEARCH PAPER

Article history: Received: 10.02.2017 Revised: 12.05.2017 Accepted: 24.05.2017

Author for correspondence: IMTIYAZ AHMAD LONE

Regional Research Station (SKUAST-K) Wadura, SOPORE (J&K) INDIA

Diversity for shell seal in the natural population of walnut (Juglans regia L.) in the Kashmir valley

■ IMTIYAZ AHMAD LONE

ABSTRACT: The present investigation entitled diversity for shell seal in the natural population of walnut (Juglans regia L.) in the Kashmir valley was carried out in order to document the available genetic variability in walnut germplasm and to select elite walnut genotypes possessing superior attributes and quality traits. During the survey, data was recorded on one hundred fifty two (152) walnut trees growing in different areas of Kashmir valley. Remarkable variability was observed in seedling walnut trees for different morphological, nut and kernel characters. Similarly, variations were also reported for other characters viz., tree vigour, growth habit, branching habit, leaflet shape, shoot colour, nut shape, shell texture, shell colour, shell seal, shell strength, shell integrity, kernel shrivel and kernel colour. Studies on shell strangth revealed substantial variability among the seedling raised walnuts genotypes in Kashmir valley revealed that shell seal of walnut genotypes under the present study varied from weak to strong. Forty five genotypes (29.60%) were found to have weak shell seal; 60 genotypes (39.48%) had intermediate shell seal and 47 genotypes (30.92%) exhibited strong shell seal.

KEY WORDS: Walnut, Diversity, Shell seal

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Lone, Imtiyaz Ahmad (2017). Diversity for shell seal in the natural population of walnut (Juglans regia L.) in the Kashmir valley. Asian J. Hort., 12(1): 136-140, DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/12.1/136-140.

he persian walnut (Juglans regia L.), known as the English walnut, belongs to the family Juglandaceae. English walnut has its origin in the eastern Europe, Asia minor and points eastward to Himalayan mountains. The native habitat of walnut extends from the Carpathian mountains to Europe across Turkey, Iraq, Afghanistan, South Russia and further eastward into the foot hills of the Himalayas. In India walnuts are usually grown in the mid hill areas of Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and upper hills of Uttarakhand and Arunachal Pradesh. The soil most suitable for its cultivation should be well-drained and deep silt loamy containing organic matter in abundance. It should not have a fluctuating water level, hard pan and/ or sandy sub-soil with alkaline reaction. A soil 2.5 to 3.0 m deep gives best results because the roots can penetrate deep and utilize residual soil moisture during dry spell and also make available sufficient nutrients. Furthermore, availability of sufficient moisture in the leaves can reduce the damage due to sun burning of leaves, shoots and young fruits. Walnut is grown commercially in about 48 countries with an area of 66, 58, 966 hectares. The world walnut production is about 16, 70, 109 MT. The chief walnut producing countries are China (22%), USA (20%), Iran (12%) and Turkey (10%) (Anonymous, 2007). India accounts for about 2.0 per cent of the world production. In India, Jammu & Kashmir is leading both in area as well as in production with an area of 82.04 thousand ha and production of 146.78 thousand tonnes. However, the productivity level of 1.79 t ha⁻¹ is far below than other countries. Himachal Pradesh has an area of 6.54 thousand ha with a production of 1.24 thousand tonnes and productivity level of 0.19 t ha⁻¹, while Uttarakhand has an area of 19.26 thousand ha with a production of 8.73 thousand tonnes and productivity level of 0.45 t/ha and Arunachal Pradesh has an area of 2285 ha with a production of about 51 tonnes and productivity level of 0.022 t/ha. In the state of Jammu and Kashmir, Anantnag is the leading district both in area as well as production corresponding to an area of 13647 ha and production of 41180 tonnes with a productivity level of 3.01 t ha⁻¹, followed by the Kupwara district that covers an area of 8175 ha with 22103 tonnes production and a productivity level of a 2.70 t ha⁻¹. Kulgam ranks 6th in area and 3rd in production in the J&K state and has the highest productivity of 3.52 t ha⁻¹, which is even higher than that of USA. This indicates that the state has the right type of agro-climatic conditions and vast potential to produce export quality walnut and kernels. The variations are dependent on different environmental conditions to which the plants are subjected before and after propagation Ibrahim, 1987 and Qureshi and Dalal, 1985). Micro propagation studies in walnut are not so well established nor is any full proof protocol yet developed for efficient and faster multiplication of superior plants. The presence of phenolic compounds and entophytic bacteria are still the main limiting factors for establishing plant micro propagation in walnuts. The use of young vegetal material is the usual technique for in vitro set up of walnut (Driver and Kuniyuki, 1984 and Jay-Allemand et al., 1993). Quality in regeneration of in vitro plant material is correlated with maintenance of mother plants in the controlled environments, with regular hormone application and proper choice of physiological stage for collecting materials. The correct temperature in growth chambers is essential for a proper regeneration as well for subsequent multiplication (Dolcet-Sanjuan et al., 1993). The addition of PVP to the culture medium as well as the substitution of agar by gelrite are the main factors reported for the control of phenolic compounds.

The current methodology of woody crop rooting by a bietapic process is well documented in walnut (Driver *et al.*, 1984) with the use of IBA. Walnut is hard to propagate through micro propagation. Various attempts have been made using different types of explants, media, culture condition and rooting techniques (Driver and Kuniyuki, 1984). Poor proliferation and rooting rate is one of the main obstacles that limit the micro propagation efficiency in walnut. Intensive and well planned research is needed to develop a perfect protocol for micro propagation for this

crop. Genotype plays a major role in vegetative propagation, in particular for micro propagation.

In many cases the propagation ratio can be improved by using a stronger cytokinin or increasing its concentration. However, this can sometimes have detrimental effects in the later stages of micro propagation. Micro propagation studies have also been carried out in some other species of nuts and similar trees like hazelnut (Radojevic *et al.*, 1975; Mele and Messeguer, 1983 and Perez *et al.*, 1983); chestnut (Vieter and Vieiter, 1980) and almond (Mehra and Mehra, 1974). But reports on *in vitro* walnut culture are scarce.

RESEARCH METHODS

The present investigation entitled diversity for shell texture in the natural population of walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) was carried out during the crop seasons of 2013 and 2014. The studies comprised two clusters of germplasm extending over the main geographical distribution of cultivation in the Jammu and Kashmir state. Genetic variability studies and diversity were estimated in the natural walnut population of Kashmir valley forming two cluster populations. Two standard check cultivars (Sulaiman and Hamdaan) were used for comparison (IPGR).

Cluster I:

Plant materials in this cluster comprised 75 in situ earmarked seedling raised plants that were identified after detailed survey of the areas having large concentration of the crop in the districts of Kupwara and Baramulla.

Cluster II:

In this cluster plant materials also comprised 75 in situ earmarked seedling raised plants that were identified after extensive survey of promising materials in the Pulwama and Shopian districts of South Kashmir and Budgam district of central Kashmir. The data of both the clusters (over 2 years) was pooled together for statistical analyses.

Morphological characters were recorded as per the standard descriptor of walnut recommended by IBPGR (Anonymous, 1984.)

Shell seal:

The shell strength of the nuts was graded as per the following score:

Papery - 1

Weak	-	3
Intermediate	-	5
Strong	-	7

RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The present investigation comprised one hundred fifty (150) seedling genotypes found growing in various regions of Kashmir valley together with two standard

Table 1: Diversity for shell seal in the natural population of walnut (Juglans regia L.) in the Kashmir valley							
Descriptor*	Score*	Accession number	Total	Per cent of the population			
Weak	3	WS-01, WS-09, WS-10, WS-16, WS-21, WS-22, WS-23, WS-25, WS-35, WS-37,	45	29.60			
		WS-41, WS-45, WS-47, WS-51, WS-52, WS-56, WS-63, WS-65, WS-72, WS-79,					
		WS-85, WS-87, WS-90, WS-95, WS-97, WS-102, WS-105, WS-107, WS-110,					
		WS-112, WS-113, WS-114, WS-115, WS-116, WS-123, WS-124, WS-125, WS-					
		129, WS-131, WS-135, WS-136, WS-144, WS-145, WS-150 and Sulaiman					
Intermediate	5	WS-02, WS-03, WS-04, WS-05, WS-06, WS-08, WS-12, WS-17, WS-24, WS-26,	60	39.48			
		WS-28, WS-29, WS-33, WS-39, WS-40, WS-42, WS-49, WS-50, WS-54, WS-57,					
		WS-58, WS-60, WS-61, WS-64, WS-66, WS-67, WS-69, WS-70, WS-71, WS-74,					
		WS-75, WS-76, WS-77, WS-78, WS-80, WS-81, WS-82, WS-84, WS-86, WS-91,					
		WS-96, WS-98, WS-101, WS-103, WS-104, WS-111, WS-117, WS-118, WS-120,					
		WS-121, WS-126, WS-127, WS-128, WS-132, WS-133, WS-134, WS-137, WS-					
		138, WS-146 and Hamdan					
Strong	7	WS-07, WS-11, WS-13, WS-14, WS-15, WS-18, WS-19, WS-20, WS-27, WS-30,	47	30.92			
		WS-31, WS-32, WS-34, WS-36, WS-38, WS-43, WS-44, WS-46, WS-48, WS-53,					
		WS-55, WS-59, WS-62, WS-68, WS-73, WS-83, WS-88, WS-89, WS-92, WS-93,					
		WS-94, WS-99, WS-100, WS-106, WS-108, WS-109, WS-119, WS-122, WS-130,					
		WS-139, WS-140, WS-141, WS-142, WS-143, WS-147, WS-148 and WS-149					

^{*}As per the IBPGR Descriptor for walnut

Table 2: Diversity for shell texture in the natural population of walnut (Juglans regia L.) in the Kashmir valley						
Descriptor*	Score*	Accession number	Total	Per cent of the population		
Very smooth	1	WS-68, WS-69, WS-76, WS-79, WS-93, WS-107, WS-115 and Hamdan	08	5.27		
Smooth	3	WS-01, WS-03, WS-06, WS-09, WS-11, WS-12, WS-13, WS-14, WS-15, WS-20, WS-	75	49.34		
		21, WS-23, WS-25, WS-26, WS-34, WS-35, WS-36, WS-37, WS-38, WS-40, WS-41,				
		WS-42, WS-43, WS-47, WS-48, WS-50, WS-51, WS-52, WS-53, WS-54, WS-55, WS-				
		59, WS-60, WS-61, WS-62, WS-63, WS-64, WS-71, WS-72, WS-73, WS-74, WS-84,				
		WS-85, WS-89, WS-90, WS-91, WS-92, WS-94, WS-97, WS-99, WS-102, WS-110,				
		WS-111, WS-112, WS-116, WS-117, WS-118, WS-120, WS-123, WS-129, WS-130,				
		WS-131, WS-133, WS-135, WS-136, WS-138, WS-140, WS-141, WS-142, WS-143,				
		WS-144, WS-145, WS-146, WS-150 and Sulaiman				
Medium	5	WS-02, WS-05, WS-08, WS-16, WS-17, WS-18, WS-19, WS-22, WS-24, WS-27, WS-	52	34.21		
		28, WS-29, WS-30, WS-32, WS-33, WS-39, WS-45, WS-46, WS-49, WS-56, WS-57,				
		WS-58, WS-65, WS-67, WS-70, WS-75, WS-77, WS-78, WS-80, WS-81, WS-82, WS-				
		83, WS-86, WS-96, WS-100, WS-101, WS-106, WS-108, WS-109, WS-113, WS-114,				
		WS-119, WS-121, WS-122, WS-125, WS-126, WS-132, WS-134, WS-137, WS-139,				
		WS-147 and WS-148				
Rough	7	WS-04, WS-07, WS-10, WS-31, WS-44, WS-66, WS-87, WS-88, WS-95, WS-98, WS-	17	11.18		
		103, WS-104, WS-105, WS-124, WS-127, WS-128 and WS-149				

^{*}As per the IBPGR Descriptor for walnut

checks (Sulaiman and Hamdan). Most of the seedling trees were indigenous of Kashmir valley. Tremendous variation in configuration of land surface, vegetation aspect, meteorology and soil type was encountered during the study. The geographical variation has resulted in sizeable genetic diversity in walnuts. The seedlings identified and catalogued in this study represent a cross section of walnut germplasm available in Kashmir. An attempt has been made to evaluate this germplasm in respect of various descriptive and Perusal of the Table 1 revealed that. Shell seal is important characteristic for protection of the Kernels during the development and maturity. Shell seal of the walnut genotypes (Table 1) varied from weak to strong. Forty five genotypes (29.60%) were found to have weak shell seal; 60 genotypes (39.48%) had intermediate shell seal and 47 genotypes (30.92%) exhibited strong shell seal. The walnut selections WS-01, WS-09, WS-10, WS-16, WS-21, WS-22, WS-23, WS-25, WS-35, WS-37, WS-41, WS-45, WS-47, WS-51, WS-52, WS-56, WS-63, WS-65, WS-72, WS-79, WS-85, WS-87, WS-90, WS-95, WS-97, WS-102, WS-105, WS-107, WS-110, WS-112, WS-113, WS-114, WS-115, WS-116, WS-123, WS-124, WS-125, WS-129, WS-131, WS-135, WS-136, WS-144, WS-145, WS-150 and Sulaiman had weak shell seal; the selections WS-02, WS-03, WS-04, WS-05, WS-06, WS-08, WS-12, WS-17, WS-24, WS-26, WS-28, WS-29, WS-33, WS-39, WS-40, WS-42, WS-49, WS-50, WS-54, WS-57, WS-58, WS-60, WS-61, WS-64, WS-66, WS-67, WS-69, WS-70, WS-71, WS-74, WS-75, WS-76, WS-77, WS-78, WS-80, WS-81, WS-82, WS-84, WS-86, WS-91, WS-96, WS-98, WS-101, WS-103, WS-104, WS-111, WS-117, WS-118, WS-120, WS-121, WS-126, WS-127, WS-128, WS-132, WS-133, WS-134, WS-137, WS-138, WS-146 and Hamdan had intermediate shell seal and the remaining selections WS-07, WS-11, WS-13, WS-14, WS-15, WS-18, WS-19, WS-20, WS-27, WS-30, WS-31, WS-32, WS-34, WS-36, WS-38, WS-43, WS-44, WS-46, WS-48, WS-53, WS-55, WS-59, WS-62, WS-68, WS-73, WS-83, WS-88, WS-89, WS-92, WS-93, WS-94, WS-99, WS-100, WS-106, WS-108, WS-109, WS-119, WS-122, WS-130, WS-139, WS-140, WS-141, WS-142, WS-143, WS-147, WS-148 and WS-149 had strong shell seal. The nut and kernel characters have great economic importance. After removal of hull, the nut includes kernel surrounded by the shell. The important shell characteristic from horticultural stand point include hardness of shell, shelling percentage, adherence of the outer shell layer and the degree to which suture is sealed. Shell type vary from hard to papery (Brezik, 1967). Due to the great demand of the walnut fruit within the country and abroad, it is necessary to have superior and export oriented walnut varieties which give good returns to the growers and also earn foreign exchange for the country (Nauriyal *et al.*, 1969). Thaper (1969) is of the opinion that at present there are no regular plantations of walnut in our country but in many areas trees are growing in large numbers having lot of variation in their nut and kernel characteristics.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (1984). Descriptor for walnut (*Juglans regia* spp.). International Plant Genetic Resource Institute, Rome Italy, pp. 28-40.

Brezik, S. (1967). Evaluation of various nut crops. *Szolo-Gyumocsterm*, **3**: 93-127 [c.f. Horticultural Abstract **38**: 5241].

Dolcet-Sanjuan, R., Calveria, E. and Alled, J. (1993). La micropropagation en clones de Juglans regia e hybrids de *J. regia x. J. Nigra. Una Soluation Parcial a la Propagation Conal del nogal*, 7:114-119.

Driver, J.A. and Kuniyuki (1984). *In vitro* propagation of paradise walnut rootstock. *Hort. Sci.*, 19: 507-509.

Driver, J.A., Kuniyuki, A.H. and Hamber, P.C. (1984). Studies on *in vitro* culture of paradise walnut (*Juglans regia* L.). *Hort. Sci.*, **19**: 510-516.

Ibrahim, R. (1987). Regulation of synthesis of phenolics. In: *Cell culture in phytochemistry* [Eds. C. Friedrich and K.V. Indra]. Academic Press, New York, pp 77-95.

Jay-Allemand, C.H., Peng, S., Capelro, P. and Cornu, D. (1993). Micropropagation of walnut hybrid tree: Some factors involved in rooting. *Acta Horticulturae*, 311: 117-124.

Mehra, A.S. and Mehra, P.N. (1974). Organogensis and plantlet formation in vitro in almond. *Botanical Gazette*, **135**: 61-73.

Mele, E. and Messeguer, J. (1983). Clonal propagation of *Corylus avellana* L. *in vitro*. Proceedings of the International Plant Propagators Society (Italy).

Nauriyal, J.P., Chandha, K.L. and Kumar, H. (1969). Some promising seedling walnuts in the Kullu valley. *J. Res., Punjab Agric. Univ., Ludhiana*, **6**(3): 852-857.

Perez, C., Fernander, B. and Rodriguez, R. (1983). *In vitro* plantlet regeneration through asexual embryogenesis in cotyledonary segments of *Corylus avellana* L. *Plant Cell Reproduction*, **2**:226-228.

Qureshi, A.S. and Dalal, M.A. (1985). Status of nut crops in Jammu and Kashmir. *Prog. Hort.*, **17**: 197-205.

Radojevic, L.R., Vujicic, R. and Neskoncm, M. (1975). Embryogenesis in tissue culture of *Corylus avellana* L. *Pflanezenphysiologia*, **77**: 33-41. [c.f. CAB Abstract, AN:

20013042235].

Thaper, A.R. (1969). Horticulture in the hill region of north India. Directorate of Extension, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi, India, pp. 124-128.

Vieter and Vieiter (1980). The significance of allelopathy chestnut cultural systems. North America Growers Nut

Association. Annual Report, 72:117-134.

WEBLIOGRAPHY:

Anonymous (2007). Corylus colorna. Hazel, Turkish filbert, Turkish Hazelnut, Turkish tree hazel [c.f.http//: zipcodezoo. com/ plants/c/ corylus- colornaasp.].

